

National Imaging Associates, Inc.\*

# 2024 Evolent Clinical Guidelines For Medical Necessity Review - HMSA

MUSCULOSKELETAL SURGERY GUIDELINES - JOINT SURGERY

Effective January 1, 2024 – June 30, 2024



*\*National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Evolent Health, LLC.*

© 2024 National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved.

# Guidelines for Clinical Review Determination

## **Preamble**

NIA is committed to the philosophy of supporting safe and effective treatment for patients. The medical necessity criteria that follow are guidelines for the provision of diagnostic imaging. These criteria are designed to guide both providers and reviewers to the most appropriate diagnostic tests based on a patient's unique circumstances. In all cases, clinical judgment consistent with the standards of good medical practice will be used when applying the guidelines. Determinations are made based on both the guideline and clinical information provided at the time of the request. It is expected that medical necessity decisions may change as new evidence-based information is provided or based on unique aspects of the patient's condition. The treating clinician has final authority and responsibility for treatment decisions regarding the care of the patient.

## **Guideline Development Process**

These medical necessity criteria were developed by National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) for the purpose of making clinical review determinations for requests for therapies and diagnostic procedures. The developers of the criteria sets included representatives from the disciplines of radiology, internal medicine, nursing, cardiology, and other specialty groups. NIA's guidelines are reviewed yearly and modified when necessary following a literature search of pertinent and established clinical guidelines and accepted diagnostic imaging practices.

All inquiries should be directed to:  
Stacy Shupe, NIA Compliance Officer  
NIA/Evolent  
800 N. Glebe Road, Suite 500  
Arlington, VA 22203  
Fax: 888-656-0398

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **MUSCULOSKELETAL SURGERY (HIP, KNEE, SHOULDER (HKS)) GUIDELINES**

**HIP ARTHROPLASTY**

**HIP ARTHROSCOPY**

**KNEE ARTHROPLASTY**

**KNEE ARTHROSCOPY**

**SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY**

**SHOULDER ARTHROSCOPY**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>*National Imaging Associates, Inc.</b>   |  |
| <b>Clinical guidelines:<br/>HIP ARTHROPLASTY</b>  | <b>Original Date: November 2015</b>      |
| <b>CPT Codes**:</b><br>- <b>Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA): 27130, S2118</b><br>- <b>Revision/Conversion Hip Arthroplasty: 27132, 27134, 27137, 27138</b><br><br><i>**See UM Matrix for allowable billed groupings and additional covered codes</i> | <b>Last Revised Date: May 2023</b>       |
| <b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_313</b>   | <b>Implementation Date: January 2024</b> |

## GENERAL INFORMATION

*It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*

## General Requirements

Elective hip arthroplasty may be considered if the following general criteria are met:

- Hip pain with documented loss of function, which may include painful weight bearing, painful or inadequate range of motion to accomplish age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs) and/or employment, and mechanical catching, locking
- Individual is medically stable and optimized for surgery with no uncontrolled comorbidities (such as diabetes)
- Individual does not have an active local or systemic infection
- Individual does not have active, untreated drug dependency (including but not limited to narcotics, opioids, muscle relaxants) unless engaged in treatment program
- Individual has good oral hygiene and does not have major dental work scheduled or anticipated (ideally, within one year of joint replacement), due to increased post-surgical infection risk

Clinical notes should address:

- Symptom onset, duration, and severity

- Loss of function and/or limitations
- Type and duration of non-operative management modalities
- Discussion with patient regarding decision making and timing

Non-operative management must include at least **two** or more of the following unless otherwise specified in clinical indications below:

- Rest or activity modifications/limitations
- Weight reduction for individual with elevated BMI
- Protected weight-bearing with cane, walker, or crutches
- Physical therapy modalities
- Physician-supervised exercise program (including home exercise program)
- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, or analgesics
- Intra-articular injection(s)

## INDICATIONS

### TOTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTY (THA)

THA may be considered medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- Hip pathology is due to rheumatoid arthritis,<sup>1, 2</sup> femoral neck fracture,<sup>3, 4</sup> malignancy, dysplasia, avascular necrosis (confirmed by imaging)<sup>5</sup> **or** radiographs (X-rays) demonstrate bone-on-bone articulation

#### **AND**

- There is persistent pain and documented loss of function with any of the above

**NOTE:** There is no medical necessity to perform THA in individuals with severe radiological disease and no symptoms, except in the case of malignancy

#### **OR**

- When **ALL** of the following criteria are met:
  - Pain due to advanced osteoarthritis (Tönnis Grade-2 or 3 [see grading appendix] **AND** documented loss of function that has been present for at least 12 weeks<sup>6, 7</sup>
  - Failure of **at least 12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:
    - Rest or activity modifications/limitations<sup>8</sup>
    - Weight reduction for individual with elevated BMI<sup>8</sup>
    - Protected weight-bearing with cane, walker, or crutches
    - Physical therapy modalities<sup>9</sup>
    - Physician-supervised exercise program (including home exercise program)<sup>10</sup>
    - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, or analgesics<sup>8</sup>

- Intra-articular corticosteroid injection<sup>8</sup>
- Physical exam demonstrates findings of hip pathology as evidenced by **one or more** of the following:
  - Painful, limited range of motion or antalgic gait
  - Contracture
  - Crepitus
  - Leg length difference
- Radiographic findings show evidence of advanced arthritic changes, described as Tönnis grade 2 or 3 [see grading appendix] or described as X-rays showing advanced changes such as, severe narrowing or bone-on-bone compartment collapse, subchondral sclerosis or cysts, osteophyte formation and/or bony deformity etc.; X-rays described only as showing “severe”, “advanced” or “end-stage” arthritis require more definitive descriptions as stated above. Weightbearing X-rays are not required.<sup>11</sup>

**NOTE:** MRI should not be the primary radiographic test used to determine the presence or severity of arthritic changes in the joint.
- No corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>12-18</sup>

### **Additional Information**

- All requests for simultaneous bilateral total hip replacements should clearly indicate why simultaneous THA is preferable to staged procedures. Associated risks with simultaneous bilateral total hip replacements should also be discussed with the individual and documented in the medical record<sup>19-26</sup>.

### **Absolute Contraindications**

- Active infection (local or remote). If a local or remote infection is documented in the patient’s history, records should clearly demonstrate that the previous infection had been treated and symptoms have resolved or that the individual has no clinical signs or symptoms of the previous infection at the time of the operation.
- Any corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>12-18</sup>

### **Relative Contraindications**

- Prior infection at site (unless aspiration with cultures and serology [CBC with differential, ESR, CRP] demonstrates no infection). If prior infection at site, tissue biopsies should be sent intra-operatively to exclude latent/dormant infection
- Documented allergy to any proposed component
- BMI > 40<sup>27</sup>; without attempts at weight loss or discussion of increased risk conferred by BMI
- Compromised soft tissue envelope
- Uncontrolled comorbidities<sup>28</sup>

## **HIP RESURFACING ARTHROPLASTY**

Hip resurfacing procedures will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Hip resurfacing arthroplasty may be considered medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Pain and documented loss of function are present for at least 12 weeks
- 12 weeks of non-operative treatment have failed to improve symptoms
- Physical exam has typical findings of hip pathology as evidenced by **one or more** of the following:
  - Painful, limited range of motion or antalgic gait
  - Contracture
  - Crepitus
  - Leg length difference
- Imaging demonstrates advanced hip joint pathology of at least Tönnis grade 2 or 3, or avascular necrosis involving less than 50% of the femoral head [see grading appendix]
- Male patient is less than 65 years old or female patient is less than 55 years old<sup>29</sup>
- BMI < 40<sup>30</sup>
- No corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>12-18</sup>

### **Absolute Contraindications**

- Any corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>12-18</sup>
- Osteoporosis or osteopenia (DEXA scan bone mineral density evaluation)<sup>29</sup>
  - Osteoporosis or poor bone quality may increase the risk of fixation failure or femoral neck fracture after hip resurfacing<sup>30</sup>
- Other co-morbidity (including medications that contribute to decreased bone mineral density (glucocorticoid steroids, heparin, aromatase inhibitors, thiazolidinediones, proton pump inhibitors, loop diuretics, cyclosporine, antiretrovirals, anti-psychotics, anti-seizures, certain breast cancer drugs, certain prostate cancer drugs, Depo-Provera, aluminum-containing antacids) that may contribute to active bone demineralization<sup>31</sup>
- Cystic degeneration at the junction of the femoral head and neck on radiographs or MRI or CT
- Malignancy at the proximal femur
- Evidence of current, ongoing, or inadequately treated hip infection, or sepsis
- Female of child-bearing age (due to metal ions circulating in blood with potential risk to fetus)<sup>32, 33</sup>
- Chronic renal insufficiency (due to metal ions circulating and potential renal toxicity)<sup>33</sup>
- Metal allergy<sup>33</sup>

## **TOTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTY REVISION / CONVERSION ARTHROPLASTY**

Hip revision/conversion arthroplasty may be considered medically necessary when a previous hip reconstruction meets **ALL** the following criteria in either of the following subsections:

- Previous removal of infected hip prosthesis **AND** no evidence of current, ongoing, or inadequately treated hip infection (ruled out by normal inflammatory markers\* (ESR and CRP) or significant improvement in these markers and a clear statement by the treating surgeon that infection has been adequately treated) **AND** off antibiotics.<sup>34-36</sup>

**\*NOTE:** If these inflammatory markers are elevated, further evaluation is required, including an aspiration with synovial fluid WBC count, gram stain and cultures, or an intraoperative frozen biopsy.

### **OR**

- When **ALL** the following criteria are met:
  - Failed hip arthroplasty as defined by symptomatic or unstable joint upon physical examination, documented persistent, severe, or disabling pain with loss of function or instability), or there is persistent pain or radiographic evidence of hardware failure from previous hip fracture surgery
  - Physical exam and radiographic evidence support extensive disease or damage due to fracture, malignancy, osteolysis, other bone or soft-tissue reactive or destructive process, inappropriate positioning of components, recurrent instability, subluxation, dislocation, critical polyethylene wear, or other mechanical failure. (**NOTE:** MRI is used less often in these circumstances unless it is a metal-on-metal prosthesis and looking for soft-tissue lesions; x-ray, CT, nuclear studies are used more frequently)
  - For implant loosening seen on routine X-rays or bone scan, documentation of no current, ongoing, or inadequately treated hip infection, ruled out by normal inflammatory markers (ESR and CRP)<sup>34, 35, 37-40</sup>
  - If the revision is for obvious hardware failure or recurrent dislocations, inflammatory markers are not required
  - No corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>12-18</sup>

### **Additional Information**

- Removal of infected hip prosthesis and subsequent insertion of antibiotic spacer is not considered to be a revision arthroplasty



## GRADING APPENDIX

### Tönnis Classification of Osteoarthritis by Radiographic Changes

| Grade | Description  |
|-------|--|
| 0     | No signs of osteoarthritis   |
| 1     | Mild: Increased sclerosis, slight narrowing of the joint space, no or slight loss of head sphericity   |
| 2     | Moderate: Small cysts, moderate narrowing of the joint space, moderate loss of head sphericity         |
| 3     | Severe: Large cysts, severe narrowing or obliteration of the joint space, severe deformity of the head |

---

---

## BACKGROUND

### HIP ARTHROPLASTY - Total & Revision/Conversion Hip Replacement

This guideline addresses elective, non-emergent hip arthroplasty (hip replacement) procedures, including total hip arthroplasty, resurfacing arthroplasty, and revision/conversion arthroplasty procedures.

Arthritis is the most common cause of chronic hip pain and disability. Degenerative, age-related osteoarthritis causes cartilage to wear away and eventually the bones within the joint rub against each other causing pain and stiffness. In a total hip replacement, the femoral head and acetabulum are removed and replaced with prosthetic components. In hip resurfacing arthroplasty, a metal cup is placed in the acetabulum and a metal cap is placed over the head of the femur with limited removal of the femoral head and neck.

In some cases, the hip prosthesis may wear out or loosen. If loosening is painful, a second surgery, such as a revision or conversion may be necessary. In this procedure some or all of the components of the original replacement prosthesis are removed and replaced with new ones.

Hemiarthroplasty or partial hip replacement involves the reconstruction of the femoral head but not the acetabulum. This procedure is indicated for select traumatic events, guidelines for which fall outside of the scope of this document.

## REFERENCES

1. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, et al. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. Apr 2012;64(4):465-74. doi:10.1002/acr.21596
2. Jain A, Stein BE, Skolasky RL, Jones LC, Hungerford MW. Total joint arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a United States experience from 1992 through 2005. *J Arthroplasty*. Jun 2012;27(6):881-8. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2011.12.027
3. Kim SH, Meehan JP, Lee MA. Surgical treatment of trochanteric and cervical hip fractures in the United States: 2000-2009. *J Arthroplasty*. Sep 2013;28(8):1386-90. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.09.007
4. Murphy DK, Randell T, Brennan KL, Probe RA, Brennan ML. Treatment and displacement affect the reoperation rate for femoral neck fracture. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Aug 2013;471(8):2691-702. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3020-9
5. Moya-Angeler J, Gianakos AL, Villa JC, Ni A, Lane JM. Current concepts on osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *World J Orthop*. Sep 18 2015;6(8):590-601. doi:10.5312/wjo.v6.i8.590
6. Gossec L, Paternotte S, Maillefert JF, et al. The role of pain and functional impairment in the decision to recommend total joint replacement in hip and knee osteoarthritis: an international cross-sectional study of 1909 patients. Report of the OARSI-OMERACT Task Force on total joint replacement. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Feb 2011;19(2):147-54. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2010.10.025
7. Gossec L, Paternotte S, Bingham CO, 3rd, et al. OARSI/OMERACT initiative to define states of severity and indication for joint replacement in hip and knee osteoarthritis. An OMERACT 10 Special Interest Group. *J Rheumatol*. Aug 2011;38(8):1765-9. doi:10.3899/jrheum.110403
8. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hip Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Updated March 13, 2017. Accessed March 2, 2023. [https://www.aaos.org/globalassets/quality-and-practice-resources/osteoarthritis-of-the-hip/oa-hip-cpg\\_6-11-19.pdf](https://www.aaos.org/globalassets/quality-and-practice-resources/osteoarthritis-of-the-hip/oa-hip-cpg_6-11-19.pdf)
9. Abbott JH, Robertson MC, Chapple C, et al. Manual therapy, exercise therapy, or both, in addition to usual care, for osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: a randomized controlled trial. 1: clinical effectiveness. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Apr 2013;21(4):525-34. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2012.12.014
10. Juhakoski R, Tenhonen S, Malmivaara A, Kiviniemi V, Anttonen T, Arokoski JP. A pragmatic randomized controlled study of the effectiveness and cost consequences of exercise therapy in hip osteoarthritis. *Clin Rehabil*. Apr 2011;25(4):370-83. doi:10.1177/0269215510388313
11. Bessa FS, Williams BT, Polce EM, et al. No Differences in Hip Joint Space Measurements Between Weightbearing or Supine Anteroposterior Pelvic Radiographs. *Arthroscopy*. Nov 2020;36(11):2843-2848. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.07.009
12. Forlenza EM, Burnett RA, Korrapati AB, Yang J, Forsythe B, Della Valle CJ. Preoperative Corticosteroid Injections Demonstrate a Temporal and Dose-Dependent Relationship with the Rate of Postoperative Infection Following Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. Jun 2021;36(6):2033-2037.e1. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2021.01.076

13. Schairer WW, Nwachukwu BU, Mayman DJ, Lyman S, Jerabek SA. Preoperative Hip Injections Increase the Rate of Periprosthetic Infection After Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. Sep 2016;31(9 Suppl):166-169.e1. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.04.008
14. Werner BC, Cancienne JM, Browne JA. The Timing of Total Hip Arthroplasty After Intraarticular Hip Injection Affects Postoperative Infection Risk. *J Arthroplasty*. Apr 2016;31(4):820-3. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.08.032
15. Avila A, Do MT, Acuña AJ, Samuel LT, Kamath AF. How do pre-operative intra-articular injections impact periprosthetic joint infection risk following primary total hip arthroplasty? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Mar 2023;143(3):1627-1635. doi:10.1007/s00402-022-04375-8
16. Albanese J, Feltri P, Boffa A, Werner BC, Traina F, Filardo G. Infection Risk Increases After Total Hip Arthroplasty Within 3 Months Following Intra-Articular Corticosteroid Injection. A Meta-Analysis on Knee and Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. Dec 30 2022;doi:10.1016/j.arth.2022.12.038
17. Yaghmour KM, Loumpardias GA, Elbahi A, et al. Intra-articular steroid injections in large joint arthritis: A survey of current practice. *Musculoskeletal Care*. Jun 2022;20(2):349-353. doi:10.1002/msc.1596
18. Blankstein M, Lentine B, Nelms NJ. Common Practices in Intra-Articular Corticosteroid Injection for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Survey of the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Membership. *J Arthroplasty*. Mar 2021;36(3):845-850. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2020.09.022
19. Ramezani A, Ghaseminejad Raeini A, Sharafi A, Sheikhvatan M, Mortazavi SMJ, Shafiei SH. Simultaneous versus staged bilateral total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res*. Aug 13 2022;17(1):392. doi:10.1186/s13018-022-03281-4
20. Shao H, Chen CL, Maltenfort MG, Restrepo C, Rothman RH, Chen AF. Bilateral Total Hip Arthroplasty: 1-Stage or 2-Stage? A Meta-Analysis. *J Arthroplasty*. Feb 2017;32(2):689-695. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.09.022
21. Huang L, Xu T, Li P, Xu Y, Xia L, Zhao Z. Comparison of mortality and complications between bilateral simultaneous and staged total hip arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Sep 2019;98(39):e16774. doi:10.1097/md.00000000000016774
22. Garland A, Rolfson O, Garellick G, Kärrholm J, Hailer NP. Early postoperative mortality after simultaneous or staged bilateral primary total hip arthroplasty: an observational register study from the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Apr 8 2015;16:77. doi:10.1186/s12891-015-0535-0
23. Houdek MT, Wyles CC, Watts CD, et al. Single-Anesthetic Versus Staged Bilateral Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Matched Cohort Study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jan 4 2017;99(1):48-54. doi:10.2106/jbjs.15.01223
24. Poultsides LA, Triantafyllopoulos GK, Memtsoudis SG, Do HT, Alexiades MM, Sculco TP. Perioperative Morbidity of Same-Day and Staged Bilateral Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. Oct 2017;32(10):2974-2979.e1. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.05.028
25. Guo SJ, Shao HY, Huang Y, Yang DJ, Zheng HL, Zhou YX. Retrospective Cohort Study Comparing Complications, Readmission, Transfusion, and Length of Stay of Patients Undergoing

- Simultaneous and Staged Bilateral Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Orthop Surg*. Feb 2020;12(1):233-240. doi:10.1111/os.12617
26. Haverkamp D, van den Bekerom MP, Harmse I, Schafroth MU. One stage bilateral total hip arthroplasty, is it safe? A meta-analysis. *Hip Int*. Oct-Dec 2010;20(4):440-6. doi:10.1177/112070001002000405
27. D'Apuzzo MR, Novicoff WM, Browne JA. The John Insall Award: Morbid obesity independently impacts complications, mortality, and resource use after TKA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jan 2015;473(1):57-63. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3668-9
28. Clement N. Patient factors that influence the outcome of total knee replacement: a critical review of the literature. *OA Orthopaedics*. 2013 Aug 01 2013;1(2):11.
29. Sershon R, Balkissoon R, Valle CJ. Current indications for hip resurfacing arthroplasty in 2016. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. Mar 2016;9(1):84-92. doi:10.1007/s12178-016-9324-0
30. Nunley RM, Della Valle CJ, Barrack RL. Is patient selection important for hip resurfacing? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jan 2009;467(1):56-65. doi:10.1007/s11999-008-0558-z
31. Clough EJ, Clough TM. Metal on metal hip resurfacing arthroplasty: Where are we now? *J Orthop*. Jan-Feb 2021;23:123-127. doi:10.1016/j.jor.2020.12.036
32. Matharu GS, Berryman F, Judge A, et al. Blood Metal Ion Thresholds to Identify Patients with Metal-on-Metal Hip Implants at Risk of Adverse Reactions to Metal Debris: An External Multicenter Validation Study of Birmingham Hip Resurfacing and Corail-Pinnacle Implants. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Sep 20 2017;99(18):1532-1539. doi:10.2106/jbjs.16.01568
33. Information for Orthopaedic Surgeons: General Recommendations for Orthopaedic Surgeons BEFORE Metal-on-Metal Hip Resurfacing Surgery. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Updated March 15, 2019. Accessed March 3, 2023. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/metal-metal-hip-implants/information-orthopaedic-surgeons>
34. Della Valle C, Parvizi J, Bauer TW, et al. Diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Dec 2010;18(12):760-70. doi:10.5435/00124635-201012000-00006
35. Ting NT, Della Valle CJ. Diagnosis of Periprosthetic Joint Infection-An Algorithm-Based Approach. *J Arthroplasty*. Jul 2017;32(7):2047-2050. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.02.070
36. Lee HD, Prashant K, Shon WY. Management of Periprosthetic Hip Joint Infection. *Hip Pelvis*. Jun 2015;27(2):63-71. doi:10.5371/hp.2015.27.2.63
37. Lee AJ, Armour P, Thind D, Coates MH, Kang AC. The prevalence of acetabular labral tears and associated pathology in a young asymptomatic population. *Bone Joint J*. May 2015;97-b(5):623-7. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.97b5.35166
38. Parvizi J, Gehrke T, Chen AF. Proceedings of the International Consensus on Periprosthetic Joint Infection. *Bone Joint J*. Nov 2013;95-b(11):1450-2. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.95b11.33135
39. Parvizi J, Tan TL, Goswami K, et al. The 2018 Definition of Periprosthetic Hip and Knee Infection: An Evidence-Based and Validated Criteria. *J Arthroplasty*. May 2018;33(5):1309-1314.e2. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.078
40. Parvizi J, Della Valle CJ. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline: diagnosis and treatment of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Dec 2010;18(12):771-2. doi:10.5435/00124635-201012000-00007

## ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Bergh C, Fenstad AM, Furnes O, et al. Increased risk of revision in patients with non-traumatic femoral head necrosis. *Acta Orthop*. Feb 2014;85(1):11-7. doi:10.3109/17453674.2013.874927
2. Brooks PJ. Hip resurfacing: a large, US single-surgeon series. *Bone Joint J*. Jan 2016;98-b(1 Suppl A):10-3. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.98b1.36360
3. Chambers AW, Lacy KW, Liow MHL, Manalo JPM, Freiberg AA, Kwon YM. Multiple Hip Intra-Articular Steroid Injections Increase Risk of Periprosthetic Joint Infection Compared With Single Injections. *J Arthroplasty*. Jun 2017;32(6):1980-1983. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.01.030
4. Stambough JB, Curtin BM, Odum SM, Cross MB, Martin JR, Fehring TK. Does Change in ESR and CRP Guide the Timing of Two-stage Arthroplasty Reimplantation? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Feb 2019;477(2):364-371. doi:10.1097/01.blo.0000533618.31937.45
5. Taheriazam A, Mohseni G, Esmailiejah AA, Safdari F, Abrishamkarzadeh H. Bilateral total hip arthroplasty: one-stage versus two-stage procedure. *Hip Int*. Mar 2019;29(2):141-146. doi:10.1177/1120700018773427

## POLICY HISTORY

| Date     | Summary  |
|----------|--|
| May 2023 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Addition of references pertaining to the risk of infection following a cortisone injection within 3 months of surgery</li><li>• Deleted risk/benefit discussion requirement for revision hip arthroplasty</li><li>• Clarification of the definition of failed hip arthroplasty</li></ul>   |
| May 2022 | <p>Deleted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Documented risk and benefit discussion requirement (THA)</li><li>• “Efforts have been made to ensure that the patient is optimally informed and prepared for surgery” (general requirements)</li></ul> <p>Revised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Individual is medically stable and <i>optimized for surgery</i></li><li>• 3 months to 12 weeks throughout</li><li>• “patient” to “individual” where appropriate</li></ul> |

## **Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee**

**Disclaimer:** *National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.*

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>*National Imaging Associates, Inc.</b>   |  |
| <b>Clinical guidelines:<br/>HIP ARTHROSCOPY</b>   | <b>Original Date: November 2015</b>      |
| <b>CPT Codes**:</b><br>- <b>Femoroacetabular Impingement (FAI) Hip Surgery:<br/>29914, 29915, 29916</b><br>- <b>Hip Surgery – Other: 29860, 29861, 29862, 29863</b><br><br><i>**See UM Matrix for allowable billed groupings and<br/>additional covered codes</i> | <b>Last Revised Date: May 2023</b>       |
| <b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_314</b>   | <b>Implementation Date: January 2024</b> |

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

*It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*

---

**General Requirements**

Elective arthroscopic surgery of the hip may be considered if the following general criteria are met:

- There is clinical correlation of the individual’s subjective complaints with objective exam findings and/or imaging (when applicable)
- Individual has limited function (age-appropriate activities of daily living [ADLs], occupational, athletic)
- Individual is medically stable with no uncontrolled comorbidities
- Individual does not have an active local or systemic infection
- Individual does not have active, untreated drug dependency (including but not limited to narcotics, opioids, muscle relaxants) unless engaged in treatment program

Clinical notes should address:

- Symptom onset, duration, and severity
- Loss of function and/or limitations
- Type and duration of non-operative management modalities (where applicable).



Non-operative management must include **TWO** or more of the following, unless otherwise specified:

- Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
- Rest or activity modification
- Ice/Heat
- Protected weight bearing
- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics
- Brace/orthosis
- Weight optimization
- Corticosteroid injections

## **INDICATIONS**

Diagnostic or operative arthroscopy of the hip may be medically necessary when performed in conjunction with **periacetabular osteotomy (PAO)**<sup>1, 2</sup>

## **OR**

As indicated in the following sections:

### **DIAGNOSTIC HIP ARTHROSCOPY**

All requests for diagnostic hip arthroscopy will be considered and decided on a case-by-case basis and are rarely deemed medically necessary.

