



National Imaging Associates, Inc.	
Clinical guidelines PASSIVE TREATMENT	Original Date: November 2, 2015 Page 1 of 15
Physical Medicine – Clinical Decision Making	Last Revised Date: January 2020
Guideline Number: NIA_CG_604	Implementation Date: July 2020

Policy Statement

This organization does not support the use of multiple passive treatments for the care of musculoskeletal pain within the scope of network practitioners. Most passive treatments have similar physiological effects related to pain control and reduction of inflammation. The use of modalities with duplicative physiological effects is unnecessary and inappropriate. Multiple passive treatments have not been shown to improve or accelerate patient health outcomes.

Scope

Physical medicine participating network practitioners, including rendering chiropractors, physical therapists, occupational therapists, speech therapists and therapist assistants as applicable. This policy also applies to out of network practitioners as dictated by the health plan.

Definition

Modality

Modality is defined as any group of agents that may include thermal, acoustic, radiant, mechanical, or electrical energy to produce physiologic changes in tissues of therapeutic purposes. Modalities affect tissue at the cellular level.

Multiple Passive Modalities

Multiple passive modalities are defined as the use of and/or billing of two or more physical medicine modalities each visit or during the same session to the same region.

Passive Modalities

Modality that is applied by the provider or in a clinical setting and does not involve active participation by the patient. The purpose of passive modalities use is to promote pain reduction, improve function, and quickly transition the patient to self-care engagement.

Procedure

Procedure is a service provided to increase the functional abilities in self-care, mobility, or safety.

The following is a list of procedures and modalities considered to be passive treatment:

- Thermal and light therapy – Hot/cold (97010), diathermy (97024), microwave (97020) infrared (97026), ultraviolet (97028), ultrasound (US) (97035), paraffin bath (97018), and whirlpool (97022).
- Electrical therapy – High volt, low volt, interferential current, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) (97014 and 97032).

- Mechanical – mechanically assisted and often a sustained pull of the spine or limb such as traction (97012).
- Therapeutic massage and manual therapy (97124 and 97140). Manual therapy includes Active Release Technique, trigger point therapy, myofascial release, mobilization/manipulation, manual lymphatic drainage, and manual traction.

Appropriate Use of Passive Treatment

- Passive treatment modalities may be utilized in the initial acute stage of a condition for pain control, reduction of inflammation, or reduction of muscle spasm. As a condition progresses, passive care should be replaced by active treatment modalities such as therapeutic exercise. Insufficient evidence exists to support the continued use of passive treatment as a means for improved clinical outcomes.
- Passive modalities are considered to be clinically appropriate and/or necessary in the conservative management of neuromusculoskeletal conditions when:
 - There are no contraindications to the intervention
 - Self-administration is implausible or places the patient at risk of harm
 - Used primarily during the initial period of an episode of treatment
 - Used to support an active care approach (i.e., therapeutic exercise)
 - Used for a particular condition for which there is an evidence-basis of significant benefit
- Passive modalities are considered NOT to be clinically appropriate and/or necessary when:
 - Patient safety is jeopardized by the application of the modality
 - The modality can be safely self-administered
 - Used during a course of treatment, which continues beyond the initial period
 - Used as the primary or sole therapy
 - Greater than one passive modality is used involving the same body region(s)
 - Used largely for the comfort and convenience of the patient
 - Used as part of the routine office protocol

Exclusions

- The use of chiropractic manipulation (98940-98943) is not considered a duplication of service or physiological effect when used in conjunction with passive treatment modalities, except for the following:
 - The National Correct Coding Initiative (NCCI) edits require that the manual therapy techniques be performed in a separate anatomic site than the chiropractic adjustments in order to be reimbursed separately.

Background

The preponderance of evidence appears to support either a lack of efficacy or insufficient data to make a judgment on benefit for the modalities evaluated. When a positive outcome was described, the reported treatment effects were modest. Similarly, the duration of treatment effectiveness was typically reported as short (2 weeks to 2 months). Most international guidelines recommend these interventions should only be used reservedly based upon individual circumstances, and not as a principle component of a treatment regime.

The use of passive modalities in the treatment of neuromusculoskeletal conditions presents the inherent risk of promoting passive dependence. It is the responsibility of the treating practitioner to judiciously apply passive modalities and encourage active patient participation in the treatment plan. Passive treatment is generally viewed as appropriate when used for a short period of time and in conjunction with an active care.

