



<b>National Imaging Associates, Inc.*</b>	
<b>Clinical guidelines</b> <b>FRACTIONAL FLOW RESERVE CT</b>	<b>Original Date: August 2017</b>
<b>CPT Code: 0501T, 0502T, 0503T, 0504T</b>	<b>Last Revised Date: March 2022</b>
<b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_062-1</b>	<b>Implementation Date: January 2023</b>

### GENERAL INFORMATION

It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.

### INDICATIONS FOR FFR-CT

- Intermediate degrees of stenosis (40 - 90%) on coronary computerized tomographic angiography (CCTA) to guide decision making and help identify those patients who would benefit from revascularization<sup>1</sup>
- Intermediate lesions in the above range and coronary calcification have made percentage stenosis interpretation difficult, thus could support approval of FFR-CT, in conjunction with the above criteria<sup>2</sup>

### FFR-CT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION<sup>3,4</sup>

None of the following clinical scenarios below apply, since FFR-CT either:

- Has not been adequately validated due to inapplicability of computational dynamics; **OR**
- Due to problematic artifacts, and/or clinical circumstances
  - When patients have artifacts (heavy calcium) or body habitus (BMI > 35) that could interfere with the examination, the suitability for FFR-CT is at the discretion of the vendor who provides the FFR-CT service
  - Known ischemic coronary artery disease that has not been revascularized and there has been no change in patient status or in the CCTA images
- Recent myocardial infarction within 30 days<sup>5</sup>
- Prior coronary artery bypass graft surgery
- Complex congenital heart disease or ventricular septal defect (VSD) with pulmonary-to-systemic flow ratio > 1.4
- Metallic stents ≤ 3.0 mm in diameter in the coronary system
- Coronary lesions with a vessel diameter < 1.8 mm

\* National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Evolent Health LLC.

1— Fractional Flow Reserve -CT

©2019-2022 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

- Severe wall motion abnormality on CCTA results
  - Severe myocardial hypertrophy
  - High risk indicators on stress test
  - Coronary angiography within the past 90 days
  - Marginal quality of the submitted imaging data, due to motion, blooming, misalignment, arrhythmia, etc.
- 

## **BACKGROUND<sup>6, 7</sup>**

Fractional flow reserve computed tomography (FFR-CT) is a relatively new technology that estimates the effect of coronary arterial narrowing on blood flow, based upon the images acquired in a coronary computed tomography angiography study. Its role is to provide information that can more appropriately select patients requiring invasive coronary angiography.

## **OVERVIEW**

### **The Development of FFR-CT as a Technology**

**History of FFR:** Fractional Flow Reserve (FFR) is the ratio of baseline coronary flow to coronary flow during maximal hyperemia. Its use in the cardiac catheterization laboratory has successfully demonstrated utility in the quantitation of intracoronary flow dynamics secondary to lesional and microvasculature conditions. This technology has proven helpful in evaluating individual patients, with respect to prognostication of coronary artery disease and decisions regarding the appropriateness of coronary revascularization.<sup>8-12</sup>

**Adaptation to CCTA:** CCTA has shown utility in the evaluation of patients with stable chest pain, typically intermediate pretest probability, warranting non-invasive evaluation,<sup>13-16</sup> as well as in low-risk emergency department scenarios.<sup>17</sup> Fractional flow reserve using CCTA seeks to provide an estimation of FFR by non-invasive methodology. Following assessment of quality CCTA images, in the appropriate subsets of patients with coronary stenoses, the technology makes mathematical assumptions to simulate maximal hyperemia and calculates an estimation of FFR (fractional flow reserve) for those coronary vessels with lesions, based upon the principles of fluid mechanics inherent to the Navier-Stokes Theorem.<sup>18</sup>

**FFR-CT Results:** Quantitative estimation of coronary lesional hemodynamic severity using FFR-CT might enable deferral of invasive coronary arteriography when values are above 0.80, since such lesions would not warrant revascularization.

