Policy Statement
This policy will be used to provide a listing of procedures considered experimental, investigational by any physical medicine practitioner. Services listed in the policy are not eligible for reimbursement.

Purpose
To provide a listing of procedures considered experimental, investigational, or unproven services by any physical medicine practitioner, including chiropractors, physical therapists, occupational therapists, and speech language pathologists.

Coverage
Coverage is subject to the terms of an enrollee’s benefit plan. To the extent there is any inconsistency between this medical policy and the terms of an enrollee’s benefit plan, the terms of the enrollee’s benefit plan documents will always control. Investigational services are not covered under enrollee’s health plan.

Definition
A service is considered experimental/investigation if any of the following criteria is met:

- The services, procedures or supplies requiring Federal or other Governmental body approval, such as drugs and devices, do not have unrestricted market approval from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or final approval from any other governmental regulatory body for use in treatment of a specified condition. Any approval that is granted as an interim step in the regulatory process is not a substitute for final or unrestricted market approval.
- There is insufficient or inconclusive medical and scientific evidence to evaluate the therapeutic value of the service, procedure, or supply.
- There is inconclusive medical and scientific evidence in peer-reviewed medical literature that the service, procedure, or supply has a beneficial effect on health outcomes.
- The service, procedure, or supply under consideration is not as beneficial as any established alternatives.
- There is insufficient information or inconclusive scientific evidence that, when used in a non-investigational setting, the service, procedure, or supply has a beneficial effect on health outcomes or is as beneficial as any established alternatives.
Experimental and investigational services include the use of a service, procedure, or supply that is not recognized as standard clinical care for the condition, disease, illness, or injury being treated. A service, procedure, or supply includes, but is not limited to the diagnostic service, treatment, facility, equipment, or device. This organization will determine whether a service, procedure, or supply is considered experimental and investigational.

The following is a partial listing of experimental and investigational services:

- Advanced BioStructural Correction (ABC)
- Alphabiotics
- Applied Kinesiology or any of its derivations (Kelso 2018)
- Applied Spinal Biomechanical Engineering
- BioEnergetic Synchronization Technique (B.E.S.T) (Chiropractic Services AHM 2016)
- Chiropractic Biophysics (CBP, Clinical Biomechanics of Posture, CBP Mirror Image Technique)
- Coccygeal Meningeal Stress Fixation
- Cold Laser Therapy
- Computerized muscle testing or analysis
- Craniosacral Therapy (CST) (Brough 2015)
- Directional Non-force Technique
- Hako-Med electrotherapy (horizontal electrotherapy)
- Hippotherapy (Hilgers 2018)
- Impulse adjusting instrument
- Intersegmental traction and Autotraction
- Kinesio taping (Elastic Therapeutic Taping)
- Live Cell Analysis or hair analysis (Kintz 2015, Nketia 2017)
- Manipulation under Anesthesia (MUA) (DiGiorgi 2018, Kraal 2017)
- Moire Contourographic Analysis (Spector 1979)
- Nambudripad’s Allergy Elimination Technique (NAET)/ other Allergy Testing (Nambudripad 2002)
- National Upper Cervical Chiropractic Association (NUCCA technique) (Woodfield 2015) / Grostic technique
- Network Chiropractic, NeuroEmotional Technique (NET)
- Neurocalometer, Nervoscope, Nerve Conduction Velocity, Surface EMG (Audag 2016), Paraspinal Electromyography (Meyer 1994), Spinoscopy or other nerve conduction testing for non-specific neck and back pain (Albeck 2000). (Levinson 2014)
- Neural Organizational Technique, Contact Reflex Analysis (CRA) (Jensen 2015), Whole System Scan
- Nimmo Receptor-Tonus method
- Pettibon, including, but not limited to wobble chair/board treatment and posture pump (Morningstar 2002, Morningstar 2004, Morningstar 2006, Morningstar 2007)
- Preventive Care, Maintenance Care, Corrective Care
- Pro-Adjuster
- Sacro Occipital Technique, Neurocranial Restructuring (NCR) (Davis 2003), Cranial Manipulation
- Sound Assisted Soft Tissue mobilization
- Spinal Diagnostic Ultrasound (Heidari 2015)
- Chiropractic services directed at controlling progression and/or reducing scoliosis, including but not limited to the SpineCor brace (Rozek 2016) and CLEAR scoliosis treatment.
• Repeat imaging to determine the progress of conservative treatment
• Thermography (Neves 2015)
• Upledger Technique
• Vascular Studies, including, but not limited to, Doppler ultrasound analysis and plethysmography
• VAX-D (Oh 2017), Lordex, LTX3000, DRX-9000, DRS (Decompression Reduction Stabilization System), or other back traction devices charged at a higher rate than mechanical traction (97012)
• Whole Body Vibration (WBV), Vibration Plate, Vibration Therapy
• Any lab work for which the office is not CLIA Certified or falls outside of the scope of practice, including, but not limited to: drug testing, therapeutic drug assays, and organ or disease oriented panels
• Treatment for brachioradial pruritis
• Dry Needling (Liu 2018)
• Cupping (Niu 2018)

Professional societies have published position statements concluding that diagnostic spinal ultrasound is investigational for non-operative spinal and paraspinal conditions in adults. The 2014 policy statement of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine indicates: “There is insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed medical literature establishing the value of non-operative spinal/paraspinal ultrasound in adults (for study of intervertebral discs, facet joint and capsules, central nerves and fascial edema, and other subtle paraspinous abnormalities) for screening, diagnostic evaluation, including pain or radiculopathy syndromes, and for monitoring of therapy has no proven clinical utility.”