Low Back Pain and Passive Interventions

Surface electrical muscle stimulators (direct or alternating current, not high-voltage galvanic current) are considered experimental and investigational for the management of idiopathic scoliosis because there is inadequate evidence of its effectiveness and safety in the peer-reviewed published medical literature.

For patients with low back pain, the use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) is not a recommended intervention.

A review on non-pharmacological therapies for acute and chronic LBP by the American Pain Society and the American College of Physicians concluded that therapies with good evidence of moderate efficacy for chronic or sub-acute LBP are cognitive-behavioral therapy, exercise, spinal manipulation, and inter-disciplinary rehabilitation (Qaseem 2017).

Studies suggest that spinal manipulation may provide modest pain relief and improved function for patients with acute low back pain (pain that has come on within the last four weeks) or chronic (longer-term) low back pain and generally appears to be safe (Chou 2018).

A Cochrane review of 32 RCTs involving 2762 participants found that “traction, either alone or in combination with other treatments, has little or no impact on pain intensity, functional status, global improvement and return to work among people with LBP. There is only limited-quality evidence from studies with small sample sizes and moderate to high risk of bias. The effects shown by these studies are small and are not clinically relevant.” (Wegner et al, 2013).

No high quality evidence was found to support the use of ultrasound for improving pain or quality of life in patients with non-specific chronic LBP. There is some evidence that therapeutic ultrasound has a small effect on improving low-back function in the short term, but this benefit is unlikely to be clinically important. Evidence from comparisons between other treatments and therapeutic ultrasound for chronic LBP were indeterminate and generally of low quality (Analan 2015).

The Cochrane Back and Neck Group reported little confidence that massage is an effective treatment for LBP. Acute, sub-acute, and chronic LBP had improvements in pain outcomes with massage only in the short-term follow-up. Functional improvement was observed in participants with sub-acute and chronic LBP when compared with inactive controls, but only for the short-term follow-up (Furlan 2015).

There are insufficient data to draw firm conclusion on the clinical effect of back schools, low-level laser therapy, patient education, massage, traction, superficial heat/cold, and lumbar supports for chronic low back pain (Van Middelkoop 2011).

A number of nonpharmacological, noninvasive treatments for low back pain are associated with small to moderate, primarily short-term effects on pain versus placebo, sham, wait list, or no treatment. Effects on function are generally smaller than effects on pain. More research is needed to understand optimal selection of treatments, effective combinations, and sequencing of treatments, and effectiveness of treatments for radicular low back pain (Chou 2017).

Guidelines on treatment of low back pain from the National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care found insufficient evidence for the use of interferential stimulation in LBP and recommended against its use for that indication (Savigny 2009).

Green et al found that transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) offers no significant benefit for chronic low back pain particularly concerning multiple disability and quality of life measures, but it does offer a small benefit in pain reduction compared with sham treatment. Their study suggests that TENS may be a useful adjunct in select patients for pain control to reduce the need for medication (Green 2016).

Alrwaily et al found that the use of traction in the treatment of low back pain is inconclusive (Alrwaily 2018).

Chou et al (2016) found that traction has little or no impact on pain intensity, functional status, or global improvement.

Neck Pain and Passive Interventions

No trials at low risk of bias support the use of traction, stretching, or ultrasound therapy for chronic neck pain. However, Yang et al found that intermittent cervical traction (ICT) may have short term pain relief effects, but generally the information regarding ICT is inconclusive (Yang 2017).

The use of traction for a herniated cervical disc with radiculopathy who completed therapy with a combination treatment of traction and exercise demonstrated good or excellent outcomes with some participants even avoiding surgery.

Low quality evidence suggests clinically important long-term improvements in neck pain, function/disability, and global perceived effect, when manual therapy and exercise are compared to no treatment. High quality evidence suggests greater short-term pain relief than exercise alone, but no long-term differences across multiple outcomes for (sub) acute/chronic neck pain with or without cervicogenic headache. Moderate quality evidence supports this treatment combination

for pain reduction and improved quality of life, over manual therapy alone for chronic neck pain and suggests greater short-term pain reduction when compared to traditional care for acute whiplash. Evidence regarding radiculopathy was sparse (Miller 2010).

