



National Imaging Associates, Inc.	
Clinical guidelines DURABLE MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	Original Date: April 2016
Physical Medicine – Clinical Decision Making	Last Revised Date: January 2020
Guideline Number: NIA_CG_609	Implementation Date: July 2020

Policy Statement

This policy will be used to define Durable Medical Equipment (DME), as well as support the medical necessity of the billed DME.

Scope

This policy will apply to all physical medicine participating network practitioners, including chiropractors, physical therapists, occupational therapists, and speech language pathologists.

Medical Necessity

Durable Medical Equipment and services are medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- The equipment is expected to provide improvement in specific, measurable functional deficits related to a documented illness or injury; **and**
- The DME is provided by a health care professional; **and**
- The equipment does not have significant non-medical uses; **and**
- The clinical records clearly establish the medical need for the DME

Clinical documentation must include the following elements:

- A diagnosis that justifies the equipment or supply being requested
- A treatment plan (anticipated start and end date) for the use of the DME
- Documented measurable functional deficit(s)
- Expected outcomes and benefit related to a measurable functional deficit
- Documentation of the healthcare providers training/education, supervision, and monitoring of the use of the DME, as evidenced by the identification of provider type and signature in the record
- Documentation of a trial of conservative services that failed to improve a measurable functional deficit unless contraindicated
- When appropriate, documentation of a trial of in-office care, such as cervical traction, that provided improvement in a measurable functional deficit
- If an insurance plan does not cover a DME, then any visit associated with instruction on the DME would not be covered

DME

DME may be subject to medical necessity review. This would include: transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or other electrical stimulation units, traction devices, chairs, etc. Additionally, any DME with a purchase or rental price of more than **\$200** will be subject to review.

Specific Durable Medical Equipment:

Electrical Stimulation for Pain

Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) uses electrical stimulation at a painful site via the application of electrodes from the device to the surface of the skin. TENS devices generate electrical output, usually by a portable, battery operated method.

TENS is considered a medically necessary DME when used as an adjunct or as an alternative to common conservative treatments for the initial 30 days of acute post-operative pain. TENS is also considered a medically necessary DME for some forms of chronic musculoskeletal and neuropathic pain causing significant documented disruption of function which is unresponsive to at least a 1 month trial of conservative care including but not limited to manual therapy, active care, and pharmacotherapy. Please note that not all health plans reimburse for rental or purchase of home TENS units.

TENS is considered experimental and investigational for acute non-operative pain, acute and chronic headaches, deep abdominal pain, and chronic temporomandibular joint (TMJ) pain, adhesive capsulitis (frozen shoulder), chronic low back pain, neuropathic pain, pelvic pain (ACOG 2011), phantom pain, stump pain, and all other indications because there is inadequate scientific evidence to support its efficacy for these specific types of pain (Johnson 2016).

A trial of TENS use for at least 30 days, but not to exceed 90 days must be monitored by the healthcare provider. This trial period must include documentation of the effect on the patient's pain and measurable function to determine the effectiveness of the TENS unit. Treatment for long-term use is considered medically necessary if the trial period produced significant improvement in the patient's pain and measurable functional deficit(s). This documentation must include how the patient used the unit, the duration of use each time the unit was used, as well as the results of use. Concurrent chiropractic and/or physical therapy services are not indicated for the treatment of the same condition during the trial period.

The use of form-fitting conductive garments is not considered medically necessary.

The following forms of electrical stimulation are not considered medically necessary. This list is not all-inclusive.

- Noninvasive neuron blockage devices
- Electroceutical therapy devices
- Bioelectric treatment systems
- Electro-Acuscope Therapy System
- Electrical stimulation of the sacral nerve roots or lumbosacral plexus for treatment of chronic pelvic or abdominal pain
- High-frequency pulsed electromagnetic stimulation
- Vagus nerve stimulation
- Bone growth stimulators

On June 8, 2012 the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) rendered a decision memo for TENS for chronic low back pain. It states that TENS is not reasonable and necessary for the treatment of chronic low back pain.

In an evidence-based review, the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology evaluated the effectiveness of TENS in the treatment of pain in neurological disorders (Dubinsky 2010). There are conflicting reports of TENS compared to sham TENS in the treatment of chronic low back pain (LBP), with two, Class II studies showing benefit, while two, Class I studies and another Class II study not showing benefit. Because the Class I studies are stronger evidence, TENS is established as ineffective for the treatment of chronic LBP. The authors concluded that TENS is not recommended for the treatment of chronic LBP.