Diagnostic hip arthroscopy may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- At least 6 months of hip pain with documented loss of function
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- Indeterminate radiographs **AND** MRI findings

Individual must have **no radiographic findings of any of the following:**

- Significant arthritis (joint space less than 2 mm on X-ray or subchondral edema on MRI)
- Femoroacetabular impingement (non-spherical femoral head or prominent head-neck junction (pistol-grip deformity), alpha angle > 50 degrees, overhang of the anterolateral rim of the acetabulum, posterior wall sign, prominent ischial spine sign, acetabular protrusion, or retroversion with a center edge (CE) angle > 35° and/or cross-over sign)
- Hip dysplasia (lateral center edge angle < 20 degrees, anterior center edge angle < 20 degrees, Tönnis angle > 15 degrees or femoral head extrusion index > 25%), unless combined with concomitant periacetabular osteotomy<sup>1, 2</sup>
- Fractures of the femoral head or acetabulum
- Labral tear (on MRI or MR arthrogram)
- Pigmented villonodular synovitis (PVNS) or synovial chondromatosis
- Intra-articular loose body
- Adductor tear or hamstring tear
- Pubic edema or osteitis pubis
- Gluteus medius or minimus tear
- Ischiofemoral impingement (narrowed ischiofemoral and quadratus femoris spaces)

## **LABRAL TEARS and FEMOROACETABULAR IMPINGEMENT (FAI)**

### **LABRAL REPAIR**

Arthroscopic labral repair may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Hip or groin pain in positions of flexion and rotation that may be associated with mechanical symptoms of locking, popping, or catching
- Positive provocative test on physical exam with pain at the hip joint with flexion, adduction, and internal rotation (FADIR test)
- Acetabular labral tear on MRI, with or without intra-articular contrast
- Failure of at least 6 weeks of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- No evidence of significant hip joint arthritis, defined as joint space narrowing 2 mm or less<sup>3-6</sup> or Tönnis grade 3<sup>7-11</sup> [see Grading Appendix] Weight-bearing X-rays are not required<sup>12</sup>.

**NOTE:** Arthroscopy of the hip for labral repair is considered not medically necessary in the presence of significant hip joint arthritis (joint space narrowing 2 mm or less<sup>3-5</sup> or a Tönnis grade 3) [see Grading Appendix],<sup>5, 13, 14</sup> or dysplasia [see grading appendix] unless combined with concomitant periacetabular osteotomy.<sup>1, 2</sup>

### **CAM, Pincer, Combined CAM & Pincer Repair**

Arthroscopic CAM, pincer or combined CAM and pincer repair may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Positional hip pain
- Failure of at least 6 weeks of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following<sup>15-17</sup>:
  - Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDs, acetaminophen, analgesics
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- Positive impingement sign on physical exam (hip or groin pain with flexion, adduction, and internal rotation (FADIR test)<sup>18</sup>
- **ANY** of the following radiograph, CT and/or MRI findings of FAI:
  - Non-spherical femoral head or prominent head-neck junction (pistol-grip deformity) with alpha angle > 50 degrees indicating CAM impingement [see radiographic measurement appendix]<sup>19, 20</sup>
  - Overhang of the anterolateral rim of the acetabulum, posterior wall sign, prominent ischial spine sign, acetabular protrusion, or retroversion with a center edge (CE) angle > 35° and/or cross-over sign indicating pincer deformity [see radiographic measurement appendix]
  - Combination of CAM and pincer criteria
- No evidence of significant hip joint arthritis, defined as joint space narrowing 2 mm or less<sup>3-6</sup> or a Tönnis Grade 3 [see Grading Appendix]<sup>5, 7, 8, 13, 14, 21</sup>
- Skeletally mature patient [partial or complete closure of the proximal femoral physis]<sup>22</sup>
- BMI < 40<sup>23, 24</sup> \*
- Radiographic images show no evidence of severe or advanced hip dysplasia [see grading appendix] unless combined with concomitant periacetabular osteotomy<sup>1, 2</sup> \*\*

\*Individuals with a BMI > 40 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Additional Notes:

**There is no evidence to support hip arthroscopy for FAI and/or labral tear in an asymptomatic individual** and there is a high prevalence of abnormal radiographs found in asymptomatic individuals<sup>26-28</sup>: 33% of asymptomatic hips have a cam lesion, 66% of asymptomatic hips have a pincer lesion, and 68% of asymptomatic hips have a labral tear.

**\*\*Even though hip dysplasia, as well as symptomatic FAI and labral tears are believed to be precursors to hip arthritis,<sup>29</sup> arthroscopy is not indicated solely for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the hip<sup>5, 13, 14</sup> and rarely indicated for severe dysplasia, unless combined with concomitant periacetabular osteotomy.<sup>1, 2</sup> However, individuals with borderline dysplasia (lateral center-edge angle [LCEA], 18° to 25°)<sup>30</sup>, that require arthroscopic procedures appear to do as well as those with no evidence of dysplasia.<sup>30-32</sup>**

Recent literature has demonstrated that individuals who undergo hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement syndrome and have an unrepaired capsule have lower functional outcome scores, achievement of meaningful outcomes, success rates, as well as greater failure rates and reported pain when compared with individuals who have complete capsular closure.<sup>33-35</sup>

#### **ARTHROSCOPY FOR SYNOVECTOMY, BIOPSY, OR REMOVAL OF LOOSE OR FOREIGN BODY**

Arthroscopic synovectomy, biopsy, removal of loose or foreign body, or a combination of these procedures may be medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- X-ray, MRI, or CT evidence of acute post-traumatic intra-articular foreign body or displaced fracture fragment<sup>36, 37</sup>;

**OR**

- When **ALL** of the following criteria are met:
  - Hip pain associated with grinding, catching, locking, or popping
  - Physical examination demonstrates painful range of motion of the hip
  - Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
    - Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
    - Rest or activity modification
    - Ice/heat
    - Protected weight bearing
    - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics
    - Weight optimization
    - Corticosteroid injection

- Radiographs, CT, and/or MRI demonstrate synovial proliferation, calcifications, nodularity, inflammation, pannus, or a loose body.
- 

## **BACKGROUND**

This guideline addresses the following elective, non-emergent, arthroscopic hip repair procedures:

- Diagnostic arthroscopy
- Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI)
- Labral repair only
- CAM, pincer, CAM & pincer combined
- Synovectomy, biopsy, or removal of loose or foreign body

Arthroscopy introduces a fiber-optic camera into the hip joint through a small incision for diagnostic visualization purposes. This camera may also be used in the surrounding extra-articular areas, in a procedure called endoscopy. Other instruments may then be introduced to remove, repair, or reconstruct joint pathology.

Open, non-arthroplasty hip repair surgeries are performed as dictated by the type and severity of injury and/or disease.

Surgical indications are based on relevant clinical symptoms, physical exam, radiologic findings, and response to non-operative, conservative management when medically appropriate.

## **OVERVIEW**

### **FEMOROACETABULAR IMPINGEMENT (FAI)<sup>5, 14, 38-43</sup>**

FAI is a condition characterized by a mechanical impingement between the proximal femur/femoral head (cam) and/or the acetabulum (pincer) that may result in labral injury (labral tear) or articular cartilage injury (chondral defect, arthritis). Up to 95% of labral tears are observed in the presence of FAI and “isolated” labral tears are very uncommon (as are labral tears caused by trauma).<sup>44, 45</sup> Labral repair (compared with labral debridement) and capsular closure (compared with unrepaired capsulotomy) are associated with a lower risk of conversion to arthroplasty.<sup>33-35</sup>

### **CAM, PINCER, COMBINED CAM & PINCER REPAIR**

Technically not a repair, this procedure involves bony decompression, shaving, osteoplasty, femoroplasty, acetabuloplasty, and/or osteochondroplasty. Greater than 95% of labral repairs should be performed with at least a femoral osteoplasty or an acetabuloplasty. For persistent symptoms, FAI surgery appears to be more successful than physical therapy and activity modification<sup>46</sup> and has been shown to be effective in returning athletes to their sport.<sup>47, 48</sup>

## GRADING APPENDIX

### Tönnis Classification of Osteoarthritis by Radiographic Changes

| Grade | Description  |
|-------|--|
| 0     | No signs of osteoarthritis   |
| 1     | Mild: Increased sclerosis, slight narrowing of the joint space, no or slight loss of head sphericity   |
| 2     | Moderate: Small cysts, moderate narrowing of the joint space moderate loss of head sphericity          |
| 3     | Severe: Large cysts, severe narrowing or obliteration of the joint space, severe deformity of the head |

### Hip Dysplasia

Defined as any of the following criteria:

- Lateral center edge angle < 20 degrees
- Anterior center edge angle < 20 degrees
- Tönnis angle > 15 degrees
- Femoral head extrusion index > 25%
- Borderline dysplasia (lateral center-edge angle [LCEA], 18° to 25°)

### Radiographic Measurement Appendix<sup>49</sup>

#### Alpha Angle

- Alpha angle was measured on the AP pelvis and Dunn 45° radiographs. First, a Mose circle was placed around the circumference of the femoral head. A line was drawn from the center of the femoral head down the center of the femoral neck. A line was then drawn connecting the center of the femoral head to the point of the Mose circle where the head goes out of round. The angle bisecting these two lines was the alpha angle
  - An alpha angle of 55° (Dunn 45°) or greater or an alpha angle of 50° (AP pelvis) was defined as cam morphology

#### Femoral Head Extrusion

- Femoral head extrusion index was measured as the proportion (%) of laterally uncovered femoral head versus the femoral head (horizontal distance)
  - A femoral head extrusion index greater than 25% defined dysplasia

#### Global Acetabular Retroversion

- Global acetabular retroversion was defined by the presence of a prominent ischial spine sign or posterior wall sign

- Prominent ischial spine sign: Visible ischial spine medial to the iliopectineal line on AP pelvis radiograph
- Posterior wall sign: Center of the femoral head lateral to the posterior wall of the acetabulum

#### Lateral Center Edge Angle

- Lateral center edge angle was measured after multiple lines were drawn on the AP pelvis radiograph. First, a Moses circle was placed around the circumference of the femoral head. Next, a line was drawn connecting the ischial tuberosities. A perpendicular line was then drawn up through the center of the femoral head from the ischial tuberosity line. Then, a line was drawn from the center of the femoral head to the most lateral aspect of the sourcil. The angle bisecting the latter two lines was the lateral center edge angle
  - A lateral center edge angle less than 20° defines dysplasia, 20 to 25° borderline dysplasia, 26 to 39° normal, and greater than 40° lateral over coverage pincer impingement
  - Lateral over coverage was defined as a lateral center edge angle greater than 40°.

## REFERENCES

1. Ricciardi BF, Mayer SW, Fields KG, Wentzel C, Kelly BT, Sink EL. Patient Characteristics and Early Functional Outcomes of Combined Arthroscopic Labral Refixation and Periacetabular Osteotomy for Symptomatic Acetabular Dysplasia. *Am J Sports Med.* Oct 2016;44(10):2518-2525. doi:10.1177/0363546516651829
2. Sabbag CM, Nepple JJ, Pascual-Garrido C, Lalchandani GR, Clohisy JC, Sierra RJ. The Addition of Hip Arthroscopy to Periacetabular Osteotomy Does Not Increase Complication Rates: A Prospective Case Series. *Am J Sports Med.* Mar 2019;47(3):543-551. doi:10.1177/0363546518820528
3. Boykin RE, Patterson D, Briggs KK, Dee A, Philippon MJ. Results of arthroscopic labral reconstruction of the hip in elite athletes. *Am J Sports Med.* Oct 2013;41(10):2296-301. doi:10.1177/0363546513498058
4. Geyer MR, Philippon MJ, Fagrelus TS, Briggs KK. Acetabular labral reconstruction with an iliotibial band autograft: outcome and survivorship analysis at minimum 3-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med.* Aug 2013;41(8):1750-6. doi:10.1177/0363546513487311
5. Philippon MJ, Briggs KK, Yen YM, Kuppersmith DA. Outcomes following hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement with associated chondrolabral dysfunction: minimum two-year follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Jan 2009;91(1):16-23. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.91b1.21329
6. Nakashima H, Utsunomiya H, Kanazaki S, et al. Is Arthroscopic Hip Labral Repair/Reconstruction Surgery Effective for Treating Femoroacetabular Impingement in the Presence of Osteoarthritis? *Clin J Sport Med.* Jul 1 2021;31(4):367-373. doi:10.1097/jsm.0000000000000768
7. Byrd JWT, Bardowski EA, Jones KS. Influence of Tönnis Grade on Outcomes of Arthroscopic Management of Symptomatic Femoroacetabular Impingement. *Arthroscopy.* Aug 2018;34(8):2353-2356. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.03.021
8. Chandrasekaran S, Darwish N, Gui C, Lodhia P, Suarez-Ahedo C, Domb BG. Outcomes of Hip Arthroscopy in Patients with Tönnis Grade-2 Osteoarthritis at a Mean 2-Year Follow-up: Evaluation Using a Matched-Pair Analysis with Tönnis Grade-0 and Grade-1 Cohorts. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Jun 15 2016;98(12):973-82. doi:10.2106/jbjs.15.00644
9. Hiza E, Dierckman BD, Guanache C, Applegate G, Shah D, Ryu JH. Reliability of the Tönnis Classification and Its Correlation With Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Intraoperative Chondral Damage. *Arthroscopy.* Feb 2019;35(2):403-408. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.08.036
10. Kovalenko B, Bremjit P, Fernando N. Classifications in Brief: Tönnis Classification of Hip Osteoarthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Aug 2018;476(8):1680-1684. doi:10.1097/01.blo.0000534679.75870.5f
11. Ochiai D. Editorial Commentary: Tönnis Classification-Beauty (or Hip Arthritis) Is Truly in the Eye of the Beholder. *Arthroscopy.* Feb 2019;35(2):409-410. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.10.104
12. Bessa FS, Williams BT, Polce EM, et al. No Differences in Hip Joint Space Measurements Between Weightbearing or Supine Anteroposterior Pelvic Radiographs. *Arthroscopy.* Nov 2020;36(11):2843-2848. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.07.009



13. Egerton T, Hinman RS, Takla A, Bennell KL, O'Donnell J. Intraoperative cartilage degeneration predicts outcome 12 months after hip arthroscopy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Feb 2013;471(2):593-9. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2594-y
14. Stevens MS, Legay DA, Glazebrook MA, Amirault D. The evidence for hip arthroscopy: grading the current indications. *Arthroscopy*. Oct 2010;26(10):1370-83. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2010.07.016
15. Wall PD, Fernandez M, Griffin DR, Foster NE. Nonoperative treatment for femoroacetabular impingement: a systematic review of the literature. *Pm r*. May 2013;5(5):418-26. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2013.02.005
16. Casartelli NC, Bizzini M, Maffiuletti NA, et al. Exercise Therapy for the Management of Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome: Preliminary Results of Clinical Responsiveness. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. Aug 2019;71(8):1074-1083. doi:10.1002/acr.23728
17. Ebert JR, Raymond AC, Aujla RS, D'Alessandro P. The Effect of a Formal Nonoperative Management Program Combining a Hip Injection With Structured Adjunctive Exercise Rehabilitation in Patients With Symptomatic Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome. *Am J Sports Med*. Mar 2023;51(3):694-706. doi:10.1177/03635465221148744
18. Pålsson A, Kostogiannis I, Ageberg E. Combining results from hip impingement and range of motion tests can increase diagnostic accuracy in patients with FAI syndrome. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Oct 2020;28(10):3382-3392. doi:10.1007/s00167-020-06005-5
19. Nepple JJ, Carlisle JC, Nunley RM, Clohisy JC. Clinical and radiographic predictors of intra-articular hip disease in arthroscopy. *Am J Sports Med*. Feb 2011;39(2):296-303. doi:10.1177/0363546510384787
20. Saito M, Tsukada S, Yoshida K, Okada Y, Tasaki A. Correlation of alpha angle between various radiographic projections and radial magnetic resonance imaging for cam deformity in femoral head-neck junction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Jan 2017;25(1):77-83. doi:10.1007/s00167-016-4046-9
21. Lei P, Conaway WK, Martin SD. Outcome of Surgical Treatment of Hip Femoroacetabular Impingement Patients with Radiographic Osteoarthritis: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Studies. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Jan 15 2019;27(2):e70-e76. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-17-00380
22. Pennock AT, Bomar JD, Johnson KP, Randich K, Upasani VV. Nonoperative Management of Femoroacetabular Impingement: A Prospective Study. *Am J Sports Med*. Dec 2018;46(14):3415-3422. doi:10.1177/0363546518804805
23. Perets I, Rybalko D, Chaharbakhshi EO, Mu BH, Chen AW, Domb BG. Minimum Five-Year Outcomes of Hip Arthroscopy for the Treatment of Femoroacetabular Impingement and Labral Tears in Patients with Obesity: A Match-Controlled Study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jun 6 2018;100(11):965-973. doi:10.2106/jbjs.17.00892
24. Bech NH, Kodde IF, Dusseldorp F, Druyts PA, Jansen SP, Haverkamp D. Hip arthroscopy in obese, a successful combination? *J Hip Preserv Surg*. Apr 2016;3(1):37-42. doi:10.1093/jhps/hnv076

25. Parvizi J, Bican O, Bender B, et al. Arthroscopy for labral tears in patients with developmental dysplasia of the hip: a cautionary note. *J Arthroplasty*. Sep 2009;24(6 Suppl):110-3. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2009.05.021
26. Register B, Pennock AT, Ho CP, Strickland CD, Lawand A, Philippon MJ. Prevalence of abnormal hip findings in asymptomatic participants: a prospective, blinded study. *Am J Sports Med*. Dec 2012;40(12):2720-4. doi:10.1177/0363546512462124
27. Blankenstein T, Grainger A, Dube B, Evans R, Robinson P. MRI hip findings in asymptomatic professional rugby players, ballet dancers, and age-matched controls. *Clin Radiol*. Feb 2020;75(2):116-122. doi:10.1016/j.crad.2019.08.024
28. Lee AJ, Armour P, Thind D, Coates MH, Kang AC. The prevalence of acetabular labral tears and associated pathology in a young asymptomatic population. *Bone Joint J*. May 2015;97-b(5):623-7. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.97b5.35166
29. Fayad TE, Khan MA, Haddad FS. Femoroacetabular impingement: an arthroscopic solution. *Bone Joint J*. Nov 2013;95-b(11 Suppl A):26-30. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.95b11.33016
30. Nepple JJ, Parilla FW, Pashos GE, Clohisy JC. Outcomes of Periacetabular Osteotomy for Borderline Acetabular Dysplasia. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jan 18 2023;105(2):137-144. doi:10.2106/jbjs.22.00491
31. Matsuda DK, Kivlan BR, Nho SJ, et al. Arthroscopic Outcomes as a Function of Acetabular Coverage From a Large Hip Arthroscopy Study Group. *Arthroscopy*. Aug 2019;35(8):2338-2345. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.01.055
32. Domb BG, Owens JS, Glein RM, Jimenez AE, Maldonado DR. Borderline Dysplasia After Primary Hip Arthroscopy with Capsular Plication and Labral Preservation: Ten-Year Survivorship and Patient-Reported Outcomes. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. May 3 2023;105(9):687-699. doi:10.2106/jbjs.22.00340
33. Beck EC, Suppauksorn S, Nho SJ. The Role of Comprehensive Capsular Management in Hip Arthroscopy for the Treatment of Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome. *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2020;36(1):9-11. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.10.028
34. Bolia I, Briggs KK, Philippon MJ. Superior Clinical Outcomes with Capsular Closure versus Non-Closure in Patients Undergoing Arthroscopic Hip Labral Repair. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2018;6(3 Suppl):2325967118S00009. doi:10.1177/2325967118S00009
35. Riff AJ, Kunze KN, Movassaghi K, et al. Systematic Review of Hip Arthroscopy for Femoroacetabular Impingement: The Importance of Labral Repair and Capsular Closure. *Arthroscopy*. Feb 2019;35(2):646-656.e3. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.09.005
36. Mullis BH, Dahners LE. Hip arthroscopy to remove loose bodies after traumatic dislocation. *J Orthop Trauma*. Jan 2006;20(1):22-6. doi:10.1097/01.bot.0000188038.66582.ed
37. Ross JR, Larson CM, Bedi A. Indications for Hip Arthroscopy. *Sports Health*. Sep/Oct 2017;9(5):402-413. doi:10.1177/1941738117712675
38. Domb BG, Chen SL, Shapira J, Maldonado DR, Lall AC, Rosinsky PJ. The Evolution of Hip Arthroscopy: What Has Changed Since 2008-A Single Surgeon's Experience. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2020;36(3):761-772. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.10.009

39. Byrd JW. Peritrochanteric access and gluteus medius repair. *Arthrosc Tech*. 2013;2(3):e243-6. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2013.02.014
40. Byrd JWT. Editorial Commentary: Hip Arthroscopy-A Microcosm in the Evolution of Arthroscopy in Sports Medicine. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2020;36(3):773-775. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.01.005
41. Fabricant PD, Heyworth BE, Kelly BT. Hip arthroscopy improves symptoms associated with FAI in selected adolescent athletes. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jan 2012;470(1):261-9. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-2015-7
42. Lynch TS, Terry MA, Bedi A, Kelly BT. Hip arthroscopic surgery: patient evaluation, current indications, and outcomes. *Am J Sports Med*. May 2013;41(5):1174-89. doi:10.1177/0363546513476281
43. Malviya A, Stafford GH, Villar RN. Impact of arthroscopy of the hip for femoroacetabular impingement on quality of life at a mean follow-up of 3.2 years. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. Apr 2012;94(4):466-70. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.94b4.28023
44. Bedi A, Kelly BT. Femoroacetabular impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jan 2013;95(1):82-92. doi:10.2106/jbjs.K.01219
45. Dolan MM, Heyworth BE, Bedi A, Duke G, Kelly BT. CT reveals a high incidence of osseous abnormalities in hips with labral tears. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Mar 2011;469(3):831-8. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1539-6
46. Palmer AJR, Ayyar Gupta V, Fernquest S, et al. Arthroscopic hip surgery compared with physiotherapy and activity modification for the treatment of symptomatic femoroacetabular impingement: multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Bmj*. Feb 7 2019;364:l185. doi:10.1136/bmj.l185
47. Memon M, Kay J, Hache P, et al. Athletes experience a high rate of return to sport following hip arthroscopy. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Oct 2019;27(10):3066-3104. doi:10.1007/s00167-018-4929-z
48. Minkara AA, Westermann RW, Rosneck J, Lynch TS. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Outcomes After Hip Arthroscopy in Femoroacetabular Impingement. *Am J Sports Med*. Feb 2019;47(2):488-500. doi:10.1177/0363546517749475
49. Harris JD, Gerrie BJ, Varner KE, Lintner DM, McCulloch PC. Radiographic Prevalence of Dysplasia, Cam, and Pincer Deformities in Elite Ballet. *Am J Sports Med*. Jan 2016;44(1):20-7. doi:10.1177/0363546515601996

## ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Byrd JWT, Jones KS. Arthroscopic Acetabular Labral Repair in Patients Over the Age of 60 Years: A Matched Case-Control Study. *Arthroscopy*. May 2019;35(5):1406-1410. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.11.015
2. Carreira DS, Emmons BR. The Reliability of Commonly Used Radiographic Parameters in the Evaluation of the Pre-Arthritic Hip: A Systematic Review. *JBJS Rev*. Feb 2019;7(2):e3. doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.18.00048

3. Glick JM, Valone F, 3rd, Safran MR. Hip arthroscopy: from the beginning to the future--an innovator's perspective. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Apr 2014;22(4):714-21. doi:10.1007/s00167-014-2859-y
4. Trivedi NN, Sivasundaram L, Su CA, et al. Indications and Outcomes of Arthroscopic Labral Reconstruction of the Hip: A Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy.* Jul 2019;35(7):2175-2186. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.02.031

## POLICY HISTORY

| Date     | Summary  |
|----------|--|
| May 2023 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Updated references for Femoroacetabular Impingement (FAI)</li></ul>  |
| May 2022 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Updated references</li><li>• Removal of sections pertaining to extra-articular (Endoscopic) and articular cartilage restoration procedures (CPT codes have not been assigned to these procedures that currently use unlisted procedure codes).</li><li>• Clarified: Significant arthritis (joint space less than 2 mm <i>on X-ray</i> or subchondral edema <i>on MRI</i>)</li><li>• Replaced “patient” with “individual” where appropriate</li></ul> |

## **Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee**

**Disclaimer:** *National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.*

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>*National Imaging Associates, Inc.</b>   |  |
| <b>Clinical guidelines:<br/>KNEE ARTHROPLASTY</b>   | <b>Original Date: November 2015</b>      |
| <b>CPT Codes**</b><br>- <b>Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA): 27447</b><br>- <b>Partial-Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty (UKA):<br/>27438, 27446</b><br>- <b>Revision Knee Arthroplasty: 27486, 27487</b><br><br><i>**See UM Matrix for allowable billed groupings and<br/>additional covered codes</i> | <b>Last Revised Date: May 2023</b>       |
| <b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_315</b>   | <b>Implementation Date: January 2024</b> |

## GENERAL INFORMATION

*It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*

## General Requirements

Elective knee arthroplasty may be considered if the following general criteria are met:

- Knee pain with documented loss of function, which may include painful weight bearing, painful or inadequate range of motion to accomplish age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs) and/or employment, and painful mechanical catching, locking, or popping
- Individual is medically stable and optimized for surgery with no uncontrolled comorbidities (such as diabetes)
- Individual does not have an active local or systemic infection
- Individual does not have active, untreated drug dependency (including but not limited to narcotics, opioids, muscle relaxants) unless engaged in treatment program
- Individual has good oral hygiene and does not have major dental work scheduled or anticipated (ideally within one year of joint replacement), due to increased post-surgical infection risk

Clinical notes should address:

- Symptom onset, duration, and severity
- Loss of function and/or limitations
- Type and duration of non-operative management modalities
- Discussion with patient regarding decision making and timing

Non-operative management must include at least **TWO** or more of the following unless otherwise specified in clinical indications below:

- Rest or activity modifications/limitations
- Weight reduction for individual with elevated BMI
- Protected weight-bearing with cane, walker, or crutches
- Brace/orthosis
- Physical therapy modalities
- Physician-supervised exercise program (including home exercise program)
- Application of heat or ice
- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, or analgesics
- Intra-articular injection(s)

## INDICATIONS

### **TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY (TKA)**

TKA may be considered medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- Extensive disease or damage due to rheumatoid arthritis,<sup>1</sup> post-traumatic arthritis (i.e., previous proximal tibia or distal femur fracture causing subsequent arthritis), fracture,<sup>2</sup> avascular necrosis<sup>3</sup> confirmed by imaging (radiographs, MRI, or other advanced imaging), or radiographs (X-rays) demonstrate bone-on-bone articulation

#### **AND**

- There is persistent pain and documented loss of function with any of the above.