Coulter et al found that there is low to moderate quality evidence that various types of manipulation and/or mobilization will reduce pain and improve function for chronic nonspecific neck pain compared to other interventions, however a multimodal approaches have the greatest potential impact (Coulter 2019).

Both stretching exercise and manual therapy considerably decreased neck pain and disability in women with non-specific neck pain. The difference in effectiveness between the two treatments was minor. Low-cost stretching exercises can be recommended in the first instance, as an appropriate therapy intervention to relieve pain, at least in the short-term (Ylinen 2007).

Combining different forms of manual therapy with exercise is better than manual therapy or exercise alone (Hidalgo 2017).

Manual trigger point treatment of head and neck muscles may reduce frequency, intensity, and duration of attacks in tension-type headaches and migraine headaches, but the quality of evidence according to GRADE approach was very low for the presence of few studies, high risk of bias, and imprecision of results (Falsiroli 2018).

There is a linear dose-response relationship between SMT visits and days with cervicogenic headache (CGH). For the highest and most effective dose of 18 SMT visits, CGH days were reduced by half and about 3 more days per month than for the light-massage control (Haas 2018).

For the treatment of the diagnostic label Non-Specific Neck Pain, strong evidence of efficacy was only found for multimodal care (manipulation/mobilization and supervised exercises) (Tsakitzidis 2013).

Interferential current therapy is effective in the treatment of chronic neck pain patients. However, it has no additional benefit or superiority over neck stabilization exercises (Yesil 2018).

In regards to chronic mechanical neck pain, stabilization exercises with or without connective tissue massage (CTM) might be a useful treatment, however stabilization exercises with CTM are superior in improving pain intensity at night, pressure pain threshold, state anxiety, and mental health compared to stabilization exercises alone (Celenay 2015).

Thrust manipulation and non-thrust mobilization was less effective when performed alone than when combined with therapeutic exercises for mechanical neck pain with or without headaches.

Cervical traction has not been shown to be effective in the treatment of neck pain. (Chou 2018, UpToDate 2018).

TMJ and Passive Interventions

No high-quality evidence was found, indicating that there is great uncertainty about the effectiveness of exercise and manual therapy for treatment of temporomandibular joint dysfunction.

Shoulder Pain and Passive Interventions

For adults with nonspecific shoulder pain of variable duration, cervicothoracic spinal manipulation and mobilization, in addition to usual care may improve self-perceived recovery compared to usual care alone. For adults with subacromial impingement syndrome of variable duration, neck mobilization in addition to a multimodal shoulder program of care, provides no added benefit (Southerst 2015)

For patients with rotator cuff tendinopathy, based on low to moderate-quality evidence, manual therapy may decrease pain; however, it is unclear whether it can improve function. (Desjardins-Charbonneau 2015).

There was little evidence that active therapeutic ultrasound is more effective than placebo ultrasound for treating people with pain or a range of musculoskeletal injuries or for promoting soft tissue healing. In regard to patients with rotator cuff disease, ultrasound does not provide additional benefit to physiotherapy treatment regimen concerning pain, function, and isokinetic shoulder rotator cuff strength (Analan 2015).

Deep tissue friction massage has been shown to be beneficial in improving function and range of motion in supraspinatus tendinitis patients (Jindal 2015).

Hip Pain and Passive Interventions

The best available evidence indicates that exercise therapy (whether land-based or water-based) is more effective than minimal control in managing pain associated with hip osteoarthritis (OA) in the short term. Larger high-quality randomized controlled trials (RCT) are needed to establish the effectiveness of exercise and manual therapies in the medium and long term (Beumer 2016).

Knee Pain and Passive Interventions

Chaves found that in order for deep friction massage to achieve a therapeutic effect in patients with patellar tendinopathy a 1.1 kg/cm² ratio of force needs to be applied (Chaves 2018).

Ultrasound provided no additional benefit in improving pain and function in addition to exercise training in the management of knee osteoarthritis. Owing to the high risk of bias and the across-trial heterogeneity of the studies, the current evidence is not clear enough to support ultrasound as an effective method to treat myofascial pain syndrome (Xia 2017).

The use of ultrasound therapy in patients with knee osteoarthritis had no significant difference when compared to the sham group.