Guidelines on treatment of LBP from the National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care (Savigny 2009) found insufficient evidence for the use of TENS in LBP and recommended against its use for that indication.

Home Traction Devices

Home traction therapy is unproven and not medically necessary for treating low back and neck disorders with or without radiculopathy (Gregory 2018). The majority of studies are office based with mixed results. The quality of peer reviewed studies for home traction are limited as well to conclude that it is effective in the management of neck or low back pain or that it improves health outcomes. The indications for clinical application, patient selection criteria, risks, and comparison to alternative technologies have not been established for home traction therapy.

There is insufficient evidence from peer-reviewed published studies to conclude that lumbar spinal traction devices are effective at improving specific, measurable and functional deficits related to low back pain and leg-related low back pain (Wegner 2013). Lumbar auto-traction devices are considered experimental and investigational. This would include, but is not limited to: the Spinalator, the Arthrotonic stabilizer, the Anatomotor, Saunders Lumbar Hometractor, etc. Axial spinal unloading (gravity-dependent traction) devices are considered experimental and investigation for the treatment of low back pain and leg-related low back pain. This would include, but is not limited to: the LTX 3000, VAX-D, and other decompression or traction devices, tables, weights or vests (Hillyard 2017).

Orthotics, Prosthetics, Bracing and Assistive Devices

No definitive evidence as yet supports the use of orthoses in painful conditions of the cervical or lumbar spine. They should, therefore, be used only after individual consideration of the indications in each case.

Studies suggest that wearing a wrist splint can provide relief from carpal tunnel symptoms within a few weeks; however, the effect is only temporary.

The use of these devices must be necessary for the treatment of an illness or injury and to improve documented, measurable, and functional deficit(s). The documentation must include the reason the equipment is needed and the duration of its need.

A brace, orthotic, or prosthetic is a rigid or semi-rigid device. It is used to support and/or substitute a documented weak or deformed body part that is causing a documented measurable functional deficit.

The use of assistive devices is considered a standard of practice for general mobility needs and reduction in patients at risk of falling. Clinical documentation must support the use of these devices.

There is insufficient evidence to support the use of insoles or foot orthoses as either a treatment for LBP or in the prevention of LBP. Foot orthoses produce small short-term benefits in function and may also produce small reductions in pain for people with plantar fasciitis, but they do not have long-term beneficial effects compared with a sham device (Rasenberg 2017). Foot orthotics have no proven value for knee pain (other than medial osteoarthritis), pes planus (flat feet), pronation, corns and calluses, hip osteoarthritis, and lower leg injuries. Customized and prefabricated orthoses have similar effectiveness in the treatment of plantar fasciitis. Spinal Pelvic Stabilizers (Foot Levelers, Inc.) are specialized custom molded inserts designed to prevent foot injuries and improve foot alignment; these are considered experimental and investigational because their value in treatment of foot disease has not been proven. The available evidence does not reveal any clear advantage of foot orthoses over simple insoles or physiotherapy for patellofemoral pain. While foot orthoses may help relieve knee pain over the short term, the benefit may be marginal. There is moderate evidence to support the use of foot orthotics in the treatment of chronic ankle instability to help improve postural control. Overall, the evidence appears to suggest that custom foot orthotics and prefabricated orthotics have similar effectiveness. Therefore, prefabricated orthotics should be prescribed when there is a clinical indication for foot orthotics.

HCPCS 2018 Code L0631: Lumbar-sacral orthosis, sagittal control, with rigid anterior and posterior panels, posterior extends from sacrococcygeal junction to t-9 vertebra, produces intracavitary pressure to reduce load on the intervertebral discs, includes straps, closures, may include padding, shoulder straps, pendulous abdomen design, prefabricated item that has been trimmed, bent, molded, assembled, or otherwise customized to fit a specific patient by an individual with expertise. The clinical record must clearly document that this service involved customization of the lumbar orthosis in order for it to be reimbursable.

Appropriate fitting of prosthesis for lower limb amputation should be carried out by a licensed professional and adjusted on an individualized basis to prevent future complications (including skin deterioration), promote independence, and improve quality of life (Dept of Veterans Affairs 2019).

Recommendations for the pediatric spinal cord impairment population include appropriate determination of individual patient characteristics and goals for beneficial orthotic intervention (Funderburg 2017).