**NOTE:** There is no medical necessity to perform TKA in individuals with severe radiological disease and no symptoms

#### **OR**

- When **ALL** of the following criteria are met:
  - Pain due to advanced osteoarthritis (Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) grade 3 or grade 4 degeneration [see grading appendix]) that is persistent and severe and/or individual has documented loss of function that has been present for at least 12 weeks resulting in a diminished quality of life<sup>4</sup>



- Failure of **at least 12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:<sup>5-8</sup>
    - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
    - Weight reduction for individual with elevated BMI<sup>8</sup>
    - Protected weight-bearing with cane, walker, or crutches
    - Brace/orthosis
    - Physical therapy modalities
    - Physician-supervised exercise program (including home exercise program)
    - Application of heat or ice
    - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, or analgesics<sup>8</sup>
    - Injections: corticosteroid or viscosupplementation
  - Physical exam findings demonstrate **one or more** of the following: tenderness, swelling/effusion, limited range of motion (decreased from uninvolved side or as compared to a normal joint), flexion contracture, palpable or audible crepitus, instability and/or angular deformity
  - Radiographic findings show evidence of advanced arthritic changes, described as Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or grade 4 degeneration or described as X-rays demonstrating advanced changes such as severe narrowing or bone-on-bone compartment collapse, subchondral sclerosis or cysts, osteophyte formation and/or bony deformity.<sup>4, 9</sup> X-rays described only as showing “severe”, “advanced” or “end-stage” arthritis require more definitive descriptions as stated above. The severity of knee osteoarthritis is commonly determined with weight-bearing radiographs, however, if severe arthritic changes (e.g., bone on bone joint space narrowing) are noted on non-weightbearing images, further weight-bearing radiographs are not required
- NOTE:** MRI should not be the primary radiographic test used to determine the presence or severity of arthritic changes in the joint.<sup>10</sup> Likewise, determinations as to the degree of arthritis should not routinely be determined by findings described from prior arthroscopic surgery of the knee
- No corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-20</sup>
  - No prior arthroscopic knee surgery within 6 months of surgery<sup>21-26</sup>

### Additional Information

- All requests for simultaneous bilateral total knee replacements should clearly indicate why simultaneous TKA is preferable to staged procedures. Associated risks with simultaneous bilateral total knee replacements should also be discussed with the patient and documented in the medical record<sup>27-31</sup>

- If medical records indicate that possibly either a TKA or a UKA will be performed, based on the findings at the time of surgery, separate requests are to be submitted

### **Absolute Contraindication**

- Active infection (local or remote). If a local or remote infection is documented in the patient's history, records should clearly demonstrate that the previous infection has been treated and symptoms have resolved or that the individual has no clinical signs or symptoms of the previous infection at the time of the operation
- Any corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-15</sup>
- Any prior arthroscopic knee surgery within 6 months of surgery<sup>21-25</sup>

### **Relative Contraindication**

- Prior infection at site (unless aspiration with cultures and serology [CBC with differential, ESR, CRP] demonstrates no infection). If prior infection at site, tissue biopsies should be sent intra-operatively to exclude latent/dormant infection
- Documented allergy to any proposed component
- BMI > 40<sup>32</sup> without attempts at weight loss or discussion of increased risk conferred by BMI
- Severe peripheral vascular disease
- Compromised soft tissue envelope
- Uncontrolled comorbidities<sup>33</sup>

## **UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY (UKA) / PARTIAL KNEE REPLACEMENT (PKA)**

Medial or lateral UKA/PKA may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- At least 12 weeks of pain localized to the medial or lateral compartment<sup>4</sup>
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following<sup>5-8</sup>):
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Weight reduction for individual with elevated BMI<sup>8</sup>
  - Protected weight-bearing with cane, walker, or crutches
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Physician-supervised exercise program (including home exercise program)
  - Application of heat or ice
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, or analgesics<sup>8</sup>
  - Injections: corticosteroid or viscosupplementation
- Total arc of motion (goniometer) > 90 degrees
- Normal ACL or stable reconstructed ACL per physical exam test<sup>34</sup>

- Weight-bearing radiographs demonstrate **only** unicompartmental disease (with or without patellofemoral involvement), described as Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or grade 4 degeneration

**NOTE:** MRI should not be the primary radiographic test used to determine the presence or severity of arthritic changes in the joint<sup>10</sup>

- Contracture < or equal to 10 degrees upon physical exam (goniometer)<sup>35</sup>
- Angular deformity < or equal to 10 degrees, passively correctable to neutral upon physical exam (goniometer)<sup>36</sup>
- No corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-15</sup>
- No prior arthroscopic knee surgery within 6 months of surgery<sup>21-25</sup>
- All requests for simultaneous bilateral partial knee replacements should clearly indicate why simultaneous UKA is preferable to staged procedures. Associated risks with simultaneous bilateral partial knee replacements should also be discussed with the patient and documented in the medical record<sup>27</sup>

All requests for UKA in individuals with chronic, *painless* effusion and extensive radiographic arthritis will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

#### **Contraindications for Medial or Lateral UKA/PKA**

- Any corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-15</sup>
- Any prior arthroscopic knee surgery within 6 months of surgery<sup>21-25</sup>
- Local or systemic active infection
- Inflammatory arthritis
- Angular deformity or contracture greater than indicated range
- Significant arthritic involvement of opposite compartment
- ACL instability
- Poor bone quality or significant osteoporosis or osteopenia
- Meniscectomy of the opposite compartment, involving > 25% of meniscus
- Stiffness greater than indicated range of motion

**PATELLOFEMORAL UKA/PKA** may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the criteria are met within one of the following two subsections:

- Failure of prior patellofemoral unloading procedures (i.e., Maquet or Fulkerson)
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Weight reduction for individual with elevated BMI
  - Protected weight-bearing with cane, walker, or crutches
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities

- Physician-supervised exercise program (including home exercise program)
- Application of heat or ice
- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, or analgesics
- Injections: corticosteroid or viscosupplementation
- Standing, AP, or PA weight-bearing x-rays demonstrate only unicompartamental disease of the patellofemoral joint, described as Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or grade 4 degeneration (joint space narrowing, osteophyte formation, sclerosis and/or subchondral cystic changes), with no evidence of medial or lateral compartment arthritis.

**OR**

- At least 6 months of isolated patellar/anterior knee pain
- Patellar/anterior knee pain that is exacerbated by stairs, inclines, transfers, or prolonged sitting
- Reproducible patellofemoral pain upon physical exam
- No ligamentous instability upon physical exam
- Failure of **at least 12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Weight reduction for individual with elevated BMI
  - Protected weight-bearing with cane, walker, or crutches
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Physician-supervised exercise program (including home exercise program)
  - Application of heat or ice
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, or analgesics
  - Injections: corticosteroid or viscosupplementation
- Standing, AP, or PA weight-bearing radiographs demonstrate only unicompartamental disease of the patellofemoral joint, described as Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or grade 4 degeneration, with no evidence of medial or lateral compartment arthritis
- No cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-15</sup>

**NOTE:** MRI should not be the primary radiographic test used to determine the presence or severity of arthritic changes in the joint<sup>10</sup>

**Contraindications for Patellofemoral UKA/PKA:**

- Any corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-15</sup>
- Local or systemic active infection
- Inflammatory arthritis
- Angular deformity or contracture greater than indicated range
- Significant arthritic involvement of the medial or lateral knee compartment(s)
- Ligament instability

- Poor bone quality or significant osteoporosis or osteopenia
- Stiffness greater than indicated range of motion

## **REVISION ARTHROPLASTY**

Revision TKA may be considered medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- Previous removal of infected knee prosthesis **AND** no evidence of current, ongoing, or inadequately treated knee infection (ruled out by normal inflammatory markers\* (ESR and CRP) or significant improvement in these markers and a clear statement by the treating surgeon that infection has been adequately treated) AND off antibiotics<sup>37-39</sup>

**\*NOTE:** If these inflammatory markers are elevated, further evaluation is required, including an aspiration with synovial fluid WBC count, gram stain and cultures, or an intraoperative frozen biopsy<sup>37</sup>;

### **OR**

- When **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>40, 41</sup>:
  - Symptomatic UKA/PKA or TKA as evidenced by persistent, severe, disabling pain, complaints of instability, mechanical abnormalities (“clunking” or audible crepitus), any of which result in a loss of function
  - Any of the following findings upon physical exam: tenderness to palpation objectively attributable to the implant, swelling or effusion, pain on weight-bearing or motion, instability on stress-testing, abnormal or limited motion (compared to usual function), palpable or audible crepitus or “clunking” associated with reproducible pain
  - Aseptic loosening, instability, osteolysis, progressive bone loss, or mechanical failure confirmed on radiographic or advanced imaging (bone scan, CT scan, or MRI)
  - For implant loosening seen on routine X-rays or advanced imaging, documentation of no current, ongoing, or inadequately treated knee infection, ruled out by normal inflammatory markers (ESR and CRP)<sup>38, 39, 42-44</sup>
  - If the revision is for obvious hardware failure only, inflammatory markers are not required
  - Cases that do not demonstrate any radiographic abnormalities yet show findings of gross instability on physical examination will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis
- No corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-15</sup>

### **Additional Information**

- Removal of infected knee prosthesis and subsequent insertion of antibiotic spacer is not considered a revision knee arthroplasty

### Absolute Contraindication

- Active infection (local or remote). If a local or remote infection is documented in the patient’s history, records should clearly demonstrate that the previous infection has been treated and symptoms have resolved or that the individual has no clinical signs or symptoms of the previous infection at the time of the operation
- Any corticosteroid injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>11-15</sup>

### Relative Contraindication:

- Unstable or poorly controlled comorbidities
- Severe peripheral vascular disease
- Compromised soft-tissue envelope (revision may be performed in conjunction with plastic surgical consultation for soft tissue coverage via pedicle flaps or other acceptable procedure)

### GRADING APPENDIX

#### Kellgren-Lawrence Grading System (Standing/weight-bearing X-rays)

| Grade | Description  |
|-------|--|
| 0     | No radiographic features of osteoarthritis   |
| 1     | Possible joint space narrowing and osteophyte formation  |
| 2     | Definite osteophyte formation with possible joint space narrowing  |
| 3     | Moderate multiple osteophytes, definite narrowing of joint space, some sclerosis and possible deformity of bone contour ( <i>some sclerosis and cyst formation</i> ) |
| 4     | Large osteophytes, marked narrowing of joint space, severe sclerosis and definite deformity of bone contour.   |

### Other Notes

Manipulation following total knee arthroplasty: SEE KNEE ARTHROSCOPY & OTHER OPEN PROCEDURES Guideline for specific Manipulation indications.

---

### BACKGROUND

#### KNEE ARTHROPLASTY - Total, Partial & Revision Knee Replacement

This guideline addresses elective, non-emergent knee arthroplasty (knee replacement) procedures, including total knee arthroplasty (TKA), unicompartmental/unicondylar knee

arthroplasty (UKA) or hemiarthroplasty (partial knee replacement), and revision arthroplasty procedures.

Arthroplasty describes the surgical replacement and reconstruction of a joint with implanted devices when the joint has been damaged by an arthritic or traumatic process. A normal knee functions as a hinge joint between the femur and the tibia. The surfaces where these bones meet can become worn out over time, due to arthritis or other conditions, which can cause pain and swelling.

TKA replaces and reconstructs all articular joint surfaces. In some cases, only one surface within the knee develops arthritis and associated pain and functional loss. In these cases, a partial knee replacement may be necessary to remove and reconstruct only the damaged region of the knee.

In some cases, the knee prosthesis may wear out or loosen. If loosening is painful, a revision surgery may be necessary. In this procedure some or all of the components of the original replacement prosthesis are removed and replaced with new ones.

## **Overview**

### **UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY (UKA) / PARTIAL KNEE REPLACEMENT (PKA)**

Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA) is also called partial replacement, hemiarthroplasty, unicondylar knee, or bicondylar knee arthroplasty. This procedure involves reconstruction of either the medial or lateral weight bearing compartment of the knee and/or patellofemoral joint. Medial UKA is performed more frequently than lateral procedures.

### **REVISION ARTHROPLASTY**

Revision describes surgical reconstruction due to failure or complication of a previous arthroplasty.

## REFERENCES

1. Lee JK, Choi CH. Total knee arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis. *Knee Surg Relat Res.* Mar 2012;24(1):1-6. doi:10.5792/ksrr.2012.24.1.1
2. Softness KA, Murray RS, Evans BG. Total knee arthroplasty and fractures of the tibial plateau. *World J Orthop.* Feb 18 2017;8(2):107-114. doi:10.5312/wjo.v8.i2.107
3. Woehnl A, Naziri Q, Costa C, Johnson AJ, Mont MA. Osteonecrosis of the knee. *Orthopaedic Knowledge Online J.* 2012;10(5)
4. Hoorntje A, Witjes S, Koenraadt KLM, Aarts R, Weert T, van Geenen RCI. More Severe Preoperative Kellgren-Lawrence Grades of Knee Osteoarthritis were Partially Associated with Better Postoperative Patient-Reported Outcomes in TKA Patients. *J Knee Surg.* Mar 2019;32(3):211-217. doi:10.1055/s-0038-1635114
5. Van Manen MD, Nace J, Mont MA. Management of primary knee osteoarthritis and indications for total knee arthroplasty for general practitioners. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* Nov 2012;112(11):709-15. doi:10.7556/jaoa.2012.112.11.709
6. Felson DT, Naimark A, Anderson J, Kazis L, Castelli W, Meenan RF. The prevalence of knee osteoarthritis in the elderly. The Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. *Arthritis Rheum.* Aug 1987;30(8):914-8. doi:10.1002/art.1780300811
7. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, et al. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* Apr 2012;64(4):465-74. doi:10.1002/acr.21596
8. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg> Published Aug 31, 2021 Accessed April 29, 2022.
9. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthrosis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* Dec 1957;16(4):494-502. doi:10.1136/ard.16.4.494
10. Marsh M, Souza RB, Wyman BT, et al. Differences between X-ray and MRI-determined knee cartilage thickness in weight-bearing and non-weight-bearing conditions. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* Dec 2013;21(12):1876-85. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2013.09.006
11. Bedard NA, Pugely AJ, Elkins JM, et al. The John N. Insall Award: Do Intraarticular Injections Increase the Risk of Infection After TKA? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Jan 2017;475(1):45-52. doi:10.1007/s11999-016-4757-8
12. Cancienne JM, Werner BC, Luetkemeyer LM, Browne JA. Does Timing of Previous Intra-Articular Steroid Injection Affect the Post-Operative Rate of Infection in Total Knee Arthroplasty? *J Arthroplasty.* Nov 2015;30(11):1879-82. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.05.027
13. Richardson SS, Schairer WW, Sculco TP, Sculco PK. Comparison of Infection Risk with Corticosteroid or Hyaluronic Acid Injection Prior to Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Jan 16 2019;101(2):112-118. doi:10.2106/jbjs.18.00454
14. Tang A, Almetwali O, Zak SG, Bernstein JA, Schwarzkopf R, Aggarwal VK. Do preoperative intra-articular corticosteroid and hyaluronic acid injections affect time to total joint arthroplasty? *J Clin Orthop Trauma.* May 2021;16:49-57. doi:10.1016/j.jcot.2020.12.016



15. Lai Q, Cai K, Lin T, Zhou C, Chen Z, Zhang Q. Prior Intra-articular Corticosteroid Injection Within 3 Months May Increase the Risk of Deep Infection in Subsequent Joint Arthroplasty: A Meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. May 1 2022;480(5):971-979. doi:10.1097/corr.0000000000002055
16. Avila A, Acuña AJ, Do MT, Samuel LT, Kamath AF. Intra-articular injection receipt within 3 months prior to primary total knee arthroplasty is associated with increased periprosthetic joint infection risk. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Dec 2022;30(12):4088-4097. doi:10.1007/s00167-022-06942-3
17. Baums MH, Aquilina J, Pérez-Prieto D, Sleiman O, Geropoulos G, Totlis T. Risk analysis of periprosthetic knee joint infection (PJI) in total knee arthroplasty after preoperative corticosteroid injection: a systematic review : A study performed by the Early-Osteoarthritis group of ESSKA-European Knee Associates section. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. May 2023;143(5):2683-2691. doi:10.1007/s00402-022-04532-z
18. Yang X, Li L, Ren X, Nie L. Do preoperative intra-articular injections of corticosteroids or hyaluronic acid increase the risk of infection after total knee arthroplasty? A meta-analysis. *Bone Joint Res*. Mar 2022;11(3):171-179. doi:10.1302/2046-3758.113.Bjr-2021-0350.R1
19. Yaghmour KM, Loumpardias GA, Elbahi A, et al. Intra-articular steroid injections in large joint arthritis: A survey of current practice. *Musculoskeletal Care*. Jun 2022;20(2):349-353. doi:10.1002/msc.1596
20. Blankstein M, Lentine B, Nelms NJ. Common Practices in Intra-Articular Corticosteroid Injection for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Survey of the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Membership. *J Arthroplasty*. Mar 2021;36(3):845-850. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2020.09.022
21. Goyal T, Tripathy SK, Schuh A, Paul S. Total knee arthroplasty after a prior knee arthroscopy has higher complication rates: a systematic review. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Sep 20 2021;doi:10.1007/s00402-021-04175-6
22. Gu A, Fassihi SC, Wessel LE, et al. Comparison of Revision Risk Based on Timing of Knee Arthroscopy Prior to Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Apr 21 2021;103(8):660-667. doi:10.2106/jbjs.20.00218
23. Gu A, Malahias MA, Cohen JS, et al. Prior Knee Arthroscopy Is Associated With Increased Risk of Revision After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. Jan 2020;35(1):100-104. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.08.043
24. Liu Q, Tian Z, Pian K, et al. The influence of prior arthroscopy on outcomes of primary total lower extremity arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg*. Feb 2022;98:106218. doi:10.1016/j.ijssu.2021.106218
25. Werner BC, Burrus MT, Novicoff WM, Browne JA. Total Knee Arthroplasty Within Six Months After Knee Arthroscopy Is Associated With Increased Postoperative Complications. *J Arthroplasty*. Aug 2015;30(8):1313-6. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.02.023
26. Ma JN, Li XL, Liang P, Yu SL. When can total knee arthroplasty be safely performed following prior arthroscopy? *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Jan 4 2021;22(1):2. doi:10.1186/s12891-020-03859-1

27. Harris AB, Wang KY, Mo K, Gu A, Rao SS, Thakkar SC. Outpatient Simultaneous Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty: Is It Safe? *J Arthroplasty*. Apr 2022;37(4):699-703. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2022.01.012
28. Erossy M, Emara AK, Zhou G, et al. Simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty has higher in-hospital complications than both staged surgeries: a nationwide propensity score matched analysis of 38,764 cases. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. May 2023;33(4):1057-1066. doi:10.1007/s00590-022-03248-5
29. Malahias MA, Gu A, De Martino I, Selemon NA, Ast MP, Sculco PK. Staggered bilateral total knee arthroplasty during a single hospitalization: is it still an option? a systematic review. *Musculoskelet Surg*. Jun 2022;106(2):207-217. doi:10.1007/s12306-021-00696-w
30. Wang KY, LaVelle MJ, Gazgalis A, et al. Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty: Current Concepts Review. *JBJS Rev*. Jan 1 2023;11(1)doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.22.00194
31. Kozai L, Matsumoto M, Mathews K, Andrews S, Nakasone C. Perioperative Complications in Patients over 70 Years of Age following Simultaneous Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Knee Surg*. Mar 2023;36(4):362-367. doi:10.1055/s-0041-1733884
32. D'Apuzzo MR, Novicoff WM, Browne JA. The John Insall Award: Morbid obesity independently impacts complications, mortality, and resource use after TKA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jan 2015;473(1):57-63. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3668-9
33. Clement N. Patient factors that influence the outcome of total knee replacement: a critical review of the literature. *OA Orthopaedics*. 2013 Aug 01 2013;1(2):11.
34. Tinius M, Hepp P, Becker R. Combined unicompartmental knee arthroplasty and anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Jan 2012;20(1):81-7. doi:10.1007/s00167-011-1528-7
35. Purcell RL, Cody JP, Ammeen DJ, Goyal N, Engh GA. Elimination of Preoperative Flexion Contracture as a Contraindication for Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Apr 1 2018;26(7):e158-e163. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-16-00802
36. Becker R, Argenson JN. Unicdylar knee arthroplasty: what's new? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Nov 2013;21(11):2419-20. doi:10.1007/s00167-013-2672-z
37. Della Valle C, Parvizi J, Bauer TW, et al. Diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Dec 2010;18(12):760-70. doi:10.5435/00124635-201012000-00006
38. Ting NT, Della Valle CJ. Diagnosis of Periprosthetic Joint Infection-An Algorithm-Based Approach. *J Arthroplasty*. Jul 2017;32(7):2047-2050. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.02.070
39. Lee HD, Prashant K, Shon WY. Management of Periprosthetic Hip Joint Infection. *Hip Pelvis*. Jun 2015;27(2):63-71. doi:10.5371/hp.2015.27.2.63
40. Goyal N, Austin M. Principles and techniques of total knee revision surgery. *Orthopaedic Knowledge Online J*. 2012;10(6)
41. Motififard M, Pesteh M, Etemadifar MR, Shirazinejad S. Causes and rates of revision total knee arthroplasty: Local results from Isfahan, Iran. *Adv Biomed Res*. 2015;4:111. doi:10.4103/2277-9175.157829
42. Parvizi J, Gehrke T, Chen AF. Proceedings of the International Consensus on Periprosthetic Joint Infection. *Bone Joint J*. Nov 2013;95-b(11):1450-2. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.95b11.33135

43. Parvizi J, Della Valle CJ. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline: diagnosis and treatment of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Dec 2010;18(12):771-2. doi:10.5435/00124635-201012000-00007
44. Parvizi J, Tan TL, Goswami K, et al. The 2018 Definition of Periprosthetic Hip and Knee Infection: An Evidence-Based and Validated Criteria. *J Arthroplasty*. May 2018;33(5):1309-1314.e2. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.078

## POLICY HISTORY

| Date     | Summary  |
|----------|--|
| May 2023 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Additional references pertaining to the risk of infection following a cortisone injection within 3 months of surgery</li><li>• Deleted risk/benefit discussion requirement for revision knee arthroplasty</li></ul>  |
| May 2022 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Added arthroscopic surgery within 6 months of an arthroplasty as a contraindication</li><li>• Removed the risk/benefit discussion requirement</li><li>• Clarified language (General Requirements) for medically stable and surgically optimized individuals</li><li>• Revised 3-months to 12-weeks throughout</li><li>• Replaced “patient” with “individual” where appropriate</li></ul> |

## **Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee**

**Disclaimer:** *National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.*

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>*National Imaging Associates, Inc.</b>   |  |
| <b>Clinical guidelines:<br/>KNEE ARTHROSCOPY</b>  | <b>Original Date: November 2015</b>      |
| <b>CPT Codes**:</b><br>- Knee Manipulation Under Anesthesia (MUA):<br>27570, 29884<br>- Knee Ligament Reconstruction/Repair: 27405,<br>27407, 27409, 27427, 27428, 27429, , 29888, 29889<br>- Knee Meniscectomy/Meniscal Repair/Meniscal<br>Transplant: 27332, 27333, 27403, 29868, 29880, ,<br>29881, 29882, 29883<br>- Knee Surgery – Other: 27412, 27415, 27416,<br>27418, 27420, 27422, 27424, 27425, 29866, 29867,<br>29870, 29873, 29874, 29875, 29876, 29877, 29879,<br>29885, 29886, 29887, G0289<br><br><i>**See UM Matrix for allowable billed groupings and<br/>additional covered codes</i> | <b>Last Revised Date: June 2023</b>      |
| <b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_316</b>   | <b>Implementation Date: January 2024</b> |

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

*It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*

**General Requirements**

Elective arthroscopic surgery of the knee may be considered if the following general criteria are met:

- There is clinical correlation of the individual’s subjective complaints with objective exam findings and/or imaging (when applicable)

- Knee pain with documented loss of function: Deviation from normal knee function which may include painful weight bearing and/or inadequate range of motion (> 10 degrees flexion contracture or < 110 degrees flexion or both) to accomplish age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs), occupational or athletic requirements)
- Individual is medically stable with no uncontrolled comorbidities
- Individual does not have an active local or systemic infection
- Individual does not have active, untreated drug dependency (including but not limited to narcotics, opioids, or muscle relaxants) unless engaged in a treatment program
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

Clinical notes should address:

- Symptom onset, duration, and severity
- Loss of function and/or limitations
- Type and duration of non-operative management modalities (where applicable)

Unless otherwise stated in the subsections below, non-operative management must include **at least two** or more of the following, unless otherwise specified:

- Rest or activity modifications/limitations
- Ice/heat
- Protected weight bearing
- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
- Brace/orthosis
- Physical therapy modalities
- Supervised home exercise
- Weight optimization
- Injections: corticosteroid, NSAID, viscosupplementation

## INDICATIONS

### DIAGNOSTIC KNEE ARTHROSCOPY

Diagnostic knee arthroscopy may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- At least 12 weeks of knee pain with documented loss of function
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing

- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
- Brace/orthosis
- Physical therapy modalities
- Supervised home exercise
- Weight optimization
- Corticosteroid injection
- Clinical documentation of painful weight bearing, joint line tenderness, effusion and/or limited motion compared to pre-symptomatic joint range
- Indeterminate radiographs **AND** MRI findings. Radiographs and/or MRI should not demonstrate any of the following: Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 3-4 changes (based on weight-bearing radiographs), meniscus tears, ligament tears, loose bodies, stress fractures (including insufficiency fractures) or patellofemoral instability (lateral patellar tilt or patellar subluxation)
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

**NOTE:** The following are not managed by NIA :

- Subchondroplasty
- In-office diagnostic arthroscopy (e.g., Mi-Eye, VisionScope)<sup>4-6</sup>

### **DEBRIDEMENT CHONDROPLASTY**

**NOTE:** Arthroscopic debridement with or without chondroplasty for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee is considered **NOT MEDICALLY NECESSARY**.<sup>7-12</sup>

Debridement for **non-patellofemoral (femoral condyle and tibial plateau) articular cartilage** may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>13-15</sup>:

- Knee pain with documented loss of function
- Failure of **at least 12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- MRI results demonstrate evidence of an area of localized articular cartilage damage or an unstable chondral flap



- Two or more or persistent effusion(s)
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

Debridement chondroplasty may be medically necessary for **patellofemoral chondrosis** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Anterior knee pain with documented loss of function, exacerbated by activities that load the joint such as ascending > descending stairs or being in seated position for extended periods of time with knee flexed
- Other extra-articular or intra-articular sources of pain or dysfunction have been excluded (referred pain, radicular pain, tendinitis, bursitis, neuroma)
- Physical exam localizes tenderness to the patellofemoral joint
- Failure of **at least 12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- No evidence of moderate to severe osteoarthritis (Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 3-4 based on weight-bearing radiographs and patellofemoral views [see grading appendix])
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

## **MENISCECTOMY / MENISCAL REPAIR / MENISCAL TRANSPLANT**

### **MENISCECTOMY / MENISCAL REPAIR**

**NOTE:** There is a high incidence of incidental meniscal findings on knee MRI in middle-aged and elderly individuals<sup>16, 17</sup> and several studies have indicated that there is no difference in outcome between operative and non-operative treatment of individuals with degenerative meniscus tears, especially when associated with an arthritic knee.<sup>17-28</sup> Arthroscopic debridement of degenerative meniscus tears in those with visible arthritis is generally not recommended and, in some cases, may worsen the symptoms and progression of the arthritis.<sup>29-34</sup> Studies have also demonstrated an increased incidence of revision arthroplasty, infection, loosening and stiffness in individuals who underwent a knee arthroscopy prior to an arthroplasty.