For patients with knee osteoarthritis, the use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) may provide pain relief; however, the evidence is limited.

Ankle Pain and Passive Interventions

For adults with grade I-II ankle sprains of variable duration, lower extremity mobilization, in addition to home exercise and advice, provides greater short-term improvements in activities and function over home exercise and advice alone (Southerst 2015).

For patients with acute exercise-induced achilles tendinopathy, low level laser therapy may be helpful in reducing inflammation and pain.

In patients with achilles tendinopathy of < 3 months, the use of iontophoresis was shown to help with pain. However, the control group still demonstrated improvements with the use of a comprehensive rehabilitation program.

Chronic Pain and Passive Interventions

"Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short-term relief during chronic pain flare-ups and is directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation, and swelling. Passive therapies may be useful over the short term but have limited benefit for chronic pain conditions overall".

Electrical Stimulation and Laser Therapy

In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Fuentes analyzed the available information regarding the efficacy of interferential therapy in the management of musculoskeletal pain. Interferential current alone was not significantly better than placebo or other therapy at discharge or follow-up (Fuentes 2010).

There is a paucity of evidence in the peer-reviewed literature regarding the effectiveness of high-voltage, pulsed current treatments in humans as a means of controlling edema and post-traumatic pain; thus a clear evidence base has not yet been established.

Scientific evidence in the peer review literature is lacking regarding the use, safety, improvement, or effectiveness on health outcomes for light emitting diode (infrared) therapy.

In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Song, et al (2018) found that high intensity laser therapy is able to significantly reduce pain and disability in patients with back and neck pain.

Documentation Requirements:

The treatment plan or plan of care must include the clinical rationale for each service, a description of the service, the area of the body the service will be provided, goals for each service, and a time component, if indicated.

Applicable contraindications for passive modalities (e.g. ultrasound therapy) should be considered.

POLICY HISTORY:

Review Date: 7/13/2019

Review Summary:

- Older references updated or omitted as appropriate.
- Background information expanded to reflect scope of current evidence base.

Review Date: January 2020

Review Summary:

- No changes to indications
- Expansion of the Background section to strengthen the presentation of the evidence-base in support of the indications.
- Minor copyediting changes

REFERENCES

- Alrwaily M, Almutiri M, Schneider M. Assessment of variability in traction interventions for patients with low back pain: a systematic review. *Chiropr Man Therap*. 2018;26:35.
- Analan PD, Leblebici B, Adam M. Effects of therapeutic ultrasound and exercise on pain, function, and isokinetic shoulder rotator cuff strength of patients with rotator cuff disease. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2015;27(10):3113-7.
- Armijo-Olivo S, Pitance L, Singh V, et al. Effectiveness of manual therapy and therapeutic exercise for temporomandibular disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther*. 2016; 96(1):9-25.
- Babatunde OO, Jordan JL, Van der Windt DA, et al. Effective treatment options for musculoskeletal pain in primary care: A systematic overview of current evidence. *PLoS ONE*. 2017; 12(6):e0178621.
- Baez S, Hoch J, Uhl T. The effectiveness of cervical traction and exercise in decreasing neck and arm pain for patients with cervical radiculopathy: A critically appraised topic. *Int J Athl Ther Train*. 2017; 22(5):4-11.
- Bagheripour B, Kamyab M, Azadnia F. The efficacy of a home-mechanical traction unit for patients with mild to moderate cervical osteoarthritis: A pilot study. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2016; 30:386.
- Bryans R, Decina P, Descarreaux M, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for the chiropractic treatment of adults with neck pain. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2014 Jan;37(1):42-63. doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2013.08.010. Epub 2013 Nov 19.
- Beumer L, Wong J, Warden SJ, et al. Effects of exercise and manual therapy on pain associated with hip osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016; 50(8):458-463.
- Buchbinder R, Tulder MV, Oberg B, et al. Low Back Pain: A Call for Action. *Lancet*. 2018;391(10137):2384-2388.
- Bukhari SR, Shakil-Ur-Rehman S, Ahmad S, et al. Comparison between effectiveness of mechanical and manual traction combined with mobilization and exercise therapy in patients with cervical radiculopathy. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2016; 32(1):31-34.
- Cai C, Pua Y, Lim K. A clinical prediction rule for classifying patients with low back pain who demonstrate short-term improvement with mechanical lumbar traction. *Euro Spine J*. 2009; 18(4):554-561.
- Cakir S, Hegguler S, Ozturk C, et al. Efficacy of therapeutic ultrasound for the management of knee osteoarthritis: a randomized, controlled, and double-blind study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014; 93(5):405-412.
- Carey TS, Freburger JK, Holmes GM, et al. A long way to go: Practice patterns and evidence in chronic low back pain care. *Spine*. 2009; 34(7):718-724.