FFR-CT measurements appear reproducible,<sup>19</sup> with initial data demonstrating a strong correlation to invasive FFR, resulting in a high diagnostic performance.<sup>20</sup> Invasive FFR has

excellent reproducibility<sup>21</sup> and a demonstrated track record of favorable outcomes when used in the selection of patients and vessels requiring PCI.<sup>8, 10-12</sup> Evidence suggests that FFR-CT might be a better predictor of revascularization or adverse events than severe stenosis alone on CCTA<sup>22</sup> and that a negative FFR-CT in the evaluation of chest pain results in lower revascularization rates and lower cardiovascular death and MI at 1 year follow-up.<sup>23</sup> The FFR-CT data to date, however, provide no evidence showing that revascularization based upon FFR-CT improves clinical outcomes over invasive angiographic assessment. As a consequence of the above considerations, current revascularization guidelines do not advocate FFR-CT as a surrogate for invasive FFR, although, those guidelines refer to FFR-CT as an “emerging technology”.<sup>24</sup>

## Abbreviations

BMI	Body Mass Index
CCTA	Coronary Computerized Tomographic Angiography
FFR	Fractional Flow Reserve
FFR-CT	Fractional Flow Reserve derived noninvasively from CCTA
ICA	Invasive Coronary Arteriography
MI	Myocardial Infarction
NPV	Negative Predictive Value
PCI	Percutaneous Coronary Intervention
VSD	Ventricular Septal Defect

## POLICY HISTORY

Date	Summary
March 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changed intermediate degrees of stenosis to 40 – 90%</li> <li>• Deleted Cardiac Implanted Electrical Devices and Prosthetic Heart Valves from list of clinical scenarios in which FFR-CT does not apply</li> </ul>
March 2021	No changes
March 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Added general information section as Introduction which outlines requirements for documentation of pertinent office notes by a licensed clinician, and inclusion of laboratory testing and relevant imaging results for case review</li> <li>• Added additional information to the FFR-CT Results section</li> <li>• Updated and added new references</li> </ul>

August 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Added the following clarification: Intermediate degrees of stenosis (30 - 70%) on coronary computerized tomographic angiography (CCTA) to guide decision making and help identify those patients who would benefit from revascularization</li><li>• Clarified metallic stents in the coronary system to be <math>\leq 3.0</math> mm in diameter as potentially inapplicable</li><li>• Removed acute coronary syndrome and emergent scenarios</li><li>• Removed section on pre-test probability and selection of patients for CCTA</li></ul>
-------------	---

## REFERENCES

1. Gulati M, Levy PD, Mukherjee D, et al. 2021 AHA/ACC/ASE/CHEST/SAEM/SCCT/SCMR Guideline for the Evaluation and Diagnosis of Chest Pain: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Nov 30 2021;78(22):e187-e285. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2021.07.053
2. Nørgaard BL, Gaur S, Leipsic J, et al. Influence of Coronary Calcification on the Diagnostic Performance of CT Angiography Derived FFR in Coronary Artery Disease: A Substudy of the NXT Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. Sep 2015;8(9):1045-1055. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.06.003
3. Douglas PS, De Bruyne B, Pontone G, et al. 1-Year Outcomes of FFRCT-Guided Care in Patients With Suspected Coronary Disease: The PLATFORM Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Aug 2 2016;68(5):435-445. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.057
4. Pontone G, Patel MR, Hlatky MA, et al. Rationale and design of the Prospective Longitudinal Trial of FFRCT: Outcome and Resource IMpacts study. *Am Heart J*. Sep 2015;170(3):438-46.e44. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2015.06.002
5. Gaur S, Taylor CA, Jensen JM, et al. FFR Derived From Coronary CT Angiography in Nonculprit Lesions of Patients With Recent STEMI. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. Apr 2017;10(4):424-433. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.05.019
6. Hulten EA. Does FFR(CT) have proven utility as a gatekeeper prior to invasive angiography? *J Nucl Cardiol*. Oct 2017;24(5):1619-1625. doi:10.1007/s12350-017-0974-0
7. Maroules C, Cury R. CT Perfusion and FFRCT are Ready for Clinical Use. American College of Cardiology. Updated February 6, 2017. Accessed October 28, 2021. <https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2017/02/06/11/11/ct-perfusion-and-ffrct-are-ready-for-clinical-use>
8. De Bruyne B, Fearon WF, Pijls NH, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided PCI for stable coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. Sep 25 2014;371(13):1208-17. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1408758
9. Pijls NH, van Schaardenburgh P, Manoharan G, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally nonsignificant stenosis: 5-year follow-up of the DEFER Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. May 29 2007;49(21):2105-11. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2007.01.087
10. Tonino PA, De Bruyne B, Pijls NH, et al. Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guiding percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med*. Jan 15 2009;360(3):213-24. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0807611
11. van Nunen LX, Zimmermann FM, Tonino PA, et al. Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guidance of PCI in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease (FAME): 5-year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. Nov 7 2015;386(10006):1853-60. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00057-4
12. Xaplanteris P, Fournier S, Pijls NHJ, et al. Five-Year Outcomes with PCI Guided by Fractional Flow Reserve. *N Engl J Med*. Jul 19 2018;379(3):250-259. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1803538
13. Douglas PS, Hoffmann U, Patel MR, et al. Outcomes of anatomical versus functional testing for coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. Apr 2 2015;372(14):1291-300. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1415516
14. Newby D, Williams M, Hunter A, et al. CT coronary angiography in patients with suspected angina due to coronary heart disease (SCOT-HEART): an open-label, parallel-group, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. Jun 13 2015;385(9985):2383-91. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60291-4