Meniscectomy and/or meniscal repair may be medically necessary when **ALL** the following criteria in any of the following subsections are met:

- Symptomatic meniscal tear confirmed by MRI results that demonstrate a peripheral tear in the vascular zone, root tear, or other tear that the requesting physician considers repairable and is associated with pain localized to the corresponding compartment upon physical examination

**OR**

- MRI results demonstrate a meniscus tear in a pediatric or adolescent individual who complains of either pain or mechanical symptoms and has ANY positive meniscal findings on physical examination

**OR**

- History of acute injury/onset of symptoms with a locked knee and/or mechanical symptoms of locking
- Physical examination demonstrates ANY positive meniscal findings on examination or demonstrates evidence of a locked knee (loss of terminal extension)
- MRI demonstrates a bucket-handle tear of the meniscus. (Does not include an extruded meniscus or flap tears)

**OR**

- When **at least two** of the following 5 criteria are met:
  - History of mechanical symptoms such as “catching” or “locking” as reported by the individual
  - Knee joint line pain with forced hyperextension upon physical exam
  - Knee joint line pain with maximum flexion upon physical exam
  - Knee pain, crepitus, or an audible or palpable click with the McMurray’s test or Apley grind test
  - Joint line tenderness to palpation upon physical exam

**AND**

- Failure of at least 6 weeks of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection

**AND**

- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

**AND**

- **ONE** of the following:
  - Weight-bearing X-rays (standing X-rays, Rosenberg view, 45-degree flexed PA view, etc.) that demonstrate no moderate or severe osteoarthritic changes defined as Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 3-4 [see grading appendix]; X-rays should be described as showing either no arthritis or mild/minimal arthritis only

**OR**

- MRI results confirm a frank meniscal tear (not simply degenerative changes, i.e., fraying) and the MRI **does not** demonstrate any of the following: moderate or severe articular cartilage thinning, full-thickness articular cartilage loss or defects, extrusion of the meniscus, subchondral edema, more than mild osteophytes, subchondral cysts, or an impression of “moderate” or “advanced/severe” arthritis (see absolute and relative contraindications). If the MRI demonstrates any of the above-described findings of more than mild arthritis, **weight-bearing X-rays are required** to confirm no moderate or severe articular cartilage loss\*.

**\*NOTE: Arthroscopic meniscus requests and MRI/X-rays of the knee**

**The imaging evaluation of the knee for individuals with meniscus tears should be individualized, the goal of which is to recommend treatment for only those with no or minimal associated arthritis.**

Although most individuals that have a request for arthroscopic meniscectomy will have had **both** an MRI **and** X-rays of the knee, only one of these tests is required for approval, provided all other criteria for meniscectomy have been met. For example, if there has been a failure to improve with 6 weeks of non-operative treatment and there are physical examination findings of a meniscus tear, an MRI is not required, only weight-bearing X-rays that demonstrate no more than mild arthritis. Likewise, if an MRI describes a frank meniscus tear and does not describe any significant associated arthritis, weight-bearing X-rays are not required. However, as noted above, if an MRI demonstrates findings of more than mild arthritis, **weight-bearing X-rays are required** to confirm no moderate or severe articular cartilage loss.

**Absolute Contraindications: Meniscectomy/Meniscal Repair**

- Arthroscopic meniscectomy or meniscal repair is never medically necessary in the presence of Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 4 osteoarthritis [see grading appendix].
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

**Relative Contraindications: Meniscectomy / Meniscal Repair**

- Meniscectomy or repair is considered NOT MEDICALLY NECESSARY in the presence of Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 3 osteoarthritis [see grading appendix], **Unless:**

- There has been the acute onset of locking (does not include catching, popping, cracking, etc.); **AND**
- There is MRI evidence of a bucket-handle **or** displaced meniscal fragment that correlates with the correct compartment (i.e., medial tenderness and locking, for a medial meniscus tear).
- If grade 3 changes are present, only a meniscectomy may be indicated, not a repair. If there is evidence of meniscal extrusion on coronal MRI, with/without subchondral edema, arthroscopy is relatively contraindicated, even if a tear is present.

## **MENISCAL TRANSPLANT**

Meniscal Transplants may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>35-39</sup>

- Individual is < 40 years of age
- Individual has no evidence of arthritic changes
- Symptomatic meniscal deficiency confirmed by MRI results that show a meniscal deficient compartment, OR previous arthroscopy photographs or video showing subtotal or total meniscectomy
- Failure of at least 6 weeks of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection

### **Absolute Contraindications: Meniscal Transplant**

- Uncorrected (staged or simultaneous) ligamentous insufficiency (ACL, PCL, MCL, LCL, PMC, PLC)
- Uncorrected (staged or simultaneous) malalignment greater than 5 degrees varus or 5 degrees valgus
- Uncorrected (staged or simultaneous) full-thickness articular cartilage isolated defects (International Cartilage Research Society Grade 3 or 4; Outerbridge Grade IV [see grading appendix])
- Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 3 or 4 osteoarthritis [see grading appendix]
- Intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

## LIGAMENT RECONSTRUCTION OR REPAIR

### ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT (ACL) RECONSTRUCTION WITH ALLOGRAFT OR AUTOGRAFT

ACL reconstruction or repair may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria in any of the following subsections are met<sup>39-42</sup>:

- Patient history of instability at the time of an acute injury OR history of recurrent knee instability (as defined subjectively as "giving way", "giving out", "buckling", two-fist sign) with clinical findings of instability: Lachman test, Lachman test 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, anterior drawer, pivot shift test, or instrumented (KT-1000 or KT-2000) laxity of greater than 3 mm side-side difference
- MRI results confirm complete ACL tear
- Individual has no evidence of severe arthritis defined as Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or 4 [see grading appendix]\*\*

#### OR

- When one of the following criteria are met:
  - MRI results confirm an ACL tear associated with other ligamentous instability or repairable meniscus
  - MRI results confirm partial or complete ACL tear AND individual has persistent symptoms despite at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment
  - Acute ACL tear confirmed by MRI in high demand occupation or competitive athlete (as quantified by Marx activity score for athletics (any score greater than 4) and Tegner activity score for athletics and/or occupation (score greater than 2)) [see grading appendix]

#### AND

Individual has no evidence of severe arthritis defined as Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or 4 [see grading appendix]\*

\* If the MRI results demonstrate an ACL tear and there is no mention of significant arthritis, especially in the younger individual, X-rays are not required. However, in others with significant MRI evidence of arthritis, standing X-rays are required to confirm that no Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or 4 changes are present.

**NOTE:** ACL tears in individuals less than age 13 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

### POSTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT (PCL) RECONSTRUCTION

PCL reconstruction or repair may be medically necessary **when the following criteria are met**<sup>43, 44</sup>:

- Knee instability (as defined subjectively as "giving way", "giving out" or "buckling") with clinical findings of any of the following signs/tests: positive posterior drawer, posterior sag, quadriceps active, dial test at 90 degrees knee flexion or reverse pivot shift test

- MRI results confirm complete PCL tear
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including physical therapy emphasizing quadriceps strengthening
- Absence of medial and patellofemoral K-L grade 3-4 changes in chronic tears [see grading appendix]

The following clinical scenarios will be considered and decided on a case-by-case basis:

- Pediatric and adolescent tears in individuals with open physis or growth plates
- Symptomatic partial tears with persistent instability despite non-operative treatment
- Incidental Kellgren-Lawrence grade 2-3 osteoarthritis [see grading appendix] in acute/subacute tears with unstable joint
- Acute PCL repair or reconstruction when surgery is also required for the ACL, MCL or LCL
- Tears in individuals less than age 13

### **COLLATERAL LIGAMENT REPAIR OR RECONSTRUCTION**

Collateral ligament repair or reconstruction should rarely occur independent of additional ligament repair or reconstruction surgery (ACL, MCL, LCL).

All non-traumatic collateral ligament repair/reconstruction requests will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

### **ARTICULAR CARTILAGE RESTORATION / REPAIR**

#### **SKELETALLY IMMATURE INDICATIONS**

Articular cartilage reparative or stimulation procedures may be medically necessary when ALL of the following criteria in any of the following subsections are met<sup>45-52</sup>:

- Skeletally immature patient
- Individual is symptomatic (pain, swelling, mechanical symptoms of popping, locking, catching, or limited range of motion)
- Radiographic findings (X-ray or MRI) of a displaced lesion

#### **OR**

- Skeletally immature patient
- Individual is symptomatic (pain, swelling, mechanical symptoms of popping, locking, catching, or limited range of motion)
- Failure of at least **12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing

- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
- Brace/orthosis
- Physical therapy modalities
- Supervised home exercise
- Weight optimization
- Corticosteroid injection
- Radiographic findings (X-ray or MRI) findings of a stable osteochondral lesion

**OR**

- When **ALL** of the following criteria are met:
  - Skeletally immature
  - Asymptomatic
  - Failure of at least **12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following
    - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
    - Ice/heat
    - Protected weight bearing
    - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
    - Brace/orthosis
    - Physical therapy modalities
    - Supervised home exercise
    - Weight optimization
    - Corticosteroid injection
  - Radiographic findings (X-ray or MRI) findings of an unstable osteochondral lesion

**Exclusion (applies to all criteria above)**

Exclude individuals with evidence of meniscal deficiency and/or malalignment if these are not being addressed (meniscal transplant and/or lateral release/patellar realignment procedure) at the same time as the cartilage restoration procedure.

**ARTICULAR CARTILAGE RESTORATION / REPAIR**

**SKELETALLY MATURE INDICATIONS**

**Articular Cartilage Reparative Marrow Stimulation Procedures**

Reparative marrow stimulation techniques such as microfracture & drilling may be medically necessary when **ALL** the following criteria are met<sup>53-62</sup>

- Skeletally mature adult
- MRI confirms an isolated full-thickness chondral or osteochondral lesion of the femoral condyle, trochlea, or patella < 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup>

- Individual is symptomatic with pain, swelling, mechanical symptoms of popping, locking, catching, or limited range of motion. For trochlea or patellar lesions, individual has anterior knee pain with physical examination findings localized to the patellofemoral joint.
- Failure of at least **12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- Individual is < 50 years of age
- BMI < 35 (optimal outcomes if patient BMI < 30)
- Physical exam findings and/or (imaging) results confirm no ligamentous instability
- For femoral condyle lesions, no evidence of prior meniscectomy in same compartment unless concurrent meniscal transplant performed.
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

**NOTE:** Abrasion arthroplasty is included in coding but is not indicated.

### **Articular Cartilage Restorative Procedures – Femoral Condyle and Trochlea**

Restorative procedures for articular cartilage loss may include the following: osteochondral autograft transfer (OAT), osteochondral allograft transplantation (OCA), autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI), or matrix autologous chondrocyte implantation (MACI). The OAT or OCA procedures are preferable if the lesion involves subchondral bone.

An articular cartilage restorative procedure may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met <sup>48, 58, 63-88</sup>:

- Skeletally mature adult
- MRI results confirm an isolated full thickness chondral or osteochondral lesion of the femoral condyles or trochlea with stable surrounding articular cartilage:
  - < 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> - OAT
  - > 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> - ACI, MACI, OCA
- Individual is < 50 years of age
- BMI < 35 (optimal outcomes if patient BMI < 30)



- Individual has been symptomatic (pain, swelling, mechanical symptoms of popping, locking, catching, or limited range of motion) for at least 6 months
- Failure of at least **12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- MRI and/or physical findings confirm knee has normal alignment as defined as +/- 3 degrees from neutral on full-length mechanical axis long-leg x-ray (unless concurrent or staged tibial or femoral osteotomy performed) and stability (unless concurrent ligamentous repair or reconstruction performed)
- MRI and/or X-rays shows no evidence of osteoarthritis (no greater than Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 2 changes on weight-bearing X-rays [see grading appendix])
- No prior meniscectomy in same compartment (unless concurrent or staged meniscal transplant performed)
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

### **Articular Cartilage Restorative Procedures - Patella**

Restorative procedures for articular cartilage loss of the patella may include the following: osteochondral autograft transfer (OAT), osteochondral allograft transplantation (OCA), autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI), or matrix autologous chondrocyte implantation (MACI), with or without tibial tubercle osteotomy\*

An articular cartilage restorative procedure may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:<sup>75, 89-105</sup>

- Anterior knee pain and loss of function
- Other extra-articular or intra-articular sources of pain or dysfunction have been excluded (referred pain, radicular pain, tendinitis, bursitis, neuroma)
- Physical exam localizes tenderness to the patellofemoral joint with pain aggravated by activities that load the joint (single leg squat, descending > ascending stairs or stair climbing, and being in seated position for extended periods of time with knee flexed)
- MRI results confirm an isolated full thickness chondral or osteochondral lesion of the patella:
  - < 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> - OAT

- >2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> ACI, MACI, OCA
- Failure of at least **12 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including at least **two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- Individual is < 50 years of age
- BMI < 35 (optimal outcomes if patient BMI < 30)
- No evidence of associated osteoarthritis greater than Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 2 of the patellofemoral joint or medial/lateral compartments on weight-bearing X-rays [see grading appendix]
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

#### **\*Patellofemoral Chondrosis**

**For isolated tibial tubercle osteotomy for patellofemoral chondrosis without articular cartilage restoration procedures, the same criteria above apply except patellofemoral X-rays should document Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3 or 4 changes with no more than K-L 2 changes of the medial and lateral compartments on weight-bearing X-rays.**

#### **EXCLUSIONS:**

**These requests are excluded from consideration under this guideline:**

Micronized cartilage extracellular matrix (BioCartilage)  
 Autologous Matrix-Induced Chondrogenesis (AMIC)  
 Bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC) implantation  
 Hybrid ACI/OAT procedure  
 Particulated juvenile allograft cartilage (PJAC, DeNovo)  
 Particulated autologous cartilage implantation (PACI)  
 Viable cartilage allograft putty (CartiMax)  
 Decellularized Osteochondral Allograft Plugs (e.g., Chondrofix)  
 Cryopreserved viable osteochondral allograft (CVOCA; Cartiform and ProChondrix)  
 Aragonite biphasic osteochondral scaffolds (Agili-C™)  
 Human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells (CARTISEM).

## Synovectomy (major [2+ compartments], minor [1 compartment])

Synovectomy may be medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria in **any** of the following subsections are met<sup>106-108</sup>:

- Proliferative rheumatoid synovium (in individuals with established rheumatoid arthritis according to the American College of Rheumatology Guidelines [see grading appendix])
- Non-responsive to disease modifying drug (DMARD) therapy for at least 6 months and failure of at least 6 weeks of non-operative treatment
- At least one instance of aspiration of joint effusion and corticosteroid injection (if no evidence of infection)

### OR

- Hemarthrosis from injury, coagulopathy or bleeding disorder confirmed by physical exam, joint aspiration, and/or MRI

### OR

- Proliferative pigmented villonodular synovitis, synovial chondromatosis, sarcoid synovitis, or similar proliferative synovial disease, traumatic hypertrophic synovitis confirmed by history, MRI, or biopsy
- Failure of **at least 6 weeks** of non-operative treatment, including **at least two** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- At least one instance of aspiration of joint effusion and injection of corticosteroid (if no evidence of infection)

### OR

- Detection of painful plica confirmed by physical exam and MRI findings
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment (see above for criteria)
- At least one instance of aspiration of joint effusion **OR** single injection of corticosteroid (effusion may not be present with symptomatic plica)
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

## Loose Body Removal

Loose body removal may be medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- Documentation of mechanical symptoms that cause limitation or loss of function
- X-ray, CT, or MRI documentation of a loose body
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

## Lateral Release/Patellar Realignment

This guideline describes indications for surgical procedures to address patellofemoral pain disorders and abnormal alignment of the extensor mechanism of the knee by arthroscopic and/or open surgical techniques.

## Lateral Patellar Compression Syndrome

Surgical intervention for the treatment of lateral patellar compression syndrome is indicated when **ALL** the following criteria are met<sup>109-113</sup>:

- Evidence of lateral patellar tilt from radiologic images (patellofemoral view: Merchant (45 degrees flexion; and/or skyline (60-90 degrees flexion); and/or sunrise (60-90 degrees flexion)
- Associated lateral patella facet Kellgren-Lawrence changes grade 1, 2, or 3 [see grading appendix]
- Reproducible isolated lateral patellofemoral pain with patellar tilt test
- Failure of **at least 6 months** of non-operative treatment, including quadriceps strengthening and appropriate hamstring/IT band stretching and patellar mobilization techniques, and **at least one** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Protected weight bearing
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
  - Brace/orthosis
  - Physical therapy modalities
  - Supervised home exercise
  - Weight optimization
  - Corticosteroid injection
- No evidence of patellar dislocation.
- No evidence of medial patellofemoral changes (Kellgren-Lawrence Grade 2 osteoarthritis or higher [see grading appendix])
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

## Patellar Malalignment and/or Patellar Instability

Surgical intervention for the treatment of patellar malalignment and/or patellar instability is indicated when **ALL** of the following criteria in any of the following subsections are met<sup>114-121</sup>:

- Acute traumatic patellar dislocation is associated with an osteochondral fracture, loose body, vastus medialis obliquus/medial patellofemoral ligament muscle avulsion, or other intra-articular injury that requires urgent operative management

**OR**

- Repeat (2 or more) patellar dislocations or subluxations have occurred despite 6 months of non-operative treatment with radiologic confirmation of MPFL (medial patellofemoral ligament) deficiency (including evidence of acute or remote injury, scarring, incomplete healing, etc.) **OR** physical examination demonstrates evidence of patellar instability (positive apprehension test).

**OR**

- When all the following criteria have been met:
  - Physical exam has patellofemoral tenderness and abnormal articulation of the patella in the femoral trochlear groove (patellar apprehension or positive J sign)
  - Radiologic and/or advanced images (CT or MRI) rule out fracture or loose body, and show abnormal articulation, trochlear dysplasia, abnormal TT-TG distance (tibial tubercle-trochlear groove)\* or other abnormality related to malalignment<sup>118, 122-125</sup>,
  - Failure of at least 6 months of non-operative treatment, including at least 3 months of physical therapy, and **ONE** of the following:
    - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
    - Ice/heat
    - Protected weight bearing
    - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
    - Brace/orthosis
    - Supervised home exercise
    - Weight optimization
    - Corticosteroid injection
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery

\*The tibial tubercle-trochlear groove (TT-TG) distance is normally @5-10 mm. Some authors use 13 mm as a cut-off and most agree that a TT-TG of 15 mm or over is abnormal.<sup>118, 123, 125</sup> TT-TG values over 17 mm indicate other possible bony abnormalities such as increased femoral anteversion that may cause patellar instability.<sup>126-128</sup>

## **Manipulation under Anesthesia (MUA)**

Manipulation under anesthesia (MUA) may be indicated when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>129-134</sup>:

- Physical exam findings demonstrate inadequate range of motion of the knee defined as less than 110 degrees of flexion or lack of full extension
- Failure to improve range of motion of the knee despite 6 weeks (12 visits) of documented physical therapy
- Individual is less than 20 weeks after ligamentous or joint reconstruction

## **Lysis of Adhesions for Arthrofibrosis of the knee**

Surgical indications are based on relevant clinical symptoms, physical exam, radiologic findings, time from primary surgery, and response to conservative management when medically appropriate. Improved range of motion may be accomplished through arthroscopically assisted or open lysis of adhesions with general anesthesia, regional anesthesia, or sedation.<sup>135-137</sup>

Lysis of adhesions for arthrofibrosis of the knee may be indicated when **ALL** of the following criteria in any of the following subsections are met:

- Physical exam findings demonstrate inadequate range of motion of the knee, defined as less than 110 degrees of flexion or lack of full extension
- Failure to improve range of motion of the knee despite 6 weeks (12 visits) of documented physical therapy
- Individual is more than 12 weeks after ligamentous or joint reconstruction, or resolved infection
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

### **OR**

- Individual is more than 12 weeks after trauma, or resolved infection
- Individual has native knee
- Manipulation under anesthesia is also performed
- No intra-articular cortisone injections within 4 weeks of surgery<sup>1-3</sup>

## **GRADING APPENDIX**

- Kellgren-Lawrence Grading System
- Outerbridge Arthroscopic Grading System
- Marx Scale
- Tegner Activity Score
- The International Cartilage Research Society (ICRS)
- American College of Rheumatology Guidelines

**Kellgren-Lawrence Grading System (Standing/weight-bearing X-rays)**

| Grade | Description   |
|-------|---|
| 0     | No radiographic features of osteoarthritis  |
| 1     | Possible joint space narrowing and osteophyte formation   |
| 2     | Definite osteophyte formation with possible joint space narrowing   |
| 3     | Moderate multiple osteophytes, definite narrowing of joint space, some sclerosis and possible deformity of bone contour |
| 4     | Large osteophytes, marked narrowing of joint space, severe sclerosis and definite deformity of bone contour             |

**Outerbridge Arthroscopic Grading System**

| Grade | Description  |
|-------|--|
| 0     | Normal cartilage   |
| I     | Softening and swelling/blistering  |
| II    | Partial thickness defect, fissures < 1.5cm diameter/wide   |
| III   | Fissures /defects down to subchondral bone with intact calcified cartilage layer, diameter > 1.5cm |
| IV    | Exposed subchondral bone   |

**MARX SCALE (For determination of activity level in acute ACL tears)**

Indicate how often you performed each activity in your healthiest and most active state, in the past year.

**MARX SCALE TABLE**

| Activity/Movement                                 | Less than one time in a month | One time in a month | One time in a week | 2 or 3 times in a week | 4 or more times in a week |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Running: running while playing a sport or jogging | 0                             | 1                   | 2                  | 3                      | 4                         |
| Cutting: changing directions while running        | 0                             | 1                   | 2                  | 3                      | 4                         |

|  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Deceleration: coming to a quick stop while running   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Pivoting: turning your body with your foot planted while playing sport; For example: skiing, skating, kicking, throwing, hitting a ball (golf, tennis, squash), etc. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

**TEGNER SCORES (For determination of activity level in acute ACL tears)**

Indicate in the spaces below the HIGHEST level of activity that you participated in **BEFORE YOUR INJURY** and the highest level you are able to participate in **CURRENTLY**

**TEGNER SCORE TABLE**

| Level    | Activity Description   |
|----------|--|
| Level 10 | Competitive sports- soccer, football, rugby (national elite)   |
| Level 9  | Competitive sports- soccer, football, rugby (lower divisions), ice hockey, wrestling, gymnastics, basketball   |
| Level 8  | Competitive sports- racquetball or bandy, squash or badminton, track and field athletics (jumping, etc.), down-hill skiing   |
| Level 7  | Competitive sports- tennis, running, motorcars speedway, handball<br>Recreational sports- soccer, football, rugby, bandy, ice hockey, basketball, squash, racquetball, running |
| Level 6  | Recreational sports- tennis and badminton, handball, racquetball, down-hill skiing, jogging at least 5 times per week  |
| Level 5  | Work- heavy labor (construction, etc.)<br>Competitive sports- cycling, cross-country skiing; Recreational sports- jogging on uneven ground at least twice weekly               |
| Level 4  | Work- moderately heavy labor (e.g., truck driving, etc.)   |
| Level 3  | Work- light labor (nursing, etc.)  |
| Level 2  | Work- light labor<br>Walking on uneven ground possible, but impossible to backpack or hike   |
| Level 1  | Work- sedentary (secretarial, etc.)  |
| Level 0  | Sick leave or disability pension because of knee problems  |



### The International Cartilage Research Society (ICRS)

| Grade | Description   |
|-------|---|
| 0     | Normal cartilage  |
| 1     | Nearly normal cartilage<br><i>Superficial lesions. Soft indentation and/or superficial fissures and cracks.</i>   |
| 2     | Abnormal cartilage<br><i>Lesions extending down to &lt;50% of cartilage depth.</i>  |
| 3     | Severely abnormal cartilage<br><i>Cartilage defects extending down &gt;50% of cartilage depth as well as down to calcified layer and down to but not through the subchondral bone. Blisters are included in this Grade.</i> |
| 4     | Severely abnormal cartilage (through the subchondral bone)<br><i>Penetration of subchondral bone that may or may not be across the full diameter of defect</i>  |

### American College of Rheumatology Guidelines

#### 2010 ACR/EULAR: Classification Criteria for RA

| <b>JOINT DISTRIBUTION (0-5)</b>              |   |
|--|---|
| 1 large joint                                | 0 |
| 2-10 large joints                            | 1 |
| 1-3 small joints (large joints not counted)  | 2 |
| 4-10 small joints (large joints not counted) | 3 |
| >10 joints (at least one small joint)        | 5 |
| <b>SEROLOGY (0-3)</b>                        |   |
| Negative RF AND negative ACPA                | 0 |
| Low positive RF OR low positive ACPA         | 2 |
| High positive RF OR high positive ACPA       | 3 |
| <b>SYMPTOM DURATION (0-1)</b>                |   |

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| <6 weeks                           | 0 |
| ≥6 weeks 1                         | 1 |
| <b>ACUTE PHASE REACTANTS (0-1)</b> |   |
| Normal CRP AND normal ESR          | 0 |
| Abnormal CRP OR abnormal ESR       | 1 |
| <b>≥6 = definite RA</b>            |   |

---

## BACKGROUND

### KNEE ARTHROSCOPY - Knee Arthroscopy & Open, Non-Arthroplasty

This guideline addresses the following elective, non-emergent, arthroscopic knee repair procedures:

- Diagnostic knee arthroscopy
- Debridement with or without chondroplasty
- Meniscectomy/meniscal repair/meniscal transplant
- Ligament reconstruction/repair
- Articular cartilage restoration/repair (marrow stimulating and restorative techniques)
- Synovectomy (major [2+ compartments], minor [1 compartment])
- Loose body removal
- Lateral release/patellar realignment
- Manipulation under anesthesia (MUA)
- Lysis of adhesions for arthrofibrosis of the knee

Arthroscopy introduces a fiber-optic camera into the knee joint through a small incision for diagnostic visualization purposes. Other instruments may then be introduced to remove, repair, or reconstruct intra- and extra-articular joint pathology. Surgical indications are based on relevant subjective clinical symptoms, objective physical exam and radiologic findings, and response to previous non-operative treatments when medically appropriate.

Open, non-arthroplasty knee surgeries are performed instead of an arthroscopy as dictated by the type and severity of injury and/or disease.