Celenay ST, Kaya DO, Akbayrak T. Cervical and scapulothoracic stabilization exercises with and without connective tissue massage for chronic mechanical neck pain: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Man Ther.* 2016;21:144-50

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Decision memo for transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for chronic low back pain (CAG-00429N). *CMS*, Baltimore, MD; June 8, 2012. <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/nca-decision-memo.aspx?NCAId=256>. Accessed April 21, 2017.

Chaves, Maria Dias. Is there a role for deep friction massage in the management of patellar tendinopathy? Technique characterization and short term clinical outcomes. Doctorate dissertation in Physiotherapy, presented at the Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, 2018.

Cherian JJ, Jauregui JJ, Leichter AK, et al. The effects of various physical non-operative modalities on the pain in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Bone Joint J.* 2016; 98(B Suppl 1A): 89-94.

Chou R. Patient education: Low back pain in adults (Beyond the Basics). *UptoDate*. Waltham, MA: March 21, 2018.

Chou R, Côté P, Randhawa K, et al. The Global Spine Care Initiative: applying evidence-based guidelines on the non-invasive management of back and neck pain to low- and middle-income communities. *Eur Spine J.* 2018 Sep;27(Suppl 6):851-860. doi: 10.1007/s00586-017-5433-8. Epub 2018 Feb 19.

Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, et al. Nonpharmacologic therapies for low back pain: A systematic review for an American college of physicians clinical practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017; 166(7):493-505.

Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Low Back Pain. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2016 Feb. Report No.: 16-EHC004-EF.

Clar C, Tsertsvadze A, Court R, et al. Clinical effectiveness of manual therapy for the management of musculoskeletal and non-musculoskeletal conditions: Systematic review and update of UK evidence report. *Chiropr Man Therap.* 2014; 22(12). doi: 10.1186/2045-709X-22-12.

Coulter ID, Crawford C, Hurwitz EL, et al. Manipulation and mobilization for treating chronic low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Spine J.* 2018;18(5):866-879.

Coulter I, Crawford CJ, Vernon H, Hurwitz E, Khorsan R, Booth M, Herman PM. Manipulation and mobilization for treating chronic nonspecific neck pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis for an appropriateness panel. *Pain Physician.* 2019; 22:E55-E70.

Crawford C, Boyd C, MA, Paat CF, et al. The impact of massage therapy on function in pain populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials: part I, patients experiencing pain in the general population. *Pain Med.* July 2016; 17(7): 1353-1375.

Damgaard P, Bartels EM, Ris I, et al. Evidence of physiotherapy interventions for patients with chronic neck pain: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *ISRN Pain.* 2013; 567175. doi: 10.1155/2013/567175.

Desjardins-Charbonneau A, Roy JS, Dionne CE, et al. The efficacy of manual therapy for rotator cuff tendinopathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2015; 45(5):330-350.

Desmeules F, Boudreault J, Roy JS, et al. The efficacy of therapeutic ultrasound for rotator cuff tendinopathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2015; (3):276-284.

Ebadi S, Henschke N, Nakhostin Ansari N, et al. Therapeutic ultrasound for chronic low-back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014; (3): CD009169.

Falsiroli Maistrello L, Geri T, Gianola S, et al. Effectiveness of Trigger Point Manual Treatment on the Frequency, Intensity, and Duration of Attacks in Primary Headaches: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Front Neurol.* 2018;9:254. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00254

Farooq MN, Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Gilani SA, et al. The effects of neck mobilization in patients with chronic neck pain: a randomized controlled trial. *J Bodyw Mov Ther.* January 2018; 22(1):24-31.

Foster NE, Anema JR, Cherkin D, et al. Prevention and treatment of low back pain: evidence challenges, and promising directions. *Lancet.* 2018;391(10137):2368-2383.