15. Oberweis BS, Taylor AJ. The PROMISE Trial: The CTA Perspective. American College of Cardiology. Updated July 28, 2015. Accessed October 28, 2021. <https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2015/07/27/10/58/the-promise-trial-the-cta-perspective>
16. Williams MC, Hunter A, Shah ASV, et al. Use of Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography to Guide Management of Patients With Coronary Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Apr 19 2016;67(15):1759-1768. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.02.026
17. Hulten E, Pickett C, Bittencourt MS, et al. Outcomes after coronary computed tomography angiography in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Feb 26 2013;61(8):880-92. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.061
18. Taylor CA, Fonte TA, Min JK. Computational fluid dynamics applied to cardiac computed tomography for noninvasive quantification of fractional flow reserve: scientific basis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jun 4 2013;61(22):2233-41. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.083
19. Gaur S, Bezerra HG, Lassen JF, et al. Fractional flow reserve derived from coronary CT angiography: variation of repeated analyses. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. Jul-Aug 2014;8(4):307-14. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2014.07.002
20. Driessen RS, Danad I, Stuijzand WJ, et al. Comparison of Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography, Fractional Flow Reserve, and Perfusion Imaging for Ischemia Diagnosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jan 22 2019;73(2):161-173. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.10.056
21. Johnson NP, Johnson DT, Kirkeeide RL, et al. Repeatability of Fractional Flow Reserve Despite Variations in Systemic and Coronary Hemodynamics. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. Jul 2015;8(8):1018-1027. doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2015.01.039
22. Lu MT, Ferencik M, Roberts RS, et al. Noninvasive FFR Derived From Coronary CT Angiography: Management and Outcomes in the PROMISE Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. Nov 2017;10(11):1350-1358. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.11.024
23. Patel MR, Nørgaard BL, Fairbairn TA, et al. 1-Year Impact on Medical Practice and Clinical Outcomes of FFR(CT): The ADVANCE Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. Jan 2020;13(1 Pt 1):97-105. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2019.03.003
24. Patel MR, Calhoon JH, Dehmer GJ, et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/SCAI/SCCT/STS 2017 Appropriate Use Criteria for Coronary Revascularization in Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. May 2 2017;69(17):2212-2241. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2017.02.001

## ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Collet C, Miyazaki Y, Ryan N, et al. Fractional Flow Reserve Derived From Computed Tomographic Angiography in Patients With Multivessel CAD. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jun 19 2018;71(24):2756-2769. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.02.053