## REFERENCES

1. Belk JW, Keeling LE, Kraeutler MJ, et al. Risk of Infection in Knee Arthroscopy Patients Undergoing Corticosteroid Injections in the Perioperative Period. *Orthop J Sports Med.* Aug 2021;9(8):23259671211032941. doi:10.1177/23259671211032941
2. Forsythe B, Forlenza EM, Agarwalla A, et al. Corticosteroid Injections 1 Month Before Arthroscopic Meniscectomy Increase the Risk of Surgical-Site Infection. *Arthroscopy.* Sep 2021;37(9):2885-2890.e2. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2021.02.047
3. Lee W, Bhattacharjee S, Lee MJ, Ho SW, Athiviraham A, Shi LL. A Safe Interval between Preoperative Intra-articular Corticosteroid Injections and Subsequent Knee Arthroscopy. *J Knee Surg.* Jan 2022;35(1):47-53. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1712949
4. Cooper DE. Editorial Commentary: The Desire to Take a Look: Surgeons and Patients Must Weigh the Benefits and Costs of In-Office Needle Arthroscopy Versus Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Arthroscopy.* Aug 2018;34(8):2436-2437. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.06.002
5. McIntyre LF. Editorial Commentary: What You See Is What You Get-Is In-Office Needle Arthroscopy Ready for Prime Time? *Arthroscopy.* Sep 2019;35(9):2722-2723. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.06.032
6. Zhang K, Crum RJ, Samuelsson K, Cadet E, Ayeni OR, de Sa D. In-Office Needle Arthroscopy: A Systematic Review of Indications and Clinical Utility. *Arthroscopy.* Sep 2019;35(9):2709-2721. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.03.045
7. O'Connor D, Johnston RV, Brignardello-Petersen R, et al. Arthroscopic surgery for degenerative knee disease (osteoarthritis including degenerative meniscal tears). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Mar 3 2022;3(3):Cd014328. doi:10.1002/14651858.Cd014328
8. Katz JN, Brownlee SA, Jones MH. The role of arthroscopy in the management of knee osteoarthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* Feb 2014;28(1):143-56. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2014.01.008
9. Mayr HO, Rueschenschmidt M, Seil R, et al. Indications for and results of arthroscopy in the arthritic knee: a European survey. *Int Orthop.* Jul 2013;37(7):1263-71. doi:10.1007/s00264-013-1896-3
10. Totlis T, Marín Fermín T, Kalifis G, Terzidis I, Maffulli N, Papakostas E. Arthroscopic debridement for focal articular cartilage lesions of the knee: A systematic review. *Surgeon.* Dec 2021;19(6):356-364. doi:10.1016/j.surge.2020.11.011
11. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (NonArthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. Published 08/31/2021. Accessed March 2, 2023. <https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg>
12. Avoid routinely performing arthroscopy with lavage and/or debridement in patients with a primary diagnosis of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Released May 15, 2020. <https://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/aaos-avoid-routinely-performing-arthroscopy-with-lavage-and-or-debridement-in-patients-with-a-primary-diagnosis-of-symptomatic-osteoarthritis-of-the-knee/>
13. Montgomery SR, Foster BD, Ngo SS, et al. Trends in the surgical treatment of articular cartilage defects of the knee in the United States. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Sep 2014;22(9):2070-5. doi:10.1007/s00167-013-2614-9

14. Anderson DE, Rose MB, Wille AJ, Wiedrick J, Crawford DC. Arthroscopic Mechanical Chondroplasty of the Knee Is Beneficial for Treatment of Focal Cartilage Lesions in the Absence of Concurrent Pathology. *Orthop J Sports Med.* May 2017;5(5):2325967117707213. doi:10.1177/2325967117707213
15. Scillia AJ, Aune KT, Andrachuk JS, et al. Return to play after chondroplasty of the knee in National Football League athletes. *Am J Sports Med.* Mar 2015;43(3):663-8. doi:10.1177/0363546514562752
16. Englund M, Guermazi A, Gale D, et al. Incidental meniscal findings on knee MRI in middle-aged and elderly persons. *N Engl J Med.* Sep 11 2008;359(11):1108-15. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0800777
17. Englund M, Roemer FW, Hayashi D, Crema MD, Guermazi A. Meniscus pathology, osteoarthritis and the treatment controversy. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* May 22 2012;8(7):412-9. doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2012.69
18. Sihvonen R, Paavola M, Malmivaara A, et al. Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy for a degenerative meniscus tear: a 5 year follow-up of the placebo-surgery controlled FIDELITY (Finnish Degenerative Meniscus Lesion Study) trial. *Br J Sports Med.* Nov 2020;54(22):1332-1339. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102813
19. Herrlin SV, Wange PO, Lapidus G, Hållander M, Werner S, Weidenhielm L. Is arthroscopic surgery beneficial in treating non-traumatic, degenerative medial meniscal tears? A five year follow-up. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Feb 2013;21(2):358-64. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-1960-3
20. Hohmann E, Glatt V, Tetsworth K, Cote M. Arthroscopic Partial Meniscectomy Versus Physical Therapy for Degenerative Meniscus Lesions: How Robust Is the Current Evidence? A Critical Systematic Review and Qualitative Synthesis. *Arthroscopy.* Sep 2018;34(9):2699-2708. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.04.018
21. Jevsevar DS. Treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: evidence-based guideline, 2nd edition. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Sep 2013;21(9):571-6. doi:10.5435/jaaos-21-09-571
22. Katz JN, Brophy RH, Chaisson CE, et al. Surgery versus physical therapy for a meniscal tear and osteoarthritis. *N Engl J Med.* May 2 2013;368(18):1675-84. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1301408
23. Kise NJ, Risberg MA, Stensrud S, Ranstam J, Engebretsen L, Roos EM. Exercise therapy versus arthroscopic partial meniscectomy for degenerative meniscal tear in middle aged patients: randomised controlled trial with two year follow-up. *Bmj.* Jul 20 2016;354:i3740. doi:10.1136/bmj.i3740
24. Leopold SS. Editorial: Appropriate Use? Guidelines on Arthroscopic Surgery for Degenerative Meniscus Tears Need Updating. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* May 2017;475(5):1283-1286. doi:10.1007/s11999-017-5296-7
25. Liebs TR, Ziebarth K, Berger S. Randomized Controlled Trials for Arthroscopy in Degenerative Knee Disease: Was Conservative Therapy Appropriately Tried Prior to Arthroscopy? *Arthroscopy.* May 2018;34(5):1680-1687.e6. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2017.12.016
26. MacDonald PB. Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy was not more effective than physical therapy for meniscal tear and knee osteoarthritis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Nov 20 2013;95(22):2058. doi:10.2106/JBJS.9522.ebo745

27. Sihvonen R, Paavola M, Malmivaara A, et al. Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy versus sham surgery for a degenerative meniscal tear. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 26 2013;369(26):2515-24. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1305189
28. Yim JH, Seon JK, Song EK, et al. A comparative study of meniscectomy and nonoperative treatment for degenerative horizontal tears of the medial meniscus. *Am J Sports Med*. Jul 2013;41(7):1565-70. doi:10.1177/0363546513488518
29. Krivicich LM, Kunze KN, Parvaresh KC, et al. Comparison of Long-term Radiographic Outcomes and Rate and Time for Conversion to Total Knee Arthroplasty Between Repair and Meniscectomy for Medial Meniscus Posterior Root Tears: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med*. Jun 2022;50(7):2023-2031. doi:10.1177/03635465211017514
30. Santana DC, Oak SR, Jin Y, et al. Increased Joint Space Narrowing After Arthroscopic Partial Meniscectomy: Data From the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Am J Sports Med*. Jul 2022;50(8):2075-2082. doi:10.1177/03635465221096790
31. Leopold SS. Editorial: Chance Encounters, Overdiagnosis, and Overtreatment. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jul 1 2022;480(7):1231-1233. doi:10.1097/corr.0000000000002258
32. Migliorini F, Oliva F, Eschweiler J, Cuozzo F, Hildebrand F, Maffulli N. No evidence in support of arthroscopic partial meniscectomy in adults with degenerative and nonobstructive meniscal symptoms: a level I evidence-based systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. May 2023;31(5):1733-1743. doi:10.1007/s00167-022-07040-0
33. López-Vega M, Doménech-Fernández J, Peiró S, Ridao-López M. Has Arthroscopic Meniscectomy Use Changed in Response to the Evidence? A Large-database Study From Spain. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jan 1 2023;481(1):7-16. doi:10.1097/corr.0000000000002421
34. Leopold SS. Reply to Letter to the Editor: Editor's Spotlight/Take 5: Has Arthroscopic Meniscectomy Use Changed in Response to the Evidence? A Large-database Study From Spain. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Apr 1 2023;481(4):839-840. doi:10.1097/corr.0000000000002563
35. Hannon MG, Ryan MK, Strauss EJ. Meniscal Allograft Transplantation A Comprehensive Historical and Current Review. *Bull Hosp Jt Dis (2013)*. Jun 2015;73(2):100-8.
36. Noyes FR, Barber-Westin SD. Meniscal Transplantation in Symptomatic Patients Under Fifty Years of Age: Survivorship Analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Aug 5 2015;97(15):1209-19. doi:10.2106/jbjs.N.01340
37. Samitier G, Alentorn-Geli E, Taylor DC, et al. Meniscal allograft transplantation. Part 2: systematic review of transplant timing, outcomes, return to competition, associated procedures, and prevention of osteoarthritis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Jan 2015;23(1):323-33. doi:10.1007/s00167-014-3344-3
38. Anderson AB, Gaston J, LeClere LE, Dickens JF. Meniscal Salvage: Where We Are Today. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Jul 15 2021;29(14):596-603. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-20-00915
39. Rosso F, Bisicchia S, Bonasia DE, Amendola A. Meniscal allograft transplantation: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med*. Apr 2015;43(4):998-1007. doi:10.1177/0363546514536021
40. Dejour D, Ntagiopoulos PG, Saggin PR, Panisset JC. The diagnostic value of clinical tests, magnetic resonance imaging, and instrumented laxity in the differentiation of complete versus

- partial anterior cruciate ligament tears. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2013;29(3):491-9. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2012.10.013
41. Höher J, Offerhaus C. Conservative versus operative treatment. *Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction*. Springer; 2014:77-84.
  42. Pujol N, Colombet P, Cucurulo T, et al. Natural history of partial anterior cruciate ligament tears: a systematic literature review. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. Dec 2012;98(8 Suppl):S160-4. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2012.09.013
  43. Bedi A, Musahl V, Cowan JB. Management of Posterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries: An Evidence-Based Review. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. May 2016;24(5):277-89. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-14-00326
  44. LaPrade CM, Civitaresse DM, Rasmussen MT, LaPrade RF. Emerging Updates on the Posterior Cruciate Ligament: A Review of the Current Literature. *Am J Sports Med*. Dec 2015;43(12):3077-92. doi:10.1177/0363546515572770
  45. Chawla A, Twycross-Lewis R, Maffulli N. Microfracture produces inferior outcomes to other cartilage repair techniques in chondral injuries in the paediatric knee. *Br Med Bull*. 2015;116:93-103. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldv040
  46. Macmull S, Skinner JA, Bentley G, Carrington RW, Briggs TW. Treating articular cartilage injuries of the knee in young people. *Bmj*. Mar 5 2010;340:c998. doi:10.1136/bmj.c998
  47. Murphy RT, Pennock AT, Bugbee WD. Osteochondral allograft transplantation of the knee in the pediatric and adolescent population. *Am J Sports Med*. Mar 2014;42(3):635-40. doi:10.1177/0363546513516747
  48. Salzmänn GM, Ossendorff R, Gilat R, Cole BJ. Autologous Minced Cartilage Implantation for Treatment of Chondral and Osteochondral Lesions in the Knee Joint: An Overview. *Cartilage*. Dec 2021;13(1\_suppl):1124s-1136s. doi:10.1177/1947603520942952
  49. Salzmänn GM, Sah BR, Schmal H, Niemeyer P, Sudkamp NP. Microfracture for treatment of knee cartilage defects in children and adolescents. *Pediatr Rep*. Apr 2 2012;4(2):e21. doi:10.4081/pr.2012.e21
  50. Steadman JR, Briggs KK, Matheny LM, Guillet A, Hanson CM, Willimon SC. Outcomes following microfracture of full-thickness articular cartilage lesions of the knee in adolescent patients. *J Knee Surg*. Apr 2015;28(2):145-50. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1373737
  51. Chau MM, Klimstra MA, Wise KL, et al. Osteochondritis Dissecans: Current Understanding of Epidemiology, Etiology, Management, and Outcomes. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jun 16 2021;103(12):1132-1151. doi:10.2106/jbjs.20.01399
  52. Valtanen RS, Arshi A, Kelley BV, Fabricant PD, Jones KJ. Articular Cartilage Repair of the Pediatric and Adolescent Knee with Regard to Minimal Clinically Important Difference: A Systematic Review. *Cartilage*. Jan 2020;11(1):9-18. doi:10.1177/1947603518783503
  53. Sommerfeldt MF, Magnussen RA, Hewett TE, Kaeding CC, Flanigan DC. Microfracture of Articular Cartilage. *JBJS Rev*. Jun 28 2016;4(6)doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.15.00005
  54. Bae DK, Song SJ, Yoon KH, Heo DB, Kim TJ. Survival analysis of microfracture in the osteoarthritic knee-minimum 10-year follow-up. *Arthroscopy*. Feb 2013;29(2):244-50. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2012.09.006

55. Bark S, Piontek T, Behrens P, Mkalaluh S, Varoga D, Gille J. Enhanced microfracture techniques in cartilage knee surgery: Fact or fiction? *World J Orthop.* Sep 18 2014;5(4):444-9. doi:10.5312/wjo.v5.i4.444
56. Gobbi A, Karnatzikos G, Kumar A. Long-term results after microfracture treatment for full-thickness knee chondral lesions in athletes. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Sep 2014;22(9):1986-96. doi:10.1007/s00167-013-2676-8
57. Goyal T, Tripathy SK, Schuh A, Paul S. Total knee arthroplasty after a prior knee arthroscopy has higher complication rates: a systematic review. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* Sep 20 2021;doi:10.1007/s00402-021-04175-6
58. Mall NA, Harris JD, Cole BJ. Clinical Evaluation and Preoperative Planning of Articular Cartilage Lesions of the Knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Oct 2015;23(10):633-40. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-14-00241
59. Medina J, Garcia-Mansilla I, Fabricant PD, Kremen TJ, Sherman SL, Jones K. Microfracture for the Treatment of Symptomatic Cartilage Lesions of the Knee: A Survey of International Cartilage Regeneration & Joint Preservation Society. *Cartilage.* Dec 2021;13(1\_suppl):1148s-1155s. doi:10.1177/1947603520954503
60. Oussedik S, Tsitskaris K, Parker D. Treatment of articular cartilage lesions of the knee by microfracture or autologous chondrocyte implantation: a systematic review. *Arthroscopy.* Apr 2015;31(4):732-44. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2014.11.023
61. Orth P, Gao L, Madry H. Microfracture for cartilage repair in the knee: a systematic review of the contemporary literature. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Mar 2020;28(3):670-706. doi:10.1007/s00167-019-05359-9
62. Proffen B, von Keudell A, Vavken P. [Evidence-based therapy for cartilage lesions in the knee - regenerative treatment options]. *Z Orthop Unfall.* Jun 2012;150(3):280-9. Evidenzbasierte Knorpeltherapie im Kniegelenk - regenerative Behandlungsoptionen. doi:10.1055/s-0031-1298387
63. Brittberg M, Recker D, Ilgenfritz J, Saris DBF. Matrix-Applied Characterized Autologous Cultured Chondrocytes Versus Microfracture: Five-Year Follow-up of a Prospective Randomized Trial. *Am J Sports Med.* May 2018;46(6):1343-1351. doi:10.1177/0363546518756976
64. Fu FH, Soni A. ACI Versus Microfracture: The Debate Continues: Commentary on an article by Gunnar Knutsen, MD, PhD, et al.: "A Randomized Multicenter Trial Comparing Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation with Microfracture: Long-Term Follow-up at 14 to 15 Years". *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Aug 17 2016;98(16):e69. doi:10.2106/jbjs.16.00565
65. Gou GH, Tseng FJ, Wang SH, et al. Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation Versus Microfracture in the Knee: A Meta-analysis and Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy.* Jan 2020;36(1):289-303. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.06.033
66. Knutsen G, Drogset JO, Engebretsen L, et al. A Randomized Multicenter Trial Comparing Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation with Microfracture: Long-Term Follow-up at 14 to 15 Years. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Aug 17 2016;98(16):1332-9. doi:10.2106/jbjs.15.01208
67. Minas T, Von Keudell A, Bryant T, Gomoll AH. The John Insall Award: A minimum 10-year outcome study of autologous chondrocyte implantation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Jan 2014;472(1):41-51. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3146-9

68. Niemeyer P, Laute V, Zinser W, et al. A Prospective, Randomized, Open-Label, Multicenter, Phase III Noninferiority Trial to Compare the Clinical Efficacy of Matrix-Associated Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation With Spheroid Technology Versus Arthroscopic Microfracture for Cartilage Defects of the Knee. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Jul 2019;7(7):2325967119854442. doi:10.1177/2325967119854442
69. Sherman SL, Garrity J, Bauer K, Cook J, Stannard J, Bugbee W. Fresh osteochondral allograft transplantation for the knee: current concepts. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Feb 2014;22(2):121-33. doi:10.5435/jaaos-22-02-121
70. Zouzias IC, Bugbee WD. Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation in the Knee. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rev*. Jun 2016;24(2):79-84. doi:10.1097/jsa.000000000000109
71. Abraamyan T, Johnson AJ, Wiedrick J, Crawford DC. Marrow Stimulation Has Relatively Inferior Patient-Reported Outcomes in Cartilage Restoration Surgery of the Knee: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Am J Sports Med*. Mar 2022;50(3):858-866. doi:10.1177/03635465211003595
72. Ibarra C, Villalobos E, Madrazo-Ibarra A, et al. Arthroscopic Matrix-Assisted Autologous Chondrocyte Transplantation Versus Microfracture: A 6-Year Follow-up of a Prospective Randomized Trial. *Am J Sports Med*. Jul 2021;49(8):2165-2176. doi:10.1177/03635465211010487
73. Kim JH, Heo JW, Lee DH. Clinical and Radiological Outcomes After Autologous Matrix-Induced Chondrogenesis Versus Microfracture of the Knee: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis With a Minimum 2-Year Follow-up. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Nov 2020;8(11):2325967120959280. doi:10.1177/2325967120959280
74. Schuette HB, Kraeutler MJ, Schrock JB, McCarty EC. Primary Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation of the Knee Versus Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation After Failed Marrow Stimulation: A Systematic Review. *Am J Sports Med*. Jul 2021;49(9):2536-2541. doi:10.1177/0363546520968284
75. Hinckel BB, Thomas D, Vellios EE, et al. Algorithm for Treatment of Focal Cartilage Defects of the Knee: Classic and New Procedures. *Cartilage*. Dec 2021;13(1\_suppl):473s-495s. doi:10.1177/1947603521993219
76. Gowd AK, Cvetanovich GL, Liu JN, et al. Management of Chondral Lesions of the Knee: Analysis of Trends and Short-Term Complications Using the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Database. *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2019;35(1):138-146. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.07.049
77. Massen FK, Inauen CR, Harder LP, Runer A, Preiss S, Salzmann GM. One-Step Autologous Minced Cartilage Procedure for the Treatment of Knee Joint Chondral and Osteochondral Lesions: A Series of 27 Patients With 2-Year Follow-up. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Jun 2019;7(6):2325967119853773. doi:10.1177/2325967119853773
78. Wodzig MHH, Peters MJM, Emanuel KS, et al. Minced Autologous Chondral Fragments with Fibrin Glue as a Simple Promising One-Step Cartilage Repair Procedure: A Clinical and MRI Study at 12-Month Follow-Up. *Cartilage*. Dec 2022;13(4):19-31. doi:10.1177/19476035221126343



79. Inderhaug E, Solheim E. Osteochondral Autograft Transplant (Mosaicplasty) for Knee Articular Cartilage Defects. *JBJS Essent Surg Tech*. Oct-Dec 2019;9(4):doi:10.2106/jbjs.St.18.00113
80. Chahla J, Stone J, Mandelbaum BR. How to Manage Cartilage Injuries? *Arthroscopy*. Oct 2019;35(10):2771-2773. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.08.021
81. Wagner KR, DeFroda SF, Sivasundaram L, et al. Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation for Focal Cartilage Defects of the Femoral Condyles. *JBJS Essent Surg Tech*. Jul-Sep 2022;12(3):e21.00037. doi:10.2106/jbjs.St.21.00037
82. Tabbaa SM, Bugbee WD, Provencher M, Farr J, Crawford DC. Inconsistent Reporting of Preauthorization Medical Criteria for Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation Surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Oct 19 2022;104(20):1841-1853. doi:10.2106/jbjs.21.01191
83. Kunze KN, Ramkumar PN, Manzi JE, Wright-Chisem J, Nwachukwu BU, Williams RJ, 3rd. Risk Factors for Failure After Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation of the Knee: A Systematic Review and Exploratory Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med*. Apr 2023;51(5):1356-1367. doi:10.1177/036354652111063901
84. Chahla J, Williams BT, Yanke AB, Farr J. The Large Focal Isolated Chondral Lesion. *J Knee Surg*. Mar 2023;36(4):368-381. doi:10.1055/s-0041-1735278
85. Angele P, Zellner J, Schröter S, Flechtenmacher J, Fritz J, Niemeyer P. Biological Reconstruction of Localized Full-Thickness Cartilage Defects of the Knee: A Systematic Review of Level 1 Studies with a Minimum Follow-Up of 5 Years. *Cartilage*. Dec 2022;13(4):5-18. doi:10.1177/19476035221129571
86. Daud A, Safir OA, Gross AE, Kuzyk PR. Outcomes of Plug Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation With or Without Concomitant Osteotomy for Cartilage Defects in the Knee: Minimum 2-year Follow-up. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Jan 15 2023;31(2):e73-e81. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-22-00109
87. Gillinov SM, Fosam A, Burroughs PJ, et al. Incidence, Timing, and Risk Factors for 5-Year Revision Surgery After Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation in 533 Patients. *Am J Sports Med*. Sep 2022;50(11):2893-2899. doi:10.1177/03635465221111115
88. Dekker TJ, Aman ZS, DePhillipo NN, Dickens JF, Anz AW, LaPrade RF. Chondral Lesions of the Knee: An Evidence-Based Approach. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Apr 7 2021;103(7):629-645. doi:10.2106/jbjs.20.01161
89. Biant LC, Bentley G, Vijayan S, Skinner JA, Carrington RW. Long-term results of autologous chondrocyte implantation in the knee for chronic chondral and osteochondral defects. *Am J Sports Med*. Sep 2014;42(9):2178-83. doi:10.1177/0363546514539345
90. Gomoll AH, Gillogly SD, Cole BJ, et al. Autologous chondrocyte implantation in the patella: a multicenter experience. *Am J Sports Med*. May 2014;42(5):1074-81. doi:10.1177/0363546514523927
91. Hinckel BB, Gomoll AH. Patellofemoral Cartilage Restoration: Indications, Techniques, and Outcomes of Autologous Chondrocytes Implantation, Matrix-Induced Chondrocyte Implantation, and Particulated Juvenile Allograft Cartilage. *J Knee Surg*. Mar 2018;31(3):212-226. doi:10.1055/s-0037-1607294

92. Olivos Meza A, Cortés González S, Ferniza Garza JJ, Pérez Jiménez FJ, Enrique VC, Ibarra C. Arthroscopic Treatment of Patellar and Trochlear Cartilage Lesions with Matrix Encapsulated Chondrocyte Implantation versus Microfracture: Quantitative Assessment with MRI T2-Mapping and MOCART at 4-Year Follow-up. *Cartilage*. Jul 2021;12(3):320-332. doi:10.1177/1947603519835909
93. Schuette HB, Kraeutler MJ, McCarty EC. Matrix-Assisted Autologous Chondrocyte Transplantation in the Knee: A Systematic Review of Mid- to Long-Term Clinical Outcomes. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Jun 2017;5(6):2325967117709250. doi:10.1177/2325967117709250
94. von Keudell A, Han R, Bryant T, Minas T. Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation to Isolated Patella Cartilage Defects. *Cartilage*. Apr 2017;8(2):146-154. doi:10.1177/1947603516654944
95. Middleton KK, Gruber S, Shubin Stein BE. Why and Where to Move the Tibial Tubercle: Indications and Techniques for Tibial Tubercle Osteotomy. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rev*. Dec 2019;27(4):154-160. doi:10.1097/jsa.0000000000000270
96. Grawe B, Stein BE. Tibial Tubercle Osteotomy: Indication and Techniques. *J Knee Surg*. Aug 2015;28(4):279-84. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1544973
97. Sherman SL, Erickson BJ, Cvetanovich GL, et al. Tibial Tuberosity Osteotomy: Indications, Techniques, and Outcomes. *Am J Sports Med*. Aug 2014;42(8):2006-17. doi:10.1177/0363546513507423
98. Shanmugaraj A, Coughlin RP, Kuper GN, et al. Changing trends in the use of cartilage restoration techniques for the patellofemoral joint: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Mar 2019;27(3):854-867. doi:10.1007/s00167-018-5139-4
99. Ginesin E, Chari NS, Barnhart J, Wojnowski N, Patel RM. Cartilage Restoration for Isolated Patellar Chondral Defects: An Updated Systematic Review. *Orthop J Sports Med*. May 2023;11(5):23259671231153422. doi:10.1177/23259671231153422
100. Niemeyer P, Laute V, Zinser W, et al. Clinical outcome and success rates of ACI for cartilage defects of the patella: a subgroup analysis from a controlled randomized clinical phase II trial (CODIS study). *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Jun 2020;140(6):717-725. doi:10.1007/s00402-019-03264-x
101. Lin KM, Wang D, Burge AJ, Warner T, Jones KJ, Williams RJ, 3rd. Osteochondral Allograft Transplant of the Patella Using Femoral Condylar Allografts: Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Clinical Outcomes at Minimum 2-Year Follow-up. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Oct 2020;8(10):2325967120960088. doi:10.1177/2325967120960088
102. Figueroa D, Calvo Rodriguez R, Donoso R, Espinoza J, Vaisman A, Yañez C. High-Grade Patellar Chondral Defects: Promising Results From Management With Osteochondral Autografts. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Jul 2020;8(7):2325967120933138. doi:10.1177/2325967120933138
103. Donoso R, Figueroa D, Espinoza J, Yañez C, Saavedra J. Osteochondral Autologous Transplantation for Treating Patellar High-Grade Chondral Defects: A Systematic Review. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Oct 2019;7(10):2325967119876618. doi:10.1177/2325967119876618
104. Brophy RH, Wojahn RD, Lamplot JD. Cartilage Restoration Techniques for the Patellofemoral Joint. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. May 2017;25(5):321-329. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-15-00447