Fritz JM, Thackeray A, Brennan G, et al. Exercise only, exercise with mechanical traction, or exercise with over door traction for patients with cervical radiculopathy, with or without consideration of status on a previously described subgrouping rule: A randomized clinical trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2014; 44(2):45-57.

Fuentes JP, Armijo OS, Magee DJ, et al. Effectiveness of interferential current therapy in the management of musculoskeletal pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther.* 2010; 90(9):1219-38. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20090335.

Furlan A, Yazdi F, Tsertsvadze A, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of efficacy, cost-effectiveness, and safety of selected complementary and alternative medicine for neck and low-back pain. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2012; 953139. doi:10.1155/2012/953139.

Furlan AD, Giraldo M, Baskwill A, et al. Massage for low-back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015; (9): CD001929. doi: 10.1002/14651858.

Glazov G, Yelland M, Emery J. Low-level laser therapy for chronic non-specific low back pain: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Acupunct Med.* 2016; 34:328-341.

Green MW, Clemente-Fuentes RJ. Is transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) more effective than placebo for management of chronic low back pain? *Evidence Based Practice.* 2016; 19(8): 10-11.

Haas M, Bronfort G, Evans R, et al. Dose-response and efficacy of spinal manipulation for care of cervicogenic headache: a dual-center randomized controlled trial. *Spine J.* 2018. pii: S1529-9430(18)30077-9.

Hawk C, Minkalis A, Khorsan R, et al. Systematic review of nondrug, nonsurgical treatment of shoulder conditions. *J Manip Physiol Ther.* 2017; 40(5):293-319.

Hidalgo B, Hall T, Bossert J, et al. The efficacy of manual therapy and exercise for treating non-specific neck pain: a systematic review. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2017; 30(6):1149-1169.

Isaac Z, Dec KL. Patient education: Neck pain (Beyond the Basics). UpToDate, 01/25/18.
<https://www.uptodate.com/contents/neck-pain-beyond-the-basics>

Jindal JK, Monika M. Efficacy of Conventional Treatment and Eccentric Exercise with and without Deep Transverse Friction Massage in Supraspinatus Tendinitis Patients a Randomized Clinical Trial. *Indian Journal of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy.* 2015;9(3):249-253

Johnson MI, Paley CA, Howe TE, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for acute pain. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev.* 2015; 6: CD006142.

Kroeling P, Gross A, Graham N, et al. Electrotherapy for neck pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013; 8: CD004251.

Kumar S, Beaton K, Hughes T. The effectiveness of massage therapy for the treatment of nonspecific low back pain: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *Int J Gen Med.* 2013; 6:733-41.

Littlewood C, May S, Walters S. A review of systematic reviews of the effectiveness of conservative interventions for rotator cuff tendinopathy. *British Elbow & Shoulder Society (BESS).* February 6, 2017; 5(3):151-167. Available at <https://doi.org/10.1111/sae.12009>.

Martimbianco AC, Torloni MR, Andriolo BNG, et al. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) for patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev.* 2017; (12): CD011289.

Miller J, Gross A, D'Sylva J, et al. Manual therapy and exercise for neck pain: A systematic review. *Man Ther.* 2010; 15(4):334-354.

Muhammad A, Mohammed A, Michael S. Assessment of variability in traction interventions for patients with low back pain: a systematic review. *Chiropr Man Therap.* 2018; 26: 35.

Negrini S, Minozzi S, Bettany-Saltikov J, et al. Braces for idiopathic scoliosis in adolescents. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev.* 2010; (1): CD006850.

Nelson NL, Churilla JR. Massage therapy for pain and function in patients with arthritis: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2017; 96(9):665-672.

Page MJ, Green S, Kramer S, et al. Electrotherapy modalities for adhesive capsulitis (frozen shoulder). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014; 10: CD011324.

Page MJ, Green S, Mrocki MA, et al. Electrotherapy modalities for rotator cuff disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016; (6): CD012225.

Palmer E, Redavid L. Therapeutic Ultrasound: Clinical Review. Glendale, CA: *Clinical Information Systems: A division of EBSCO Information Services.* 2016.

Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;166(7):514-530.

Rankin IA, Sargeant H, Rehman H, et al. Low-level laser therapy for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev*. 2017; 8: CD012765.