People with muscular dystrophy require use of strollers, scooters, and wheelchairs help promote independence and societal participation while resting orthoses can prevent upper and lower limb contractures (Kinnett 2015).

Within the spinal muscular atrophy population, the use of upper and lower limb orthoses are use to promote function and range of motion. In order to promote mobility and transfers, the use of strollers and power wheelchairs with recline/tilt options and adapted seating systems are recommended (Mercuri 2018).

Assistive devices have been shown to improve the activities of daily living of people with brain injury meaning they are an effective intervention method for this population (Nam 2017). It has also been found that use of assistive devices to help accommodate as people experience a decline in capacity may be an effective means of promoting participation and wellbeing later in life (Freedman 2016).

The use of assistive devices in pediatrics has been shown to have great effect on participation in activities of daily living and promoting independence. In those affected by cerebral palsy, the appropriate daily use of assistive devices has shown to have a great effect on motor function and fine motor activity resulting in improved range of movement and improved social participation (Zhang 2018).

In regard to Rett Syndrome, and other pre-frontal cortex processing disorders, the use of therapeutic chairs can be helpful to treat gait impairments and spasticity (Roseman 2017).

Appropriate selection of wheelchair properties including manual wheelchair configuration, cushion selection, and backrest set up have demonstrated positive outcomes for the user's health and functional ability. This proper configuration may prevent the development of secondary consequences of spinal cord injury, reduce overall medical costs, improve quality of life, and increase participation of manual wheelchair users living with spinal cord injuries (Budai 2018).

The use of eye tracking devices has been found to be beneficial to improve communication in those with spinal muscular atrophy as well (Mercuri 2018).

For people with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) high and low-tech assistive devices are useful for communication (Gothman 2015).

Different assistive devices may be applicable for the following populations and is not an all-inclusive list: ASD, visually impaired, Rett Syndrome, degenerative and congenital neurological disorders, cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy, traumatic brain injury, cerebral palsy, spina bifida, stroke, and spinal cord injury. These assistive devices should be assessed by a licensed professional on a case-by-case basis to determine medical necessity.

Evidence is insufficient to support the use of knee braces as a treatment for patellofemoral pain syndrome.

Strapping

The application of casts, splints, or straps is performed in an attempt to provide temporary immobilization or fixation to correct, protect, or stabilize a fracture, dislocation, or documented joint instability as a result of injury, disease or surgery.

The use of casting, splinting or strapping may be considered medically necessary for a patient who has experienced a fracture, dislocation or who has ligamentous instability following an acute injury, or as the result of a disease or surgery.

The application of casting, splinting or strapping **should not** be reported when any kind of treatment or restorative service aimed at correcting, protecting, or stabilizing the fracture, dislocation, or instability is concurrently done.

Strapping uses rigid material in order to restrict joint and/or muscular movement. Tape is typically worn for a relatively short duration (< 18 hours). In contrast, kinesiology (kinesio) taping (KT) is a therapeutic taping method that utilizes a latex-free elastic tape, which is purported to give support and stability to joints and muscles without affecting circulation, range of motion, and biomechanics. It is also used for preventive maintenance, edema, and to treat pain. KT methods use highly-specific designed tape, which

may be pre-cut for certain joints, and reportedly can be used by patients of every age and condition for 1 - 5 days per application. The consensus findings of peer reviews did not support the application of KT in clinical settings.

BACKGROUND:

Definition

- DME is any equipment that provides therapeutic benefits to a patient for certain conditions and/or illnesses defined below.
- DME consist of items which:
 - Are used to treat a defined illness or injury
 - Are not useful to a person in the absence of illness or injury
 - Are reusable and durable enough for repeated use
 - Are appropriate for use outside of a medical setting such as home, at school, or a work
- DME includes but is not limited to: back supports/braces, cervical collars, foot orthotics, electrical stimulation units, traction devices, and wheelchairs and assistive devices for gait.
- The use of any DME must have evidence of efficacy in the peer reviewed guideline, systematic review, and/or randomized controlled trial medical literature. The use of these devices is not considered medically necessary in the absence of scientific evidence in peer reviewed medical literature.

POLICY HISTORY:

Review Date: July 2019

Review Summary:

- Addition to assistive device section: spinal cord injury, muscular dystrophy, wheelchair user population, spinal muscular atrophy, brain injury, cerebral palsy, Rett Syndrome, and ASD.
- Completed pulling of older references (10+ years) and replaced references that were appropriate to this guideline.
- Moved definition section to background.