105. Buyuk AF, Stannard JP, Rucinski K, Creclius CR, Cook JL. The Missouri Osteochondral Preservation System Is Associated With Better Short-Term Outcomes Than Standard Preservation Methods When Performing Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation Using Shell Grafts for Patellofemoral Lesions. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2023;39(3):650-659. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2022.10.007
106. Aurégan JC, Klouche S, Bohu Y, Lefèvre N, Herman S, Hardy P. Treatment of pigmented villonodular synovitis of the knee. *Arthroscopy*. Oct 2014;30(10):1327-41. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2014.04.101
107. Lipina M, Makarov M, Mukhanov V, et al. Arthroscopic synovectomy of the knee joint for rheumatoid arthritis. *Int Orthop*. Aug 2019;43(8):1859-1863. doi:10.1007/s00264-018-4160-z
108. Willimon SC, Busch MT, Perkins CA. Pigmented Villonodular Synovitis of the Knee: An Underappreciated Source of Pain in Children and Adolescents. *J Pediatr Orthop*. Sep 2018;38(8):e482-e485. doi:10.1097/bpo.0000000000001213
109. Clifton R, Ng CY, Nutton RW. What is the role of lateral retinacular release? *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. Jan 2010;92(1):1-6. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.92b1.22909
110. Fonseca L, Kawatake EH, Pochini AC. Lateral patellar retinacular release: changes over the last ten years. *Rev Bras Ortop*. Jun-Jul 2017;52(4):442-449. doi:10.1016/j.rboe.2017.06.003
111. Pagenstert G, Wolf N, Bachmann M, et al. Open lateral patellar retinacular lengthening versus open retinacular release in lateral patellar hypercompression syndrome: a prospective double-blinded comparative study on complications and outcome. *Arthroscopy*. Jun 2012;28(6):788-97. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2011.11.004
112. Petersen W, Ellermann A, Gösele-Koppenburg A, et al. Patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Oct 2014;22(10):2264-74. doi:10.1007/s00167-013-2759-6
113. Saper MG, Shneider DA. Diagnosis and treatment of lateral patellar compression syndrome. *Arthrosc Tech*. Oct 2014;3(5):e633-8. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2014.07.004
114. Allen MM, Krych AJ, Johnson NR, Mohan R, Stuart MJ, Dahm DL. Combined Tibial Tubercle Osteotomy and Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction for Recurrent Lateral Patellar Instability in Patients With Multiple Anatomic Risk Factors. *Arthroscopy*. Aug 2018;34(8):2420-2426.e3. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.02.049
115. Arshi A, Cohen JR, Wang JC, Hame SL, McAllister DR, Jones KJ. Operative Management of Patellar Instability in the United States: An Evaluation of National Practice Patterns, Surgical Trends, and Complications. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Aug 2016;4(8):2325967116662873. doi:10.1177/2325967116662873
116. Koh JL, Stewart C. Patellar instability. *Orthop Clin North Am*. Jan 2015;46(1):147-57. doi:10.1016/j.ocl.2014.09.011
117. Steensen RN, Bentley JC, Trinh TQ, Backes JR, Wiltfong RE. The prevalence and combined prevalences of anatomic factors associated with recurrent patellar dislocation: a magnetic resonance imaging study. *Am J Sports Med*. Apr 2015;43(4):921-7. doi:10.1177/0363546514563904

118. Vairo GL, Moya-Angeler J, Siorta MA, Anderson AH, Sherbondy PS. Tibial Tubercle-Trochlear Groove Distance Is a Reliable and Accurate Indicator of Patellofemoral Instability. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jun 2019;477(6):1450-1458. doi:10.1097/corr.0000000000000711
119. Schlumberger M, Schuster P, Hofmann S, et al. Midterm Results After Isolated Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction as First-Line Surgical Treatment in Skeletally Immature Patients Irrespective of Patellar Height and Trochlear Dysplasia. *Am J Sports Med*. Dec 2021;49(14):3859-3866. doi:10.1177/03635465211050419
120. Erickson BJ, Nguyen J, Gasik K, Gruber S, Brady J, Shubin Stein BE. Isolated Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction for Patellar Instability Regardless of Tibial Tubercle-Trochlear Groove Distance and Patellar Height: Outcomes at 1 and 2 Years. *Am J Sports Med*. May 2019;47(6):1331-1337. doi:10.1177/0363546519835800
121. Kim JM, Sim JA, Yang H, Kim YM, Wang JH, Seon JK. Clinical Comparison of Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction With or Without Tibial Tuberosity Transfer for Recurrent Patellar Instability. *Am J Sports Med*. Oct 2021;49(12):3335-3343. doi:10.1177/03635465211037716
122. Cooney AD, Kazi Z, Caplan N, Newby M, St Clair Gibson A, Kader DF. The relationship between quadriceps angle and tibial tuberosity-trochlear groove distance in patients with patellar instability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Dec 2012;20(12):2399-404. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-1907-8
123. Imhoff FB, Cotic M, Liska F, et al. Derotational osteotomy at the distal femur is effective to treat patients with patellar instability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Feb 2019;27(2):652-658. doi:10.1007/s00167-018-5212-z
124. Imhoff FB, Funke V, Muench LN, et al. The complexity of bony malalignment in patellofemoral disorders: femoral and tibial torsion, trochlear dysplasia, TT-TG distance, and frontal mechanical axis correlate with each other. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Mar 2020;28(3):897-904. doi:10.1007/s00167-019-05542-y
125. Tanaka MJ, D'Amore T, Elias JJ, Thawait G, Demehri S, Cosgarea AJ. Anteroposterior distance between the tibial tuberosity and trochlear groove in patients with patellar instability. *Knee*. Dec 2019;26(6):1278-1285. doi:10.1016/j.knee.2019.08.011
126. Franciozi CE, Ambra LF, Albertoni LJ, et al. Increased Femoral Anteversion Influence Over Surgically Treated Recurrent Patellar Instability Patients. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2017;33(3):633-640. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2016.09.015
127. Zhang Z, Zhang H, Song G, Zheng T, Ni Q, Feng H. Increased femoral anteversion is associated with inferior clinical outcomes after MPFL reconstruction and combined tibial tubercle osteotomy for the treatment of recurrent patellar instability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Jul 2020;28(7):2261-2269. doi:10.1007/s00167-019-05818-3
128. Franciozi CE, Ambra LF, Albertoni LJB, et al. Anteromedial Tibial Tubercle Osteotomy Improves Results of Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction for Recurrent Patellar Instability in Patients With Tibial Tuberosity-Trochlear Groove Distance of 17 to 20 mm. *Arthroscopy*. Feb 2019;35(2):566-574. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.10.109

129. Baydoun HE, Yang A, Dalal AH, Chmel SJ. Arthroscopic Lysis of Adhesions Improves Range of Motion in Patients With Arthrofibrosis After Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Orthopaedic Proceedings*. 2013;95-B(SUPP\_15):131-131. doi:10.1302/1358-992x.95bsupp\_15.Ista2012-131
130. Colacchio ND, Abela D, Bono JV, Shah VM, Bono OJ, Scott RD. Efficacy of manipulation under anesthesia beyond three months following total knee arthroplasty. *Arthroplast Today*. Dec 2019;5(4):515-520. doi:10.1016/j.artd.2019.08.002
131. Evans KN, Lewandowski L, Pickett A, Strauss JE, Gordon WT. Outcomes of manipulation under anesthesia versus surgical management of combat-related arthrofibrosis of the knee. *J Surg Orthop Adv*. Spring 2013;22(1):36-41. doi:10.3113/jsoa.2013.0036
132. Gu A, Michalak AJ, Cohen JS, Almeida ND, McLawhorn AS, Sculco PK. Efficacy of Manipulation Under Anesthesia for Stiffness Following Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. *J Arthroplasty*. May 2018;33(5):1598-1605. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.11.054
133. Issa K, Banerjee S, Kester MA, Khanuja HS, Delanois RE, Mont MA. The effect of timing of manipulation under anesthesia to improve range of motion and functional outcomes following total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Aug 20 2014;96(16):1349-57. doi:10.2106/jbjs.M.00899
134. Mamarelis G, Sunil-Kumar KH, Khanduja V. Timing of manipulation under anaesthesia for stiffness after total knee arthroplasty. *Ann Transl Med*. Nov 2015;3(20):316. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2305-5839.2015.10.09
135. Chen MR, Dragoo JL. Arthroscopic releases for arthrofibrosis of the knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Nov 2011;19(11):709-16. doi:10.5435/00124635-201111000-00007
136. Schwarzkopf R, William A, Deering RM, Fitz W. Arthroscopic lysis of adhesions for stiff total knee arthroplasty. *Orthopedics*. Dec 2013;36(12):e1544-8. doi:10.3928/01477447-20131120-20
137. Volchenko E, Schwarzman G, Robinson M, Chmell SJ, Gonzalez MH. Arthroscopic Lysis of Adhesions With Manipulation Under Anesthesia Versus Manipulation Alone in the Treatment of Arthrofibrosis After TKA: A Matched Cohort Study. *Orthopedics*. May 1 2019;42(3):163-167. doi:10.3928/01477447-20190424-08

#### ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Järvinen TL, Sihvonen R, Englund M. Arthroscopy for degenerative knee--a difficult habit to break? *Acta Orthop*. Jun 2014;85(3):215-7. doi:10.3109/17453674.2014.922736
2. Jazrawi L, Gold HT, Zuckerman JD. Physical Therapy or Arthroscopic Surgery for Treatment of Meniscal Tears: Is Noninferiority Enough? *JAMA*. Oct 2 2018;320(13):1326-1327. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.13181
3. Mather RC, 3rd, Garrett WE, Cole BJ, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of the diagnosis of meniscus tears. *Am J Sports Med*. Jan 2015;43(1):128-37. doi:10.1177/0363546514557937
4. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Mar 2014;22(3):363-88. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003

5. Mosier BA, Arendt EA, Dahm DL, Dejour D, Gomoll AH. Management of Patellofemoral Arthritis: From Cartilage Restoration to Arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Nov 2016;24(11):e163-e173. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-16-00009
6. Nepple JJ, Dunn WR, Wright RW. Meniscal repair outcomes at greater than five years: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Dec 19 2012;94(24):2222-7. doi:10.2106/jbjs.K.01584
7. van de Graaf VA, Noorduyt JCA, Willigenburg NW, et al. Effect of Early Surgery vs Physical Therapy on Knee Function Among Patients With Nonobstructive Meniscal Tears: The ESCAPE Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. Oct 2 2018;320(13):1328-1337. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.13308

**POLICY HISTORY**

| Date      | Summary  |
|-----------|--|
| June 2023 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Updated references pertaining to the relationship of meniscectomy and arthritis of the knee</li> <li>• Clarification of the requirement of X-rays for ACL reconstruction</li> <li>• Additional references for articular cartilage restorative procedures</li> <li>• Revision of the listing of articular cartilage restorative procedures</li> <li>• Clarification of the lesion size for articular cartilage restorative procedures of the knee: &lt; 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> - OAT; &gt; 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> - ACI, MACI, OCA</li> <li>• Non-operative treatment requirement for articular cartilage procedures changed from 6 months to 3 months</li> <li>• Listing of investigational/non-covered articular cartilage procedures</li> <li>• Added CPT codes: 29885, 29886. 29887</li> </ul> |
| May 2022  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Updated references</li> <li>• Added cortisone injection within 4 weeks of arthroscopy as a contraindication.</li> <li>• Expanded references pertaining to recommendations against the use of arthroscopy for arthritis, with or without associated meniscus tears.</li> <li>• Included references pertaining to total knee arthroplasty complications in those with prior arthroscopic surgery of the knee</li> <li>• Replaced “patient” with “individual” where appropriate</li> </ul>   |

## **Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee**

**Disclaimer:** *National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.*



|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>*National Imaging Associates, Inc.</b>  |  |
| <b>Clinical guidelines:</b><br><b>SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY</b>  | <b>Original Date: August 2016</b>        |
| <b>CPT Codes**:</b><br>- <b>Total/Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty or Resurfacing: 23472</b><br>- <b>Partial Shoulder Arthroplasty/Hemiarthroplasty: 23470</b><br>- <b>Revision Shoulder Arthroplasty: 23473, 23474</b><br><br><i>**See UM Matrix for allowable billed groupings and additional covered codes</i> | <b>Last Revised Date: May 2023</b>       |
| <b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_317</b>  | <b>Implementation Date: January 2024</b> |

## GENERAL INFORMATION

*It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*

---

## General Requirements

Elective surgery of the shoulder may be considered if the following general criteria are met:

- There is clinical correlation of individual’s subjective complaints with objective exam findings and/or imaging (when applicable)
- Individual has limited function (age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs), occupational, athletic)
- Individual does not have an active local or systemic infection
- Individual does not have active, untreated drug dependency (including but not limited to narcotics, opioids, muscle relaxants) unless engaged in treatment
- Individual has good oral hygiene and does not have major dental work scheduled or anticipated (ideally within one year of joint replacement), due to increased post-surgical infection risk
- Recommendations for elective total shoulder or reverse shoulder arthroplasty should only be considered after the individual has been optimized for surgery and the individual’s overall medical condition demonstrates no uncontrolled co-morbidities.<sup>1-5</sup>

Clinical notes should address:

- Symptom onset, duration, and severity
- Loss of function and/or limitations
- Type and duration of non-operative management modalities

Non-operative management, when required, will be specified within the clinical indications below and may include one or more of the following:

- Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
- Rest or activity modification
- Application of heat or ice
- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics
- Corticosteroid injections

## INDICATIONS

### TOTAL SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY (TSA)

Total Shoulder Arthroplasty may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>6-8</sup>:

- Evidence of painful osteoarthritis or inflammatory, non-infectious arthritis (e.g., rheumatoid) with functional limitations such as activities of daily living, employment or simple recreation
  - Complete or near-complete loss of joint space\* on axillary or AP x-rays (internal rotation and/or external rotation) \*
- \*In those with bone-on-bone articulation on axillary or true AP X-rays, non-operative treatment is not required.

**NOTE:** MRI should not be the primary imaging study to determine the extent of disease

- Failure of **at least 12** weeks of non-operative treatment that includes **at least ONE** of the following:
  - Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Application of heat or ice
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics
  - Corticosteroid injections
- Functional and intact rotator cuff and deltoid (adequate abduction strength); confirmed by physical examination, MRI, or CT scan
- No cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>
- No prior arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>

### Contraindications

- Neurological disease resulting in complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS or its variants), Charcot arthropathy, or loss of deltoid or rotator cuff function

- Active infection or any infection within 12 weeks of surgery:
  - History of prior shoulder joint infection without documentation that indolent infection has been eliminated (individual has been off antibiotics for a minimum of 6 weeks). Evidence of resolved infection should include laboratory work (serologies, including CBC with differential, ESR (erythrocyte sedimentation rate), CRP (C-reactive protein), with or without blood cultures, soft tissue biopsy cultures, or synovial fluid aspiration (cultures, gram stain, cell count, differential, crystals). Cultures should be for aerobic and anaerobic bacteria (AFB, fungal), with special attention to the possibility of *Cutibacterium acnes* (*C. acnes*) formerly *Propionibacterium acnes* (*P. acnes*).<sup>15, 16</sup>
- Poor dental hygiene (e.g., tooth extraction should be performed prior to arthroplasty). Major dental work within 2 years after a joint replacement **MAY** lead to seeding of the implant and possible revision surgery. If possible, all dental work must be completed prior to shoulder arthroplasty as these procedures increase risk for infection.
- Any cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>
- Arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>

## HEMIARTHROPLASTY

Hemiarthroplasty may be necessary when **the** following criteria are met:

- Acute 3 or 4-part fracture of the proximal humerus<sup>17</sup>
- OR**
- Individual meets all of the criteria for a Total Shoulder Arthroplasty, as detailed above, or has a vascular necrosis or osteonecrosis of the humeral head without advanced glenoid disease
  - No cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9, 18</sup>
  - No prior arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>

## Contraindications

- Any cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>
- Arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>
- Neurologic disease resulting in CRPS or Charcot shoulder
- Active infection within 12 weeks of surgery

**REVERSE TOTAL SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY (RTSA) for the treatment of arthritis, irreparable rotator cuff tears or proximal humeral fractures:**

## Arthritis

**RTSA** may be indicated for the **treatment of arthritis** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>18</sup>:

- Evidence of painful osteoarthritis or inflammatory, non-infectious arthritis (e.g., rheumatoid) with functional limitations (such as activities of daily living or employment or simple recreation)
- Complete or near-complete loss of joint space on axillary or AP x-rays (internal rotation and/or external rotation) **OR** radiographic evidence of advanced glenoid bone loss or excessive retroversion<sup>19\*</sup>

\*In those with bone-on-bone articulation on axillary or true AP X-rays, non-operative treatment is not required.

**NOTE:** MRI should not be the primary imaging study to determine the extent of disease

- Non-repairable massive tears involving at least two tendons, substantial partial, OR focal full thickness rotator cuff tear with significant rotator cuff dysfunction (weakness, impingement signs on exam) **AND** intact deltoid
- Requests for reverse TSA for advanced glenohumeral arthritis with an intact rotator cuff will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis<sup>20-23</sup>
- Failure of **at least 12** weeks of non-operative treatment that includes **at least ONE** of the following:
  - Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Application of heat or ice
  - Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics
  - Corticosteroid injections
- Age > 60; requests for RTSA in individuals < 60 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis\*
- No cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>
- No prior arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>

**\*NOTE:** RTSA has been found to be a reliable operation in younger individuals with improvement in pain, range of motion and strength, without a large number of early failures.<sup>24-27</sup>

### **Contraindications**

- Any cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>
- Active infection within 12 weeks of surgery
- Neurologic disease resulting in CRPS or Charcot shoulder
- Arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>

### **Proximal Humeral Fractures**

**RTSA** may be indicated for the **treatment of fractures** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Acute 2, 3, or 4-part fractures of proximal humerus with or without concomitant tuberosity as evidence by radiographic findings **OR** painful malunion of proximal humerus fracture with rotator cuff dysfunction (weakness, impingement signs on exam)<sup>19</sup>
- Age > 60; requests for RTSA in individuals < 60 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

### Rotator Cuff Tears

**RTSA** may be indicated for the **treatment of irreparable rotator cuff tears in the absence of arthritis** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Non-repairable massive rotator cuff tear **AND** intact deltoid **AND** inability to actively elevate the arm above the level of the shoulder (90 degrees) (i.e., pseudoparalysis); **OR** history of previous failed rotator cuff repair with severe pain and functional disability<sup>28, 29</sup>
- Failure of **at least 12** weeks of attempted physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program unless there is worsening of symptoms
- Age > 60; requests for RTSA in individuals < 60 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis
- No arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>
- No cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>

### Contraindications

- Any cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>
- Active infection within 12 weeks of surgery
- Neurologic disease resulting in CRPS or Charcot shoulder
- Arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>

**NOTE:** RTSA is a reasonable surgical option for irreparable rotator cuff repair without arthritis. However, caution should be exercised when offering RTSA to individuals without pseudoparalysis because they can have a higher complication and dissatisfaction rate.<sup>28</sup>

### REVISION ARTHROPLASTY (See contraindications\*)

Conversion of a **Hemiarthroplasty to a Total Shoulder Arthroplasty** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Evidence of a prior hemiarthroplasty
- Persistent pain and functional loss
- Negative infection evaluation (including CRP, ESR, CBC, with or without negative aspiration)<sup>30-33</sup> **OR** documentation of mechanical failure, or component failure/malposition
- Clinical and radiographic evidence of intact rotator cuff (or repairable rotator cuff tear), including **ONE** of the following two options:

- Radiographic evidence of failed humeral component, including aseptic loosening or periprosthetic fracture. Documentation should include radiolucencies around cemented or uncemented components **OR**
- Clinical and radiographic evidence of glenoid articular cartilage disease (including progressive arthritis).

Conversion of a **Hemiarthroplasty to a Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Evidence of a prior hemiarthroplasty
- Persistent pain and functional loss
- Negative infection evaluation (including CRP, ESR, CBC, with or without negative aspiration)<sup>30-33</sup> **OR** documentation of mechanical failure, or component failure/malposition
- Intact deltoid and intact axillary nerve
- Age > 60; requests for individuals < 60 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis
- Evidence of pseudoparalysis (inability to elevate arm) **OR** severe pain with elevation

Revision of a **Total Shoulder Arthroplasty to Another Total Shoulder Arthroplasty** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>7</sup>:

- Evidence of prior total shoulder arthroplasty
- Persistent pain and functional loss
- Negative infection evaluation (including CRP, ESR, CBC, with or without negative aspiration)<sup>30-33</sup> **OR** documentation of mechanical failure, or component failure/malposition
- Clinical and radiographic evidence of intact rotator cuff (or repairable rotator cuff tear)
- Radiographic evidence of failed humeral and/or glenoid component, including aseptic loosening or periprosthetic fracture<sup>34</sup>

Revision of a **Total Shoulder Arthroplasty to a Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Evidence of prior total shoulder arthroplasty
- Persistent pain and functional loss
- Negative infection evaluation (including CRP, ESR, CBC, with or without negative aspiration)<sup>30-33</sup> **OR** documentation of mechanical failure, or component failure/malposition

- Intact deltoid function
- Age > 60; requests in individuals < 60 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis
- Evidence of pseudoparalysis (inability to elevate arm) **OR** severe pain with elevation

Revision of a **Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty to Another Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>35</sup>:

- All cases should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and include the following:
  - Evidence of prior reverse shoulder arthroplasty
  - Persistent pain and functional loss
  - Negative infection evaluation (including CRP, ESR, CBC, with or without negative aspiration)<sup>30-33</sup> **OR** documentation of mechanical failure, or component failure/malposition
  - Radiographic evidence of failed humeral and/or glenoid component, including aseptic loosening or periprosthetic fracture
  - Intact deltoid

Revision of a Total Shoulder or **Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty to a Hemiarthroplasty** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>36</sup>:

- All cases should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and include the following:
  - Evidence of prior total shoulder or reverse shoulder arthroplasty
  - Persistent pain and functional loss
  - Negative infection evaluation (including CRP, ESR, CBC, with or without negative aspiration),<sup>30-33</sup> **OR** documentation of mechanical failure (anterior or superior migration), or component failure
  - Radiographic evidence of failed humeral and/or glenoid component, including aseptic loosening or periprosthetic fracture
  - Intact deltoid and intact axillary nerve
  - Insufficient glenoid bone to support a revision glenoid component

#### **\*Contraindications for revision arthroplasty**

- Active or recent history of infection
- Neurogenic pain syndrome
- Acromial fracture **OR** overly thin acromion from prior subacromial decompression
- Severe osteoporosis as evidenced by radiographic osteopenia, osteomalacia or severe osteoporosis on DXA scan
- Non-functioning deltoid or axillary nerve injury/palsy
- No arthroscopic surgery of the shoulder within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>13, 14</sup>
- No cortisone injection into the joint within 12 weeks of surgery<sup>9-12</sup>

## **BACKGROUND**

### **SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY - Total, Partial & Revision Shoulder Replacement**

This guideline addresses elective, non-emergent shoulder arthroplasty (shoulder replacement) procedures, including total shoulder arthroplasty, reverse shoulder arthroplasty, resurfacing arthroplasty, partial shoulder replacement or hemiarthroplasty, and revision arthroplasty procedures.

Arthroplasty describes the surgical replacement and reconstruction of a joint with implanted devices when the joint has been damaged by an arthritic or traumatic process.

In both a total shoulder replacement and a reverse shoulder replacement, the damaged joint surfaces (humeral head and glenoid) are removed and replaced with prosthetic components, with the goal of reducing pain and improving joint function. In a reverse shoulder procedure, the ball and socket feature of the joint is reversed, allowing for added rotator cuff support.

In the event the shoulder joint cannot support a glenoid prosthesis, a hemiarthroplasty, or partial joint replacement may be performed to replace the humeral head with a prosthesis.

In some cases, the shoulder prosthesis may wear out or loosen. If loosening is painful, a second surgery, such as a revision may be necessary. In this procedure, some, or all of the components of the original replacement prosthesis are removed and replaced with new ones.

## **OVERVIEW**

### **Total Shoulder Arthroplasty (TSA)**

The replacement of the glenohumeral joint is called a shoulder arthroplasty. It can be either a total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA), where both the glenoid and humerus are replaced, a partial arthroplasty of the humerus only (hemiarthroplasty [HA]), or a partial resurfacing of the humerus (humeral head resurfacing [HHR, HR]). In general, these arthroplasty procedures are reserved for end stage arthritis of the shoulder joint, including functional loss of motion, pain, and disability. The choice of arthroplasty is dependent upon surgeon philosophy, experience, and skill. Successful outcome, regardless of procedure, is more likely with high volume (> 20 per year) shoulder specialists. Revision shoulder arthroplasty is most commonly required because of technical problems encountered at the time of surgery, such as insertion of the wrong size components, improper technique, and poor surgical exposure.

### **Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty (RTSA)**

This shoulder surgery involves placing the ball on the glenoid side (glenosphere and baseplate) of the joint and the socket on the humeral side. It works by moving the center of joint rotation



medial and downward and increasing deltoid tension to facilitate active abduction and elevation of the arm.<sup>37</sup>

The original purpose of a RTSA was to allow basic function of a pseudoparalytic shoulder from a non-repairable chronic rotator cuff tear with arthropathy (or arthritis) in an inactive person over age 65. Complication rates have steadily decreased as surgeons become more familiar with this procedure and technical advances have been made. Indications have expanded to include younger individuals, malunions, nonunions, failed arthroplasty, and irreparable cuff tears.

### **Age and Shoulder Arthroplasty**

In general, the more severe the disease, the more loss of motion and glenoid erosion will exist and the more likely a TSA will be required, regardless of age. However, if surgery is delayed too long, it can be exceedingly difficult to insert the glenoid component for a TSA due to posterior glenoid erosion. For optimal TSA success, only one replacement should be attempted during an individual's lifetime.

Additional research is necessary to support an accurate age range for each type of shoulder arthroplasty. At this time, an individual's age is a **relative indication** for surgery and ultimately relies on surgeon's judgment and patient presentation.<sup>6</sup>

TSA can be done at any age, but in general, to minimize complications, consideration should be given to the following<sup>38</sup>:

- Age < 55: Hemiarthroplasty can be considered due to the likelihood that these individuals will need the joint converted to a total shoulder arthroplasty. However, primary TSA outperforms HA for implant survival and patient satisfaction at short term follow up for individuals younger than 50<sup>39</sup>
- Age 55-65: Depending on an individual's anatomy and desired activity level, TSA, resurfacing (HHR), or reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (RTSA) may be indicated. Overall low revision rates and high implant survivorship are reported in the current literature in individuals under age 65 undergoing TSA. Results of HA have been shown to be inferior to TSA, and conversion of HA to TSA yields less optimal result than a primary TSA<sup>38</sup>
- Age > 65: TSA or RTSA is typically the best surgical option for individuals over the age of 65

### **Revision Arthroplasty**

There are six primary indications for revision shoulder arthroplasty: (1) conversion of a hemiarthroplasty to a total shoulder arthroplasty, (2) conversion of a hemiarthroplasty to a reverse shoulder arthroplasty, (3) revision of a total shoulder arthroplasty to another total shoulder arthroplasty, (4) revision of a total shoulder arthroplasty to a reverse shoulder

arthroplasty, (5) revision of a reverse total shoulder arthroplasty to another reverse shoulder arthroplasty (6) revision of a total shoulder or reverse shoulder arthroplasty to a hemiarthroplasty.