Remmen R, Tsakitzidis G, Dankaerts W, et al. Non-specific neck pain and evidence-based practice. *European Scientific Journal*. 2013; 9(3):1-19.

Rutjes AWS, Nüesch E, Sterchi R, et al. Transcutaneous electrostimulation for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev*. 2009; (4): CD002823.

Salazar AP, Stein C, Marchese RR, et al. Electric stimulation for pain relief in patients with fibromyalgia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pain Physician*. 2017; 20(2):15-25.

Sandoval MC, Ramirez C, Camargo DM, et al. Effect of high-voltage pulsed current plus conventional treatment on acute ankle sprain. *Rev Bras Fisioter*. 2010; 14(3):193-199.

Seco J, Kovacs F, Urrutia G. The efficacy, safety, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness of ultrasound and shock wave therapies for low back pain: A systematic review. *Spine J*. 2011; 11(10):966-977.

Snyder AR, Perotti AL, Lam KC, et al. Influence of high-voltage electrical stimulation on edema formation after acute injury: A systematic review. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2010; 19(4):436-451.

Southerst D, Yu H, Randhawa K, et al. The effectiveness of manual therapy for the management of musculoskeletal disorders of the upper and lower extremities: a systematic review by the Ontario Protocol for Traffic Injury Management (OPTIMa) collaboration. *Chiropr Man Therap*. 2015; 23:30.

Thackeray A, Fritz JM, Childs JD, et al. The Effectiveness of mechanical traction among subgroups of patients with low back pain and leg pain: A randomized trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2016; 46(3):144-154.

Thoomes EJ. Effectiveness of manual therapy for cervical radiculopathy: A review. *Chiropr Man Therap*. 2016; 24:45.

Tsakitzidis G, Remmen R, Dankaerts W, et al. Non-specific neck pain and evidence-based practice. *European Scientific Journal*. 2013; 9(3).

University of Michigan Health System. Acute low back pain guidelines for clinical care. *University of Michigan Health System*. Ann Arbor, MI. 2003. Revised 2010. Available at www.cme.med.umich.edu.

Van den Bekerom MP, van der Windt DA, Ter Riet G, et al. Therapeutic ultrasound for acute ankle sprains. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. June 2012; 48(2):325-334.

Van den Dolder PA, Ferreira PH, Refshauge KM. Effectiveness of soft tissue massage and exercise for the treatment of non-specific shoulder pain: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014; 48(16):1216.

Van Middelkoop M, Rubinstein SM, Kuijpers T, et al. A systematic review on the effectiveness of physical and rehabilitation interventions for chronic non-specific low back pain. *Eur Spine J.* 2011; 20(1):19-39.

Walsh DM, Howe TE, Johnson MI, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for acute pain. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev.* 2009; 2: CD006142.

Wegner I, Widyahening IS, et al. Traction for low back pain with or without sciatica. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* August 19, 2013; (8): CD003010. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003010.pub5.

Yang JD, Tam KW, Huang TW, Huang SW, Liou TH, Chen HC. Intermittent Cervical Traction for Treating Neck Pain. *Spine.* 2017 1;42(13):959-965

Yesil H, Hepguler S, Dundar U, et al. Does the Use of Electrotherapies Increase the Effectiveness of Neck Stabilization Exercises for Improving Pain, Disability, Mood, and Quality of life in Chronic Neck Pain? A Randomized, Controlled, Single Blind Study. *Spine.* 2018;43(20):E1174-E1183.

Ylinen J, Kautiainen H, Wiren K, et al. Stretching exercises vs manual therapy in treatment of chronic neck pain: A randomized, controlled cross-over trial. *J Rehabil Med.* 2007; 39(2):126-132.

Xia P, Wang X, Lin Q, et al. Effectiveness of ultrasound therapy for myofascial pain syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain Res.* 2017; 10:545-555.

Zeng C, Li H, Yang T, et al. Electrical stimulation for pain relief in knee osteoarthritis: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2015; 23(2):189-202.

Song HJ, Seo HJ, Lee Y, et al. Effectiveness of high-intensity laser therapy in the treatment of musculoskeletal disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2019;98(4):e14274.

Reviewed / Approved by  Patrick Browning, VP, Medical Director

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“Magellan”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.