There is insufficient peer-reviewed published scientific evidence that computerized muscle testing leads to better patient outcomes. There is insufficient evidence to support any specific therapeutic effect of craniosacral therapy. While there is emerging evidence for the effectiveness of whole body vibration in treating some medical conditions, the evidence for whole body vibration as a treatment for low back pain (LBP) remains equivocal.

A 2015 systematic review found that that low level laser therapy is an effective method for relieving pain in non-specific chronic low back pain patients. However, no significant treatment effect was identified for disability scores or spinal range of motion outcomes. Yelden and colleagues concluded that there is no fundamental difference between LLLT and placebo LLLT when they are supplementing an exercise program for rehabilitation of patients with shoulder impingement syndrome (Yelden 2009). Ay and colleagues found no differences between laser and placebo laser treatments on pain severity and functional capacity in patients with acute and chronic low back pain caused by lumbar disc herniation (Ay 2010). The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (2010) concluded that LLLT for either carpal tunnel syndrome or for chronic neck pain does not meet the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC) criteria. Furthermore, the Work Loss Data Institute's clinical practice guideline on "Carpal tunnel syndrome" (2011) does not recommend LLLT as a therapeutic option. The effectiveness of LLLT in reducing acute and chronic neck pain was examined in 2013. The authors concluded that this systematic review provided inconclusive evidence because of significant between-study heterogeneity and potential risk of bias. They stated that the benefit seen in the use of LLLT, although statistically significant, does not constitute the threshold of minimally important clinical difference (Kadhim-Saleh 2013). The best available current evidence does not support
the effectiveness of low level laser therapy as a therapy for patients with knee osteoarthritis (Huang 2015).

There is insufficient evidence to support the clinical value of the Pettibon System. Posture Pump is deemed experimental and investigational because the effectiveness of this device has not been proven by adequate scientific studies, published in peer-reviewed scientific journals. There is insufficient evidence to support the clinical value of the Therapeutic (Wobble) Chair/Board.

The appropriateness and effectiveness of chiropractic manipulation as a preventive or maintenance therapy has not been established by clinical research and is not covered.

Thermography has not been shown to provide sufficient reliable characterizing information about neurologic dysfunction or deficit to accept it as a proven evaluative procedure for the clinical diagnosis or characterization of: neck or back pain; musculoskeletal pain; entrapment neuropathy; headache; or transient cerebral ischemia and stroke.

High-density surface electromyography (HD-sEMG), surface scanning EMG, paraspinal surface EMG, or macro EMG are considered experimental and investigational as a diagnostic test for evaluating low back pain or other thoracolumbar segmental abnormalities, such as soft tissue injury, intervertebral disc disease, nerve root irritation and scoliosis, and for all other indications because the reliability and validity of these tests have not been established. Surface EMG devices are also experimental and investigational for diagnosis and/or monitoring of nocturnal bruxism and all other indications because the reliability and validity of these tests have not been demonstrated. The Neurophysiologic Pain Profile (NPP) and the spine matrix scan (lumbar matrix scan) are considered experimental and investigational because the reliability and validity of these tests has not been established.

There is insufficient evidence to conclude that nerve conduction studies are beneficial for health outcomes in patients with non-specific neck or back pain. Non-invasive automatic or portable nerve conduction monitoring systems that test only distal motor latencies and conduction velocities are unproven and not medically necessary for the purpose of electrodiagnostic testing.

Plethysmography is used to diagnose deep vein thrombosis and arterial occlusive disease. Plethysmography is used as the sole diagnostic modality for these conditions or as an initial evaluation to determine the need for venography or arteriography. Body Plethysmography evaluates total lung capacity and residual volume. Since treatment of cardiovascular and lung conditions falls outside of the scope of chiropractic, patients should be referred for testing if these conditions are suspected.

Procedure

- **Guidelines**
  - If such services are to be provided, the practitioner will inform the member, in writing, that such services will be the member’s responsibility. None of these services are to be performed in lieu of an appropriate examination or without consideration of an appropriate referral.
  - There is limited scientific evidence that the use of experimental, investigational and unproven services provides an improved or more accurate diagnosis, nor do they result in an improved clinical outcome.
• Scientific literature will continue to be reviewed and any significant changes in published literature will be taken into consideration for modification of this policy.

• Exclusions/Limitations (not limited to)
  Refer to enrollee’s Certificate of Coverage or Summary Plan Description.

• Removal of a service from the Experimental and Investigations Policy
  At least annually, a review of the current literature will be evaluated to determine if there is additional research in support of any of the services listed under this policy. This evaluation will include the following criteria:
  o Safety – Is the potential benefit superior to the potential harm?
  o Health Outcomes – Is there evidence the service will provide, at minimum, equal outcomes and at best, superior outcomes to currently available services?
  o Patient Management – Will the service improve clinical decision making?
  o Clinical Performance – Is the reliability as well as predictive value of the service equal or superior to the current “gold standard” for such services?
  o Cost-effectiveness – Is the service equal to or lower cost than currently utilized services for similar diagnosis and treatment?

All criteria will be based on peer-reviewed scientific literature and internationally and nationally accepted and published guidelines. Peer-reviewed scientific studies must be published in or accepted for publication by medical journals meeting national requirements for scientific publication (http://www.icmje.org). The medical literature must meet the National Institutes of Health Library of Medicine for indexing (http://www.nlm.nih.gov). Medical journals that publish most of their scientific manuscripts by the editorial staff of a journal will not be considered for review. If the majority of funding for research is published by the device manufacturer or organization sponsoring a technique the results will not be considered for review.

If the service appears to be safe and cost-effective, this organization will present these results to our health plan partners for consideration of coverage and/or payment. Final authority for such coverage determinations rests with the health plan.
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Review Summary:

• Older references updated or omitted as appropriate.
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Reviewed / Approved by Patrick Browning, VP, Medical Director
Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“Magellan”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.