**NOTE:** Historically, this procedure was coded as the removal of hardware and total shoulder arthroplasty. CPT introduced shoulder revision procedure codes in January 2013.

## REFERENCES

1. Forlizzi JM, Puzzitiello RN, Hart PA, Churchill R, Jawa A, Kirsch JM. Predictors of poor and excellent outcomes after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Feb 2022;31(2):294-301. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2021.07.009
2. Lu Y, Cohn MR, Baker J, et al. Preoperative Opioid Use Predicts Postoperative Opioid Use and Inferior Clinically Notable Outcomes After Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Jan 15 2022;30(2):e242-e251. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-21-00319
3. Wilson JM, Farley KX, Gottschalk MB, Daly CA, Wagner ER. Preoperative opioid use is an independent risk factor for complication, revision, and increased health care utilization following primary total shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* May 2021;30(5):1025-1033. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2020.08.007
4. Schwartz AM, Farley KX, Boden SH, et al. The use of tobacco is a modifiable risk factor for poor outcomes and readmissions after shoulder arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J.* Nov 2020;102-b(11):1549-1554. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.102b11.Bjj-2020-0599.R1
5. Ottesen TD, Hsiang WR, Malpani R, et al. Underweight Patients Are the Greatest Risk Body Mass Index Group for 30-Day Perioperative Adverse Events After Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Feb 1 2021;29(3):e132-e142. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-20-00049
6. Bhat SB, Lazarus M, Getz C, Williams GR, Jr., Namdari S. Economic Decision Model Suggests Total Shoulder Arthroplasty is Superior to Hemiarthroplasty in Young Patients with End-stage Shoulder Arthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Nov 2016;474(11):2482-2492. doi:10.1007/s11999-016-4991-0
7. Izquierdo R, Voloshin I, Edwards S, et al. Treatment of glenohumeral osteoarthritis. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Jun 2010;18(6):375-82. doi:10.5435/00124635-201006000-00010
8. Tashjian RZ, Hung M, Keener JD, et al. Determining the minimal clinically important difference for the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons score, Simple Shoulder Test, and visual analog scale (VAS) measuring pain after shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jan 2017;26(1):144-148. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.06.007
9. Werner BC, Cancienne JM, Burrus MT, Griffin JW, Gwathmey FW, Brockmeier SF. The timing of elective shoulder surgery after shoulder injection affects postoperative infection risk in Medicare patients. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Mar 2016;25(3):390-7. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.08.039
10. Stadecker M, Gu A, Ramamurti P, et al. Risk of revision based on timing of corticosteroid injection prior to shoulder arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J.* May 2022;104-b(5):620-626. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.104b5.Bjj-2021-0024.R3
11. Yaghmour KM, Loumpardias GA, Elbahi A, et al. Intra-articular steroid injections in large joint arthritis: A survey of current practice. *Musculoskeletal Care.* Jun 2022;20(2):349-353. doi:10.1002/msc.1596
12. Contreras ES, Frantz TL, Bishop JY, Cvetanovich GL. Periprosthetic Infection After Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty: a Review. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* Dec 2020;13(6):757-768. doi:10.1007/s12178-020-09670-8
13. Malik AT, Morris J, Bishop JY, Neviasser AS, Khan SN, Cvetanovich GL. Undergoing an Arthroscopic Procedure Prior to Shoulder Arthroplasty is Associated With Greater Risk of

Prosthetic Joint Infection. *Arthroscopy*. Jun 2021;37(6):1748-1754.e1.

doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2021.01.013

14. Wright-Chisem J, Apostolakos JM, Dines JS, et al. The impact of prior ipsilateral arthroscopy on infection rates after shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Jul 2021;30(7):1596-1602.

doi:10.1016/j.jse.2020.09.019

15. Frangiamore SJ, Saleh A, Grosso MJ, et al. Early Versus Late Culture Growth of Propionibacterium acnes in Revision Shoulder Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jul 15 2015;97(14):1149-58. doi:10.2106/jbjs.N.00881

16. Holmes S, Pena Diaz AM, Athwal GS, Faber KJ, O'Gorman DB. Neer Award 2017: A rapid method for detecting Propionibacterium acnes in surgical biopsy specimens from the shoulder. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Feb 2017;26(2):179-185. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.10.001

17. Shukla DR, McAnany S, Kim J, Overley S, Parsons BO. Hemiarthroplasty versus reverse shoulder arthroplasty for treatment of proximal humeral fractures: a meta-analysis. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Feb 2016;25(2):330-40. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.08.030

18. Somerson JS, Sander P, Bohsali K, Tibbetts R, Rockwood CA, Jr., Wirth MA. What Factors are Associated With Clinically Important Improvement After Shoulder Hemiarthroplasty for Cuff Tear Arthropathy? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Dec 2016;474(12):2682-2688. doi:10.1007/s11999-016-5037-3

19. Hyun YS, Huri G, Garbis NG, McFarland EG. Uncommon indications for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Surg*. Dec 2013;5(4):243-55. doi:10.4055/cios.2013.5.4.243

20. Heifner JJ, Kumar AD, Wagner ER. Glenohumeral osteoarthritis with intact rotator cuff treated with reverse shoulder arthroplasty: a systematic review. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Dec 2021;30(12):2895-2903. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2021.06.010

21. Haritnian EG, Belgaid V, Lino T, Nové-Josserand L. Reverse versus anatomical shoulder arthroplasty in patients with intact rotator cuff. *Int Orthop*. Nov 2020;44(11):2395-2405. doi:10.1007/s00264-020-04754-z

22. Kim H, Kim CH, Kim M, et al. Is reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (rTSA) more advantageous than anatomic TSA (aTSA) for osteoarthritis with intact cuff tendon? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Traumatol*. Jan 6 2022;23(1):3. doi:10.1186/s10195-022-00625-y

23. Wright MA, Keener JD, Chamberlain AM. Comparison of Clinical Outcomes After Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty and Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty in Patients 70 Years and Older With Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis and an Intact Rotator Cuff. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Mar 1 2020;28(5):e222-e229. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-19-00166

24. Goldenberg BT, Samuelsen BT, Spratt JD, Dornan GJ, Millett PJ. Complications and implant survivorship following primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in patients younger than 65 years: a systematic review. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Aug 2020;29(8):1703-1711.

doi:10.1016/j.jse.2020.02.004

25. Otto RJ, Clark RE, Frankle MA. Reverse shoulder arthroplasty in patients younger than 55 years: 2- to 12-year follow-up. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. May 2017;26(5):792-797.

doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.09.051

26. Samuelsen BT, Wagner ER, Houdek MT, et al. Primary reverse shoulder arthroplasty in patients aged 65 years or younger. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jan 2017;26(1):e13-e17. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.05.026
27. Monir JG, Abeyewardene D, King JJ, Wright TW, Schoch BS. Reverse shoulder arthroplasty in patients younger than 65 years, minimum 5-year follow-up. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jun 2020;29(6):e215-e221. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2019.10.028
28. Virk MS, Nicholson GP, Romeo AA. Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears Without Arthritis Treated With Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *Open Orthop J.* 2016;10:296-308. doi:10.2174/1874325001610010296
29. Weber S, Chahal J. Management of Rotator Cuff Injuries. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Mar 1 2020;28(5):e193-e201. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-19-00463
30. Green AA, Garrigues GE. Shoulder periprosthetic joint infection: the current state of the art. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jun 2019;28(6s):S2. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2019.05.003
31. Hecker A, Jungwirth-Weinberger A, Bauer MR, Tondelli T, Uçkay I, Wieser K. The accuracy of joint aspiration for the diagnosis of shoulder infections. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Mar 2020;29(3):516-520. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2019.07.016
32. Paxton ES, Green A, Krueger VS. Periprosthetic Infections of the Shoulder: Diagnosis and Management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Nov 1 2019;27(21):e935-e944. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-18-00232
33. Simha S, Shields EJW, Wiater JM. Periprosthetic Infections of the Shoulder. *JBJS Rev.* Sep 2018;6(9):e6. doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.17.00191
34. Mallo GC, Burton L, Coats-Thomas M, Daniels SD, Sinz NJ, Warner JJ. Assessment of painful total shoulder arthroplasty using computed tomography arthrography. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Oct 2015;24(10):1507-11. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.06.027
35. Cheung E, Willis M, Walker M, Clark R, Frankle MA. Complications in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Jul 2011;19(7):439-49.
36. Gamradt SC, Gelber J, Zhang AL. Shoulder function and pain level after revision of failed reverse shoulder replacement to hemiarthroplasty. *Int J Shoulder Surg.* Apr 2012;6(2):29-35. doi:10.4103/0973-6042.96991
37. Lorenzetti AJ, Stone GP, Simon P, Frankle MA. Biomechanics of Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty: <sup>[1]</sup><sub>SEP</sub>Current Concepts. *Instr Course Lect.* 2016;65:127-43.
38. Roberson TA, Bentley JC, Griscom JT, et al. Outcomes of total shoulder arthroplasty in patients younger than 65 years: a systematic review. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jul 2017;26(7):1298-1306. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.12.069
39. Eichinger JK, Miller LR, Hartshorn T, Li X, Warner JJ, Higgins LD. Evaluation of satisfaction and durability after hemiarthroplasty and total shoulder arthroplasty in a cohort of patients aged 50 years or younger: an analysis of discordance of patient satisfaction and implant survival. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* May 2016;25(5):772-80. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.09.028

**POLICY HISTORY**

| Date     | Summary  |
|----------|--|
| May 2023 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Added statement that non-operative treatment is not required in those with X-rays showing bone-on-bone articulation</li> <li>• Additional references to contraindications for cortisone injections within 12 weeks of an arthroplasty.</li> <li>• Added no cortisone injections or arthroscopic surgery within 12 weeks of surgery for a revision arthroplasty</li> </ul>   |
| May 2022 | <p>Updated references</p> <p><b>Added:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Arthroscopic surgery within 12 weeks of an arthroplasty as a contraindication for surgery.</li> <li>• RTSA request with intact rotator cuff to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis</li> <li>• Replaced patient is medically stable statement (general requirements) with individual is optimized with no uncontrolled co-morbidities statement</li> <li>• Added “or” after, “acute 3 or 4-part fracture of the proximal humerus” (Hemiarthroplasty)</li> </ul> <p><b>Revised:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Criterion with ages 65 to 60 for consistency (case-by-case review)</li> <li>• “no injection” statements to “no cortisone injection”, and “any injection statements” to “any cortisone injection”</li> <li>• Infection contraindication from 3 months to 12 weeks</li> <li>• Non-repairable massive <i>tears involving at least two tendons</i> (RTSA arthritis)</li> </ul> <p><b>Clarified:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification of contraindications for RSTA performed for rotator cuff tears</li> <li>• functional and intact rotator cuff and deltoid is confirmed by physical examination, MRI, or CT scan.</li> <li>• Chronic regional pain syndrome</li> </ul> <p>Replaced “patient” with “individual” where appropriate</p> |

## **Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee**

**Disclaimer:** *National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.*

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>*National Imaging Associates, Inc.</b>   |  |
| <b>Clinical guidelines:</b><br><b>SHOULDER ARTHROSCOPY</b>  | <b>Original Date: August 2016</b>        |
| <b>CPT Codes**:</b><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Shoulder Rotator Cuff Repair: 23410, 23412, 23420, 29827</b></li> <li>- <b>Shoulder Labral Repair: 23450, 23455, 23460, 23462, 23465, 23466, 29806, 29807</b></li> <li>- <b>Frozen Shoulder Repair/Adhesive Capsulitis: 29825, 23700</b></li> <li>- <b>Shoulder Surgery Other: 23120, 23125, 23130, 23405, 23415, 23430, 23700, 29805, 29819, 29820, 29821, 29822, 29823, 29824, 29825, +29826, 29828</b></li> </ul> <p><i>**See UM Matrix for allowable billed groupings and additional covered codes</i></p> | <b>Last Revised Date: May 2023</b>       |
| <b>Guideline Number: NIA_CG_318</b>   | <b>Implementation Date: January 2024</b> |

## GENERAL INFORMATION

*It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*

## General Requirements for Elective Surgery of the Shoulder

Elective surgery of the shoulder may be considered if the following general criteria are met:

- There is clinical correlation of individual’s subjective complaints with objective exam findings and/or imaging (when applicable)
- Individual has limited function (age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs), occupational, athletic)
- Individual is medically stable and optimized for surgery with no uncontrolled comorbidities (such as diabetes)
- Individual does not have an active local or systemic infection
- Individual does not have active, untreated drug dependency (including but not limited to narcotics, opioids, muscle relaxants) unless engaged in a treatment program



- A smoking cessation program is highly recommended and should be instituted pre-operatively for all actively smoking patients<sup>1, 2</sup>

Clinical notes should address:

- Symptom onset, duration, and severity
- Loss of function and/or limitations
- Type and duration of non-operative management modalities (where applicable)

Non-operative management, when required, will be specified within the clinical indications below and may include one or more of the following:

- Physical therapy or properly instructed home exercise program
- Rest or activity modification
- Application of heat or ice
- Minimum of 4 weeks of oral NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)
- Single injection of corticosteroid and local anesthetic into subacromial, intra-articular space, or bicipital groove

## **INDICATIONS**

### **DIAGNOSTIC SHOULDER ARTHROSCOPY**

Diagnostic arthroscopy is considered medically necessary:

For the evaluation of a painful total shoulder arthroplasty<sup>3-6</sup>

### **OR**

When **All** of the following criteria have been met:

- Severe, disabling pain and/or a documented loss of shoulder function which interferes with the ability to carry out age-appropriate activities of daily living and/or demands of employment
- Individual demonstrates **any** of the following abnormal, shoulder physical examination findings, as compared to the non-involved side:
  - Functionally limited range of motion (active or passive)
  - Measurable loss in strength
  - Positive impingement signs
- Failure of non-surgical management for at least 12 weeks duration to include **TWO** of the following:
  - Rest or activity modifications/limitations
  - Ice/heat
  - Use of a sling/immobilizer/brace

- Pharmacologic treatment: oral/topical NSAIDS, acetaminophen, analgesics, tramadol
- Physical therapy modalities
- Supervised home exercise program
- Individual has undergone an appropriate radiographic work-up that includes both routine x-rays and an MRI evaluation which are determined to be inconclusive for a specific diagnosis.
- Other potential diagnostic conditions have been excluded, including, but not limited to, fracture, thoracic outlet syndrome, brachial plexus disorders, referred neck pain and arthritis

**NOTE:** The following is not managed by NIA:

- In-office diagnostic arthroscopy (e.g., Mi-Eye, VisionScope)<sup>7</sup>

### **ROTATOR CUFF REPAIR (RCR)**

Surgical treatment of a rotator cuff tear (RCT) should only be performed when there is a clinical correlation of symptoms, clinical exam findings, imaging, and failed non-operative management (where required).<sup>8-10</sup>

**NOTE:** See section on subscapularis tears

### **Partial-Thickness Rotator Cuff Tear or Calcific Tendinitis**

Surgical repair of a **partially torn rotator cuff** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Reproducible rotator cuff pain patterns (lateral arm, deltoid pain rarely radiating past the elbow, night pain, or pain with overhead motions)
- Positive impingement signs and/or tests on exam (Hawkins, Neer, Jobe test or reproducible pain when arm is positioned overhead (above plane of shoulder) with relief of pain when arm is repositioned below the plane of the shoulder)<sup>11</sup>
- Functional loss (age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADL), occupational, athletic)
- MRI or ultrasound<sup>12, 13</sup>(if an MRI cannot be performed) that demonstrates a partial thickness tear (articular-sided, concealed, or bursal-sided) or evidence of calcific tendinitis
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including at least 6 weeks of physical therapy or a properly instructed home exercise program that includes exercises for scapular dyskinesia when present **AND** one of the following:
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Minimum of 4 weeks of oral NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)

- No cortisone injection within 12 weeks prior to surgery.<sup>14-23</sup>

**NOTE:** The following is not managed by NIA

- US-guided percutaneous debridement or tenotomy (e.g., Tenex, TenJet)

### **Small (< 1 cm), Full-Thickness Rotator Cuff Tear**

Surgical repair of a **small full-thickness rotator cuff tear** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Reproducible rotator cuff pain patterns (lateral arm, deltoid pain not radiating past the elbow, night pain, or pain with overhead motions)
- Positive impingement signs and/or tests on exam (Hawkins, Neer, Jobe test or reproducible pain when arm is positioned overhead (above plane of shoulder) with relief of pain when arm is repositioned below the plane of the shoulder)
- Functional loss (age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs), occupational, athletic)
- Rotator cuff weakness or severe pain with rotator cuff testing on physical exam
- MRI or Ultrasound<sup>12, 13</sup> that demonstrates a small, full thickness tear (< 1 cm)
- Failure of at least 6 weeks of non-operative treatment\*, including physical therapy or a properly instructed home exercise program (that includes exercises for scapular dyskinesia when present) **AND** one of the following:
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Minimum of 4 weeks of oral NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)
- No cortisone injection within 12 weeks prior to surgery.<sup>14-23</sup>

**\*NOTE:** The requirement for conservative, non-operative treatment is waived in individuals less than age 55 with an acute traumatic tear (onset of shoulder pain attributed to a specific traumatic event with no prior history of significant shoulder pain). For ages > 55, non-operative treatment may be waived on a case-by-case basis.

### **Medium (1-3 cm) or Large (3-5 cm), Full-Thickness Rotator Cuff Tear**

Surgical repair of a **medium or large full-thickness rotator cuff tear** may be necessary when the following criteria are met:

- Significant progression of a full-thickness tear on serial imaging performed at least 12 weeks apart (at least 50% increase in tear size)

**OR**

- When **ALL** of the following criteria are met:
  - Reproducible rotator cuff pain patterns (lateral arm, deltoid pain rarely not radiating past the elbow, night pain, or pain with overhead motions)

- Positive impingement signs and/or tests on exam (Hawkins, Neer, Jobe, empty can or drop-arm test or reproducible pain when arm is positioned overhead (above plane of shoulder) with relief of pain when arm is repositioned below the plane of the shoulder)
- Rotator cuff weakness or severe pain with rotator cuff testing on physical exam
- Functional loss (age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs), occupational or athletic)
- MRI or ultrasound<sup>12, 13</sup> results support a medium (1-3 cm) or large (3-5 cm), full-thickness tear (tear must be a complete single tendon or greater)
- MRI demonstrates no advanced fatty changes (Goutallier stage 0 (normal muscle), 1 (some fatty streaks), or 2 (less than 50% fatty degeneration or infiltration)<sup>24-26</sup>)
- Warner classification of atrophy "none" or "mild"<sup>27, 28</sup>
- No cortisone injection within 12 weeks prior to surgery.<sup>14-23</sup>

### **Massive (> 5 cm and ≥ 2 tendons involved), Full-Thickness Rotator Cuff Tear**

Surgical repair of a **massive torn rotator cuff WITH OR WITHOUT** a superior capsular reconstruction may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>29-32</sup>:

- MRI or ultrasound<sup>12, 13</sup> demonstrates massive (> 5 cm), full-thickness tears (with intact or reparable subscapularis tendon for superior capsular reconstruction)
- MRI demonstrates no advanced fatty changes (Goutallier stage 0 (normal muscle), 1 (some fatty streaks), or 2 (less than 50% fatty degeneration or infiltration)<sup>24-26</sup>)
- Warner classification of atrophy "none" or "mild"<sup>27, 28</sup>
- No x-ray evidence of chronic subacromial articulation of the humeral head, defined as an acromiohumeral space less than 5 mm (Hamada grade 2)<sup>31, 33, 34</sup>
- No advanced or severe arthritis (severe narrowing of glenohumeral space or bone-on-bone articulation, large osteophytes, subchondral sclerosis, or cysts, etc.)
- No cortisone injection within 12 weeks prior to surgery.<sup>14-23</sup>

**NOTE:** AAOS consensus guidelines state that partial repair and superior capsular reconstruction, can improve patient reported outcomes.<sup>29</sup>

### **Subscapularis Tears**

Surgical repair of a subscapularis rotator cuff tear may be necessary when the following criteria are met:<sup>35-42</sup>

- History of an acute injury or chronic complaints of anterior shoulder pain, weakness, or functional impairment

- Positive physical examination findings of subscapularis deficiency – lift-off, bear-hug, belly press test, etc.
- MRI demonstrates a significant partial thickness tear (at least 50% of tendon), full-thickness tear, or any tear associated with subluxation of the biceps tendon
- No cortisone injection within 12 weeks prior to surgery.<sup>14-23</sup>

**AN ISOLATED SUPERIOR CAPSULAR RECONSTRUCTION MAY BE NECESSARY WHEN ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA ARE MET<sup>43-50</sup>:**

- MRI or ultrasound<sup>12, 13</sup> demonstrates massive (> 5 cm), full-thickness tears with an intact or reparable subscapularis tendon
- No x-ray evidence of chronic subacromial articulation of the humeral head, defined as an acromiohumeral space less than 5 mm (Hamada grade 2)<sup>31, 33, 34</sup>
- No advanced or severe arthritis (severe narrowing of glenohumeral space or bone-on-bone articulation, large osteophytes, subchondral sclerosis, or cysts, etc.)

***NOTE: A CONCOMITANT ROTATOR CUFF REPAIR IS NOT ALLOWABLE WITH ADVANCED GOUTALLIER OR WARNER MUSCLE ATROPHY CHANGES AS NOTED IN THE PREVIOUS SECTION.***

**Rotator Cuff Repair (RCR) Revision**

Surgical revision within 1 year of a previously repaired small, medium, large or massive torn rotator cuff will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and must include an MRI (with or without arthrogram) or CT arthrogram that demonstrate failure of healing (Sugaya type 4-5, see background section) or recurrent tear > 12 weeks after index surgery.<sup>51</sup>

All RCR revision cases greater than 1 year following an initial repair must again meet indications as specified by tear size listed in Background section.

**Contraindications (applies to all rotator cuff repair):**

- Active infection (local or remote)
- Treatment of asymptomatic, full thickness rotator cuff tear
- Active systemic bacteremia
- Deltoid or rotator cuff paralysis
- Advanced or severe arthritis (severe narrowing of glenohumeral space or bone-on-bone articulation, large osteophytes, subchondral sclerosis, or cysts, etc.)
- No cortisone injection within 12 weeks prior to surgery.<sup>14-23</sup>

**LABRAL REPAIRS**

**Repair of Superior Labral Anterior-Posterior (SLAP) Tear**

Surgical indications should be focused on clinical symptoms and failure to respond to non-operative treatments, rather than imaging (due to a higher percentage of tears being missed on images **AND** significant over-diagnosing of tears based on imaging-alone).

Repair (*not debridement of a SLAP lesion*) may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- History compatible with tear (acute onset in thrower or overhead athlete, fall, traction injury, shear injury (MVA), lifting injury)
- Pain localized to the glenohumeral joint (often only associated with certain reaching or lifting activities and at night) or painful catching/popping/locking sensations
- Inability to perform desired tasks without pain (age-appropriate ADLs, sports, occupation)
- Physical examination demonstrates findings of a SLAP tear (active compression test (O'Brien test), compression rotation test, clunk, or crank test, etc.)
- Age < 40; requests for SLAP repair in an individual age > 40 will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis<sup>52</sup>
- MRI demonstrating superior labral tear
- Type 2 or 4 SLAP tear (not type 1 or 3)
  - I - Labral and biceps fraying, anchor intact
  - II - Labral fraying with detached biceps tendon anchor
  - III - Bucket handle tear, intact biceps tendon anchor (biceps separates from bucket handle tear)
  - IV - Bucket handle tear with detached biceps tendon anchor (remains attached to bucket handle tear)
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment, including activity modification/avoidance of painful activities **AND ONE** of the following:
  - Minimum of 4 weeks of oral NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)
  - Physical therapy or a properly instructed home exercise program

#### **Contraindications:**

- **ANY** evidence of degenerative disease upon imaging
- Smoker and age > 40
- Diabetics with poor control HgBA1c > 7
- MRI findings not attributable to normal common variants (for example, labral overhang)

**NOTE:** In cases where a true SLAP tear exists, but the individual has one or more contraindications or findings at the time of surgery that indicates a repair is not feasible, a SLAP debridement (limited, extensive debridement), biceps tenotomy or tenodesis may be an alternative.<sup>52-54</sup> Even with repairable type II SLAP tears, biceps tenodesis is a viable alternative to repair.<sup>55-57</sup> See Tenotomy and Tenodesis Indications.

### **Anterior-Inferior Labral Tear (Bankart lesion)<sup>58</sup>**

Bankart repair of **an acute labral tear** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- History of an acute event of instability (subluxation or dislocation) or acute onset of pain following activity
- Acute labral tear on MRI or CT imaging
- Age < 30
- Range of motion is not limited by stiffness upon physical exam (not required if there has been a recent episode of instability)
- Clinical exam findings demonstrate positive apprehension test, positive relocation test, positive labral grind test, or objective laxity with pain.

Bankart repair for **recurrent (two or more episodes of subluxation or dislocation) associated with a labral tear** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>59</sup>:

- Recurrent instability (subluxation or dislocation)
- MRI evidence of a labral tear with or without bony Bankart fracture of the glenoid upon imaging
- Range of motion is not limited by stiffness upon physical exam - (not required if there has been a recent episode of instability)
- Clinical exam findings demonstrate positive apprehension test, positive relocation test, positive labral grind test, or objective laxity with pain.