Review Date: January 2020

Review Summary:

- No edits made to guideline in response to the review of the evidence base

REFERENCES

- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). *Chronic pelvic pain*. Washington, DC: ACOG; March 17, 2004 (updated August 2011).
- American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management, American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. *Anesthesiology*. 2010; 112(4):810-33.
- Bader P, Echte D, Fonteyne V, et al. *Guidelines on pain management*. Arnhem, The Netherlands: European Association of Urology (EAU); 2010:13-42.
- Bennell KL, Buchbinder R, Hinman RS. Physical therapies in the management of osteoarthritis: current state of evidence. May 2015.
- Bigos SJ, Holland J, Holland C, et al. High-quality controlled trials on preventing episodes of back problems: systematic literature review in working-age adults. *Spine J*. 2009; 9(2):147-68.
- Budai M, Farrell E, Michael E. *Curr Phys Med Rehabil Rep*. 2018; 6(3):204–211.
- Cai C, Ming G, Ng LY. Development of a clinical prediction rule to identify patients with neck pain who are likely to benefit from home-based mechanical cervical traction. *Eur Spine J*. 2011; 20(6):912-922.
- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Decision memo for transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for chronic low back pain (CAG-00429N). CMS, Baltimore, MD; June 8, 2012. Available at <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/nca-decision-memo.aspx?NCAId=256>.
- Chuter V, Spink M, Searle A, et al. The effectiveness of shoe insoles for the prevention and treatment of low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2014; 15:140.
- Council on Chiropractic Guidelines and Practice Parameters (CCGPP). Chiropractic management of myofascial trigger points and myofascial pain syndrome: summary of clinical practice recommendations from the commission of the Council on Chiropractic Guidelines and Practice Parameters. Lexington, SC: CCGPP; 2009.
- Council on Chiropractic Guidelines and Practice Parameters (CCGPP). Chiropractic management of tendinopathy: summary of clinical practice recommendations from the commission of the Council on Chiropractic Guidelines and Practice Parameters. Lexington, SC: CCGPP; 2009.
- Cruccu G, Garcia-Larrea L, Hanson P, et al. EAN guidelines on central neurostimulation therapy in chronic pain conditions. *Eur J Neurol*. 2016;23(10):1489-99.

Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense (VA/DoD). *VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for rehabilitation of lower amputation*. Washington, DC: VA/DoD; 2007:163. This reference was updated May 6, 2019.

Dubinsky RM, Miyasaki J. Assessment: Efficacy of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in the treatment of pain in neurologic disorders (an evidence-based review). Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2010; 74(1):173-176.

Fall M, Baranowski AP, Elneil S, et al. European Association of Urology 2010 - EAU guidelines on chronic pelvic pain. [Published online ahead of print August 31, 2009]. *Eur Urol*. 2010; 57(1):35-48.

Freedman VA, Kasper JD, Spillman BC. Successful aging through successful accommodation with assistive devices. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2017;72(2):300-309.

Funderburg SE, Josephson HE, Price AA, et al. Interventions for gait training in children with spinal cord impairments: a scoping review. *Pediatr Phys Ther*. 2017;29(4):342-349.

Gabriner ML, Braun BA, Houston MN, et al. The effectiveness of foot orthotics in improving postural control in individuals with chronic ankle instability: A critically appraised topic. *J Sport Rehabil*. February 2015; 24(1):68-71.

Gatchel RJ, McGeary DD, McGeary CA, et al. Interdisciplinary chronic pain management: past present, and future. *Am Psychol*. 2014;69(2):119-30.

Gotham K, Marvin AR, Taylor JL, et al. Characterizing the daily life, needs, and priorities of adults with ASD from interactive autism network data. *Autism*. 2015;19(7):794-804.

Greene MW, Clemente-Fuentes RJ. Is transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) more effective than placebo for management of chronic low back pain? *Evidence Based Practice*. 2016; 19(8):10-11.

Gregory G, McKivigan JM. Effectiveness of intermittent mechanical traction in cervical radiculopathy: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Research and Practice*. 2018;7 2): 39-46.

Hegmann KT, Hoffman HE, Belcourt RM, et al. ACOEM practice guidelines: elbow disorders. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2013;55(11):1365-74.