2. Detrano R, Yiannikas J, Salcedo EE, et al. Bayesian probability analysis: a prospective demonstration of its clinical utility in diagnosing coronary disease. *Circulation*. Mar 1984;69(3):541-7. doi:10.1161/01.cir.69.3.541
3. Dewey M, Rief M, Martus P, et al. Evaluation of computed tomography in patients with atypical angina or chest pain clinically referred for invasive coronary angiography: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. Oct 24 2016;355:i5441. doi:10.1136/bmj.i5441
4. Douglas PS, Pontone G, Hlatky MA, et al. Clinical outcomes of fractional flow reserve by computed tomographic angiography-guided diagnostic strategies vs. usual care in patients with suspected coronary artery disease: the prospective longitudinal trial of FFR(CT): outcome and resource impacts study. *Eur Heart J*. Dec 14 2015;36(47):3359-67. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehv444
5. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation*. Dec 18 2012;126(25):e354-471. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e318277d6a0
6. Graham IM. Diagnosing coronary artery disease--the Diamond and Forrester model revisited. *Eur Heart J*. Jun 2011;32(11):1311-2. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehr015
7. Hewitt N, Dimmock P, Long J, NICE Medical Technologies Advisory Committee. HeartFlow FFRCT for estimating fractional flow reserve from coronary CT angiography: Medical technologies guidance [MTG32]. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Updated May 19, 2021. Accessed October 28, 2021. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/mtg32>
8. Hlatky MA, De Bruyne B, Pontone G, et al. Quality-of-Life and Economic Outcomes of Assessing Fractional Flow Reserve With Computed Tomography Angiography: PLATFORM. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Dec 1 2015;66(21):2315-2323. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.09.051
9. Hulten E, Blankstein R, Di Carli MF. The value of noninvasive computed tomography derived fractional flow reserve in our current approach to the evaluation of coronary artery stenosis. *Curr Opin Cardiol*. Nov 2016;31(6):970-976. doi:10.1097/hco.0000000000000341
10. Hulten E, Di Carli MF. FFRCT: Solid PLATFORM or Thin Ice? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Dec 1 2015;66(21):2324-2328. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.09.065
11. Koo BK, Erglis A, Doh JH, et al. Diagnosis of ischemia-causing coronary stenoses by noninvasive fractional flow reserve computed from coronary computed tomographic angiograms. Results from the prospective multicenter DISCOVER-FLOW (Diagnosis of Ischemia-Causing Stenoses Obtained Via Noninvasive Fractional Flow Reserve) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Nov 1 2011;58(19):1989-97. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2011.06.066
12. LaBounty TM, Nallamothu BK. FFR(CT): a new technology in search of a clinical application. *Eur Heart J*. Dec 14 2015;36(47):3368-9. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehv534
13. LaBounty TM. FFR-CT in Patients With Multivessel CAD. American College of Cardiology. Updated May 22, 2018. Accessed October 28, 2021. <https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/journal-scans/2018/05/22/09/02/fractional-flow-reserve-derived-from-ct?>

14. Linker D. Decision-Support Tool to Calculate Pre- and Post-Test Probabilities of Coronary Artery Disease with Cardiac Functional Tests. University of Washington. Updated May 24, 2019. Accessed October 28, 2021. <https://faculty.washington.edu/dtlinker/CAD.html>
15. Min JK, Leipsic J, Pencina MJ, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of fractional flow reserve from anatomic CT angiography. *Jama*. Sep 26 2012;308(12):1237-45. doi:10.1001/2012.jama.11274
16. Min JK. Look Backwards But Live Forwards. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. May 2017;10(5):551-553. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.12.014
17. Nakanishi R, Budoff MJ. Noninvasive FFR derived from coronary CT angiography in the management of coronary artery disease: technology and clinical update. *Vasc Health Risk Manag*. 2016;12:269-78. doi:10.2147/vhrm.S79632
18. Nørgaard BL, Leipsic J, Gaur S, et al. Diagnostic performance of noninvasive fractional flow reserve derived from coronary computed tomography angiography in suspected coronary artery disease: the NXT trial (Analysis of Coronary Blood Flow Using CT Angiography: Next Steps). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Apr 1 2014;63(12):1145-1155. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.11.043
19. Nørgaard BL, Hjort J, Gaur S, et al. Clinical Use of Coronary CTA-Derived FFR for Decision-Making in Stable CAD. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. May 2017;10(5):541-550. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.11.025
20. Sevag Packard RR, Karlsberg RP. Integrating FFRCT Into Routine Clinical Practice: A Solid PLATFORM or Slippery Slope? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Aug 2 2016;68(5):446-449. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.056

#### **Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee**

**Disclaimer:** *National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.*