Hillyard DC. A novel distractive and mobility-enabling lumbar spinal orthosis for treating mechanical low back pain. 2017. *Theses and Dissertations (ETD)*. Paper 428. Retrieved from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21007/etd.cghs.2017.0438>. <https://dc.uthsc.edu/dissertations/428>

Hossain M, Alexander P, Burls A, et al. Foot orthoses for patellofemoral pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. January 19, 2011; (1): CD008402.

Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). *Assessment and management of chronic pain*. Bloomington, MN: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement; November 9, 2009:1.

Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). *Diagnosis and treatment of headache*. Bloomington, MN: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement; March 7, 2009:6.

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG). *Carpal tunnel syndrome: wrist splints and hand exercises*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK279596/>. November 5, 2014.

International Chiropractors Association of California (ICAC). *Management of whiplash associated disorders*. Sacramento, CA: ICAC; 2009:55.

Johnson MI, Jones G. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: Current status of evidence. *Pain Management*. 2017;7(1):1-4.

Kinnett K, Rodger S, Vroom E, et al. Imperatives for Duchenne MD: a simplified guide to comprehensive care for Duchenne Muscular dystrophy. *PLoS Curr*. 2015;7. pii: ecurrents.md.87770501e86f36f1c71e0a5882ed9ba1

Malec M, Shega JW. Pain management in the elderly. March 2015.

Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium. *Management of acute low back pain*. Southfield, MI: Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium; March 2010.

Mercuri E, Finkel RS, Muntoni F, et al. Diagnosis and management of spinal muscular atrophy: Part 1: recommendations for diagnosis, rehabilitation, orthopedic, and nutritional care. *Neuromuscul Disord*. 2018;28(2):103-115.

Nam JH, Kim H. How assistive devices affect activities of daily living and cognitive functions of people with brain injury: a meta-analysis. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol*. 2018;13(3):305-311.

National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care. Low back pain. Early management of persistent non-specific low back pain. London (UK): *National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)*; May 2009:25.

North American Spine Society (NASS). *Diagnosis and treatment of cervical radiculopathy from degenerative disorders*; Burr Ridge, IL: NASS; 2010.

North American Spine Society (NASS). *Diagnosis and treatment of degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis*. Burr Ridge, IL: NASS; January 2011.

Owens JD, Hegmann KT, Thiese MS, et al. Impacts of adherence to evidence-based medicine guidelines for the management of acute low back pain on costs of worker's compensation claims. *Occup Environ Med*. 2019;61(6):445-452.

Page MJ, Green S, Kramer S, et al. Electrotherapy modalities for adhesive capsulitis (frozen shoulder). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014; (10): CD011324.

Page MJ, Massy-Westropp N, O'Connor D, et al. Splinting for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. July 11, 2012; (7): CD010003.

Parreira Pdo CS, Costa Lda CM, Hespanhol LC Jr, et al. Current evidence does not support the use of Kinesio Taping in clinical practice: A systematic review. *J Physiother.* 2014; 60(1):31-39.

Rasenberg N, Riel H, Rathleff HR, et al. Efficacy of foot orthoses for the treatment of plantar heel pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;52(16):1040-1046.

Savigny P, Kuntze S, Watson P, et al. Low back pain: Early management of persistent non-specific low back pain. Full Guideline. London, UK: *National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care and Royal College of General Practitioners*; May 2009.

Sundstrøm T, Asbjørnsen H, Habiba S, et al. Prehospital use of cervical collars in trauma patients: A critical review. *J Neurotrauma.* March 15, 2014; 31(6):531-540.

Toward Optimized Practice. Guideline for the evidence-informed primary care management of low back pain. Edmonton, AB: *Toward Optimized Practice*; March 2, 2009:21. Revised November 2011:37.

University of Michigan Health System. Acute low back pain. Ann Arbor (MI): *University of Michigan Health System*; January 2010:14.

Wegner I, Widyahening IS, van Tulder MW, et al. Traction for low-back pain with or without sciatica. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* August 19, 2013; (8): CD003010.

Zarghooni K, Beyer F, Siewe J, Eysel P. The orthotic treatment of acute and chronic disease of the cervical and lumbar spine. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2013; 110(44):737-742. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2013.0737.

Zhang H, Huo H, Hao Z, et al. Effect of appropriate assistive device on rehabilitation of children with cerebral palsy under ICF framework. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2018;11(11):12259-12263.

Reviewed / Approved by  Patrick Browning, VP, Medical Director

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“Magellan”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.