### **Contraindications:**

- Radiographic findings of an engaging Hill Sachs humeral head defect or glenoid bone loss (if surgery only includes Bankart repair). Latarjet or Remplissage procedures should be considered for anterior dislocations of the shoulder when there is evidence of an engaging (“off-track”)\* Hill-Sachs lesion of the humerus, or if there is greater than 20% glenoid bone loss by x-ray, CT, or MRI<sup>60-79</sup>
- Pain only (no documented recurrent instability events) in individuals over 40
- X-ray, MRI, or CT documentation of significant degenerative arthritis of the glenohumeral joint

\* The glenoid track, a zone of dynamic contact during arm elevation, is a unique biomechanical model that uses both glenoid and humeral head bone loss to predict subsequent risk of humeral head engagement and possible dislocation. An *engaging* Hill-Sachs bony defect, or “off-track” lesion, is one in which the width of the bony defect is greater than the width of the glenoid track. Off-track engagement occurs when the medial margin of the Hill-Sachs defect engages the glenoid track. If there is bony loss of the glenoid as well, the glenoid track will proportionately be less, causing greater risk of engagement. A *nonengaging*, or “on-track” Hill-Sachs lesion is one in which the width of the bony defect is less than the width of the glenoid

track. Using preoperative CT or MR imaging, the glenoid track can identify individuals who are more likely to fail only a primary capsuloligamentous Bankart repair. Glenoid track evaluation shows that restoring the track (glenoid) to its normal width should be the first priority in restoring shoulder stability.<sup>80-87</sup>

### **Posterior Labral Tear**

Surgical repair of a posterior labral tear may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Symptoms of pain **OR** painful catching/popping OR instability
- MRI findings of posterior labral tear
- Exam findings demonstrate positive load-shift test, jerk test, glenohumeral grind test, or objective laxity with pain or profound weakness<sup>88</sup>
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment (unless presenting as a traumatic tear in a competitive athlete at any level) that includes any **two** of the following:
  - Physical therapy or a properly instructed home exercise program
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Minimum of 4 weeks of oral NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)
- Age < 40
- No radiographic evidence of degenerative disease (e.g., posterior glenoid cartilage loss, subchondral glenoid cysts, mucoid degeneration of labrum, narrowing of joint space with posterior humeral head subluxation on axillary x-ray or axial MRI images)

### **Combined Labral Tears (e.g., Anterior / Posterior, SLAP / Anterior, SLAP / Posterior, SLAP / Ant. / Post.)<sup>89</sup>**

Surgical repair of an **acute combination tear** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- History of an acute event of instability (subluxation or dislocation)
- Acute labral tear on MRI/CT imaging with/without bony Bankart fracture not > 25% of glenoid width upon imaging
- Age < 30
- Range of motion not limited by stiffness upon physical exam
- Clinical exam findings demonstrate positive apprehension test and positive relocation test, **OR** positive labral grind test **OR** objective laxity with pain
- Minimal to no evidence of degenerative changes on imaging

Surgical repair of **recurrent combination tear** may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:



- Recurrent instability (subluxation or dislocation) with at least 2 instability events
- Labral tear on MRI or CT, with/without bony Bankart fracture not > 25% of glenoid width upon imaging
- Range of motion not limited by stiffness upon physical exam
- Clinical exam findings demonstrate positive apprehension test and positive relocation test, or positive labral grind test, or objective laxity with pain
- Minimal to no evidence of degenerative changes on imaging

### **Open or Arthroscopic Capsulorrhaphy for Multidirectional Instability of the Shoulder (MDI)**

Surgical repair for MDI may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Individual has pain and limited function (age-appropriate ADLs, occupation, or sports)
- Individual has recurrent instability due to hyperlaxity or mobility and no traumatic dislocation
- Physical exam supports repeatable increased glenohumeral joint translation (greater than 1 cm of movement during the sulcus test)
- Imaging (x-ray and MRI) rules out fracture and/or other soft-tissue injury
- Failure of at least 6 months of formal physical therapy and activity modification

### **Adhesive Capsulitis (Lysis of Adhesions, Capsulotomy/Capsular Release or Manipulation under Anesthesia)**

Surgery for adhesive capsulitis may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>90-94</sup>:

- Individual has pain, loss of motion, and limited function (age-appropriate ADLs, occupation, or sports)
- Physical exam demonstrates loss of motion of at least 50% in 2 planes, as compared to the contralateral shoulder.
- A chest X-ray has been obtained in the past 12 months and co-morbidities (such as diabetes, thyroid disease, lung disease, etc.), and other causes of loss of shoulder motion have been ruled out
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment that includes physical therapy or a properly instructed home exercise program and documentation of **one** of the following:
  - Minimum of 4 weeks of oral or topical NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Heat/Ice
  - Corticosteroid injection

## **Distal Clavicle Excision (DCE)**

Distal Clavicle Excision may be necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met<sup>95</sup>:

- Positive clinical exam findings as evidenced by pain upon palpation over AC joint and/or pain with cross-body adduction test
- Positive findings on x-Ray **OR** MRI<sup>96</sup>:
  - Radiographic (x-ray) demonstrates narrowed joint space, distal clavicle or medial acromial sclerosis, and/or osteophytes or cystic degeneration of distal clavicle or medial acromion correlating with the clinical findings, patient symptoms and diagnosis; **OR** MRI findings with edema in the distal clavicle and/or inflammatory change within the joint space correlating with the clinical findings, patient symptoms and diagnosis
- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment that includes **at least two** of the following:
  - Minimum of 4 weeks of oral or topical NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)
  - Rest or activity modification
  - AC joint corticosteroid injection (if DCE is to be performed as a standalone procedure, AC injection must be performed\*)
  - Physical therapy or a properly instructed home exercise program

**\*NOTE:** If DCE is to be performed *in isolation of other shoulder procedures*, an AC joint injection is required for diagnostic purposes and documentation should support pain relief from injection. If no response to injection, this is a strong negative predictor to surgical outcome for isolated DCE.

## **Long Head Biceps (LHB) Tenotomy/Tenodesis**

The indications and outcomes for tenodesis and tenotomy are the same<sup>35, 97, 98</sup> with the exception that tenodesis is typically better for more active, muscular individuals that are performing higher-demand activities for work or sport. Tenotomy is often preferred in individuals that smoke (this is a relative indication of tenotomy over tenodesis) due to healing problems in tenodesis.

Tenotomy or tenodesis may be necessary when the following criteria are met<sup>35, 52, 99, 100</sup>:

- **Any of the following:**
  - When performed in conjunction with a total shoulder arthroplasty
  - When performed in conjunction with a subscapularis tendon repair
  - Age > 50 with SLAP tear
  - Smoker with SLAP labral tear (regardless of age, more significant with increasing age)
  - Failed SLAP repair

- SLAP tear in diabetic or individual with loss of motion or predisposition to stiff shoulder
- LHB hypertrophy/tearing/subluxation in association with RCR

**OR**

- Diagnosis of chronic LHB groove pain from tenosynovitis

**AND**

- Failure of at least 12 weeks of non-operative treatment to include **TWO** of the following:
  - Minimum of 4 weeks of oral or topical NSAIDs (if not medically contraindicated)
  - Rest or activity modification
  - Bicipital groove corticosteroid injection
  - Physical therapy or a properly instructed home exercise program

**NOTE:** The following is not managed by NIA:

- US-guided percutaneous debridement or tenotomy (e.g., Tenex, TenJet)

**Loose Body Removal**

Loose body removal may be medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- Documentation of pain, mechanical symptoms (catching or locking), stiffness, loss of motion, feelings of instability or loss of function
- X-ray, CT, or MRI documentation of a loose body

**Synovectomy**

Synovectomy as an isolated procedure is usually reserved for primary synovial disease or in cases where secondary hypertrophic synovitis is documented during arthroscopy (these include adhesive capsulitis, osteoarthritis, chronic rotator cuff tear). These should be evident on arthroscopic photographs taken at surgery but may be missed on preoperative images.<sup>101</sup>

**Subacromial Decompression (SAD)**

Subacromial decompression may be necessary **in conjunction with** other shoulder procedures (listed below) if there is radiographic (x-ray) evidence of mechanical outlet impingement as evidenced by a Bigliani type 3 morphology. Subacromial decompression should not be performed in isolation.<sup>102, 103</sup>

- Rotator cuff repair

- Labral repair
- Capsulorrhaphy
- Loose body removal
- Synovectomy
- Debridement
- Distal clavicle excision
- Lysis of adhesions
- Biceps tenodesis/tenotomy

**Contraindications:**

- Type 1 or Type 2 or a thinned acromion. Subacromial bursectomy may be a reasonable option.
- If individual has received an injection in the subacromial space and there is failure to adequately respond—significant relief (> 50%) for minimum of 1 week—to injection in the subacromial space (pain should respond temporarily if impingement)
- Prior subacromial decompression with either a Type 1 or a thinned acromion or no evidence of overhang on x-ray (unnecessary revision can thin the acromion and lead to deltoid avulsion and/or acromial fracture)
- Open SAD procedures should rarely be performed given the increased morbidity due to deltoid disruption.

**BACKGROUND:**

This guideline addresses the following elective, non-emergent, arthroscopic shoulder repair procedures:

- Rotator Cuff Repair
- Labral Repairs
- Lysis of Adhesions (Capsulotomy)
- Distal Clavicle Excision (DCE)
- Long Head Biceps (LHB) Tenotomy or Tenodesis
- Loose body removal
- Synovectomy
- Subacromial Decompression (SAD)

Arthroscopy introduces a fiber-optic camera into the shoulder joint through a small incision for diagnostic visualization purposes. Other instruments may then be introduced to remove, repair, or reconstruct joint pathology.

Surgical indications are based on relevant subjective clinical symptoms, objective physical exam & radiologic findings, and response to previous non-operative treatments when medically appropriate.

Open, non-arthroplasty shoulder repair surgeries are performed as dictated by the type and severity of injury and/or disease.

### **Rotator Cuff Repair (RCR)**

Traditional open rotator cuff repair (RCR) with deltoid take-down should be rare given increased morbidity when compared to arthroscopic or mini-open surgery.

### **Goutallier Classification of Fatty Infiltration of Rotator Cuff Musculature<sup>26, 104-107</sup>**

- Grade 0 – Normal
- Grade 1 – Mild - muscle contains some fatty streaks
- Grade 2 – Moderate – more muscle than fat
- Grade 3 – Severe – equal amounts of fat and muscle
- Grade 4 – More fat than muscle

### **Hamada Classification of Rotator Cuff Arthropathy<sup>33, 34, 108</sup>**

#### **Acromiohumeral Interval (AHI)**

- Grade 1 – AHI over 6 mm
- Grade 2 – AHI < 5mm
- Grade 3 – Acetabulization
- Grade 4 – Acetabulization and narrowed GH joint
- Grade 5 - Acetabulization with humeral head collapse

### **Revision Rotator Cuff Repair**

The Sugaya classification for evaluation in revision rotator cuff repair is as follows:

#### **Sugaya Classification**

- Type I - Sufficient thickness, homogeneous tendon (low signal on T2 images)
- Type II - Sufficient thickness, partial high-intensity from within the tendon
- Type III - Insufficient thickness without discontinuity
- Type IV - Minor discontinuity on more than one slice, suggesting a small tear
- Type V - Major discontinuity suggesting a moderate or large tear

### **Labral Repairs**

There is a tendency to misinterpret normal degenerative labral changes and variations as “tears” which may lead to over-utilization of surgery if decisions are made upon imaging reports alone. In addition, the anterior-superior labrum (from 12 to 3 o’clock for a right shoulder) has many normal variations that can be misinterpreted as a tear, including sublabral

hole/foramen, Buford complex, and a labral overhang with an intact biceps anchor. In general, true labral tears lead to pain, catching, popping, functional limitations (including age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs), occupational and athletic), micro-instability, and gross instability. Labral repairs are most-frequently associated with a specific traumatic event.

### **Anterior-Inferior Labral-Tear (Bankart lesion)**

A Bankart tear of the glenoid labrum is located at the 3-6 o'clock position of a right shoulder. It is typically caused by a traumatic instability event (dislocation or subluxation). It can involve the labrum, the capsular ligaments (IGHL [inferior glenohumeral ligamentous complex]) and/or the bone (bony Bankart fracture). If symptomatic, Bankart lesions typically require surgical repair as individuals less than 30 have a high recurrence rate of instability. If there has been significant bone loss of the anterior glenoid, further stabilization might be required by transferring the coracoid process and attached conjoined tendon (Latarjet Procedure) or using a bone graft to the anterior glenoid. Engaging or "off-track" defects of the humeral head (Hill-Sachs lesion) may require the use of portions of the rotator cuff (Remplissage Procedure) to fill the bony defect, in order to further stabilize the shoulder.

### **Posterior Labral Tear**

Similar to Bankart tears, posterior labral tears are often associated with a paralabral cyst that grows large enough to compress the suprascapular nerve (isolated to infraspinatus). Posterior labral tears are frequently associated with contact sports or a history of a traumatic fall/posterior loading of the joint. They are often observed in athletes performing repetitive posterior loading of the joint (offensive linemen in football, weightlifting, push-ups, and bench press). These tears are more likely to result in pain and weakness rather than recurrent dislocations/instability. Posterior labral changes are often misinterpreted on MRI as a "tear" in age > 40 years old, when changes due to early glenohumeral degeneration begin to appear.

### **Combined Labral Tears (e.g., Anterior / Posterior, SLAP / Anterior, SLAP / Posterior, SLAP / Ant. / Post.)**

Combined tears that require repair are almost always associated with significant recurrent instability. Often tears begin within one area and overtime the failure to repair the original injury causes the tear to extend.

### **Adhesive Capsulitis (Lysis of Adhesions; Capsulotomy/Capsular Release)**

Adhesive capsulitis is a thickening and tightening of the soft tissue capsule that surrounds the glenohumeral joint. Adhesive capsulitis usually begins with the gradual onset of pain and limitation of shoulder motion, with a progression to interference of activities of daily living. Primary adhesive capsulitis is the subject of much debate as the specific causes of this condition are not fully understood. Individuals with uncontrolled diabetes have a significantly higher risk of developing adhesive capsulitis than the general population. Secondary (acquired) adhesive

capsulitis develops from a known cause, such as stiffness following a shoulder injury, surgery, or a prolonged period of immobilization. Adhesive capsulitis may last from one to three years, despite active treatment, and is more common in women.

### **Distal Clavicle Excision (DCE)**

The AC joint (acromioclavicular joint) can develop degenerative disease in those over 30 years of age, those with a history of a prior grade I or II AC sprain/separation, those with a history of heavy lifting (labor occupation or strength training), or those with evidence of remote trauma. It can occur in isolated form in younger individuals (distal clavicle osteolysis) but is more commonly observed concomitantly with rotator cuff disease in those over age 40 years of age.

### **Long Head Biceps (LHB) Tenotomy/Tenodesis**

Pain in the area of the long head of the bicep tendon is common, especially in overhead sports and in the presence of rotator cuff tears (especially subscapularis). It can be an isolated source of pain in chronic tenosynovitis, SLAP tears, or small tears of the biceps sling, resulting in dynamic or static subluxation or dislocation of the tendon. LHB problems are frequently missed on MRI (especially using contrast which can mask the pathology). The choice of tenodesis versus tenotomy is controversial. Typically, tenodesis is better for more active, muscular individuals performing higher demand activity (labor, sports). Tenotomy is generally a better option for older, less active individuals with poor muscle definition, as it generally leaves the individual with a "Popeye" deformity and the possibility of biceps cramping or anterior shoulder pain with activity. The choice of tenotomy vs. tenodesis is generally left up to the surgeon/patient.

### **Loose Body Removal**

Although not as common as in the knee, a loose body in the shoulder may require arthroscopic removal if symptoms such as pain, catching or locking are present. Because of the non-weightbearing status of the shoulder and the axillary fold where a loose body might be positioned, not every loose body diagnosed by imaging requires removal.

### **Synovectomy**

Synovitis is common in many shoulder conditions and typically resolves when the primary pathology is treated. Most commonly, this includes loose bodies, inflammatory arthritis or degenerative arthritis, labral tears, and adhesive capsulitis. Primary synovial diseases include pigmented villonodular synovitis, synovial chondromatosis, rheumatoid arthritis, other inflammatory arthritides, traumatic synovial hypertrophy or metaplasia.

### **Subacromial Decompression (SAD)**

There are 3 types of acromion anatomy according to Bigliani classification: type 1, flat (20%), type 2, curved (40%) and type 3, hooked, (40%). Acromioplasty involves removing bone from

the undersurface of the acromion to change a type 3 (hooked) acromion to a type 1 (flat) acromion. Although debated for decades, current evidence concludes that there is no role for isolated acromioplasty (subacromial decompression), which prompted conversion of CPT code 29826 (acromioplasty, subacromial decompression) from an index, primary, "stand-alone" code to an "add-on" code only.<sup>109</sup>



## REFERENCES:

1. Bishop JY, Santiago-Torres JE, Rimmke N, Flanigan DC. Smoking Predisposes to Rotator Cuff Pathology and Shoulder Dysfunction: A Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy*. Aug 2015;31(8):1598-605. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2015.01.026
2. Santiago-Torres J, Flanigan DC, Butler RB, Bishop JY. The effect of smoking on rotator cuff and glenoid labrum surgery: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med*. Mar 2015;43(3):745-51. doi:10.1177/0363546514533776
3. Akgün D, Maziak N, Plachel F, et al. Diagnostic Arthroscopy for Detection of Periprosthetic Infection in Painful Shoulder Arthroplasty. *Arthroscopy*. Sep 2019;35(9):2571-2577. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.03.058
4. Guild T, Kuhn G, Rivers M, Cheski R, Trenhaile S, Izquierdo R. The Role of Arthroscopy in Painful Shoulder Arthroplasty: Is Revision Always Necessary? *Arthroscopy*. Jun 2020;36(6):1508-1514. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.01.045
5. Khan M, Ayeni OR. Editorial Commentary: Does the Scope Have a Role in Painful Shoulder Arthroplasty? *Arthroscopy*. Jun 2020;36(6):1515-1516. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.02.031
6. Parker DB, Smith AC, Fleckenstein CM, Hasan SS. Arthroscopic Evaluation and Treatment of Complications That Arise Following Prosthetic Shoulder Arthroplasty. *JBJS Rev*. Aug 2020;8(8):e2000020-8. doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.20.00020
7. Zhang K, Crum RJ, Samuelsson K, Cadet E, Ayeni OR, de Sa D. In-Office Needle Arthroscopy: A Systematic Review of Indications and Clinical Utility. *Arthroscopy*. Sep 2019;35(9):2709-2721. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.03.045
8. Harris JD, Pedroza A, Jones GL. Predictors of pain and function in patients with symptomatic, atraumatic full-thickness rotator cuff tears: a time-zero analysis of a prospective patient cohort enrolled in a structured physical therapy program. *Am J Sports Med*. Feb 2012;40(2):359-66. doi:10.1177/0363546511426003
9. Okoroha KR, Mehran N, Duncan J, et al. Characterization of Rotator Cuff Tears: Ultrasound Versus Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Orthopedics*. Jan 1 2017;40(1):e124-e130. doi:10.3928/01477447-20161013-04
10. Barreto RPG, Braman JP, Ludewig PM, Ribeiro LP, Camargo PR. Bilateral magnetic resonance imaging findings in individuals with unilateral shoulder pain. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Sep 2019;28(9):1699-1706. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2019.04.001
11. Hegedus EJ, Goode AP, Cook CE, et al. Which physical examination tests provide clinicians with the most value when examining the shoulder? Update of a systematic review with meta-analysis of individual tests. *Br J Sports Med*. Nov 2012;46(14):964-78. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091066
12. Apostolopoulos AP, Angelis S, Yallapragada RK, et al. The Sensitivity of Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Ultrasonography in Detecting Rotator Cuff Tears. *Cureus*. May 1 2019;11(5):e4581. doi:10.7759/cureus.4581

13. Kruse KK, Dilisio MF, Wang WL, Schmidt CC. Do we really need to order magnetic resonance imaging? Shoulder surgeon ultrasound practice patterns and beliefs. *JSES Open Access*. Jul 2019;3(2):93-98. doi:10.1016/j.jses.2019.01.004
14. Agarwalla A, Puzitiello RN, Mascarenhas R, Sumner S, Romeo AA, Forsythe B. Preoperative Injections May Be an Iatrogenic Cause of Reoperation After Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair. *Arthroscopy*. Feb 2019;35(2):325-331. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.08.042
15. Cimino AM, Veazey GC, McMurtrie JT, et al. Corticosteroid Injections May Increase Retear and Revision Rates of Rotator Cuff Repair: A Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy*. Aug 2020;36(8):2334-2341. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.04.044
16. Lubowitz JH, Brand JC, Rossi MJ. Preoperative Shoulder Corticosteroid Injection Is Associated With Revision After Primary Rotator Cuff Repair. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2019;35(3):693-694. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.12.025
17. von Knoch M, Baums MH, Lehmann W, Frosch S. Risk Analysis of Perioperative Injections in Arthroscopic Reconstruction of the Rotator Cuff of the Shoulder - A Systematic Review. *Z Orthop Unfall*. Mar 29 2021;Risikoanalyse perioperativer Infiltrationen bei arthroskopischer Rotatorenmanschettennaht der Schulter – ein systematischer Review. doi:10.1055/a-1394-6469
18. Weber AE, Trasolini NA, Mayer EN, et al. Injections Prior to Rotator Cuff Repair Are Associated With Increased Rotator Cuff Revision Rates. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2019;35(3):717-724. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.10.116
19. Forsythe B, Agarwalla A, Puzitiello RN, Sumner S, Romeo AA, Mascarenhas R. The Timing of Injections Prior to Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair Impacts the Risk of Surgical Site Infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Apr 17 2019;101(8):682-687. doi:10.2106/jbjs.18.00631
20. Saffarini M. Editorial Commentary: "Doctor, Are You Sure the Steroid Injection Won't Harm My Shoulder?" Perhaps We Should Stop Injecting Corticosteroids and Just Repair Those Rotator Cuffs. *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2019;35(1):51-53. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.10.009
21. Traven SA, Brinton D, Simpson KN, et al. Preoperative Shoulder Injections Are Associated With Increased Risk of Revision Rotator Cuff Repair. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2019;35(3):706-713. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.10.107
22. Woolf SK. Editorial Commentary: Steroid Injections Prior to Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair-Is It Time to Rethink a Conservative Treatment Paradigm? *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2019;35(3):714-716. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.12.017
23. Werner BC, Cancienne JM, Burrus MT, Griffin JW, Gwathmey FW, Brockmeier SF. The timing of elective shoulder surgery after shoulder injection affects postoperative infection risk in Medicare patients. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Mar 2016;25(3):390-7. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.08.039
24. Chung SW, Kim JY, Kim MH, Kim SH, Oh JH. Arthroscopic repair of massive rotator cuff tears: outcome and analysis of factors associated with healing failure or poor postoperative function. *Am J Sports Med*. Jul 2013;41(7):1674-83. doi:10.1177/0363546513485719

25. Khair MM, Lehman J, Tsouris N, Gulotta LV. A Systematic Review of Preoperative Fatty Infiltration and Rotator Cuff Outcomes. *Hss j.* Jul 2016;12(2):170-6. doi:10.1007/s11420-015-9465-5
26. Somerson JS, Hsu JE, Gorbaty JD, Gee AO. Classifications in Brief: Goutallier Classification of Fatty Infiltration of the Rotator Cuff Musculature. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* May 2016;474(5):1328-32. doi:10.1007/s11999-015-4630-1
27. Naimark M, Trinh T, Robbins C, et al. Effect of Muscle Quality on Operative and Nonoperative Treatment of Rotator Cuff Tears. *Orthop J Sports Med.* Aug 2019;7(8):2325967119863010. doi:10.1177/2325967119863010
28. Warner JJ, Higgins L, Parsons IMt, Dowdy P. Diagnosis and treatment of anterosuperior rotator cuff tears. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jan-Feb 2001;10(1):37-46. doi:10.1067/mse.2001.112022
29. Weber S, Chahal J. Management of Rotator Cuff Injuries. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Mar 1 2020;28(5):e193-e201. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-19-00463
30. Shon MS, Koh KH, Lim TK, Kim WJ, Kim KC, Yoo JC. Arthroscopic Partial Repair of Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears: Preoperative Factors Associated With Outcome Deterioration Over 2 Years. *Am J Sports Med.* Aug 2015;43(8):1965-75. doi:10.1177/0363546515585122
31. Denard PJ, Brady PC, Adams CR, Tokish JM, Burkhart SS. Preliminary Results of Arthroscopic Superior Capsule Reconstruction with Dermal Allograft. *Arthroscopy.* Jan 2018;34(1):93-99. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2017.08.265
32. Henry P, Wasserstein D, Park S, et al. Arthroscopic Repair for Chronic Massive Rotator Cuff Tears: A Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy.* Dec 2015;31(12):2472-80. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2015.06.038
33. Brolin TJ, Updegrave GF, Horneff JG. Classifications in Brief: Hamada Classification of Massive Rotator Cuff Tears. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Nov 2017;475(11):2819-2823. doi:10.1007/s11999-017-5340-7
34. Hamada K, Yamanaka K, Uchiyama Y, Mikasa T, Mikasa M. A radiographic classification of massive rotator cuff tear arthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Sep 2011;469(9):2452-60. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-1896-9
35. Chae SH, Jung TW, Lee SH, et al. Hidden Long Head of the Biceps Tendon Instability and Concealed Intratendinous Subscapularis Tears. *Orthop J Sports Med.* Jan 2020;8(1):2325967119898123. doi:10.1177/2325967119898123
36. Chauvet T, Haritnian E, Baudin F, Collotte P, Nové-Josserand L. The Invisible MGHL Test: Diagnostic Value and Benefits for the Repair of Retracted Subscapularis Tears. *Am J Sports Med.* Jul 2020;48(9):2144-2150. doi:10.1177/0363546520929695
37. Hsu KL, Chuang HC, Chang HM, et al. Association Between Medial Displacement of the Middle Glenohumeral Ligament and Subscapularis Tear Severity. *Orthop J Sports Med.* Apr 2022;10(4):23259671221083593. doi:10.1177/23259671221083593
38. Katthagen JC, Vap AR, Tahal DS, Horan MP, Millett PJ. Arthroscopic Repair of Isolated Partial- and Full-Thickness Upper Third Subscapularis Tendon Tears: Minimum 2-Year Outcomes

- After Single-Anchor Repair and Biceps Tenodesis. *Arthroscopy*. Jul 2017;33(7):1286-1293. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2017.01.027
39. Kim SJ, Jung M, Lee JH, Park JH, Chun YM. Arthroscopic repair of a significant (>50%) partial-thickness subscapularis tear concomitant with a full-thickness supraspinatus tear: technical considerations for subscapularis repair (transtendon technique versus tear completion). *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Jun 2015;24(6):875-81. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2014.10.009
40. Lee TQ. Editorial Commentary: Precise Repair of Partial Subscapularis Tendon Tears Is Essential. *Arthroscopy*. May 2019;35(5):1314-1315. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.02.022
41. Nové-Josserand L, Saffarini M, Hannink G, Carrillon Y. Influence of pre-operative tear size and tendon retraction on repair outcomes for isolated subscapularis tears. *Int Orthop*. Dec 2016;40(12):2559-2566. doi:10.1007/s00264-016-3299-8
42. Saltzman BM, Collins MJ, Leroux T, et al. Arthroscopic Repair of Isolated Subscapularis Tears: A Systematic Review of Technique-Specific Outcomes. *Arthroscopy*. Apr 2017;33(4):849-860. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2016.10.020
43. Burkhart SS, Prancun JJ, Hartzler RU. Superior Capsular Reconstruction for the Operatively Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tear: Clinical Outcomes Are Maintained 2 Years After Surgery. *Arthroscopy*. Feb 2020;36(2):373-380. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.08.035
44. Frank RM, Cvetanovich G, Savin D, Romeo AA. Superior Capsular Reconstruction: Indications, Techniques, and Clinical Outcomes. *JBJS Rev*. Jul 2018;6(7):e10. doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.17.00141
45. Galvin JW, Kenney R, Curry EJ, et al. Superior Capsular Reconstruction for Massive Rotator Cuff Tears: A Critical Analysis Review. *JBJS Rev*. Jun 2019;7(6):e1. doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.18.00072
46. Hartzler RU, Burkhart SS. Superior Capsular Reconstruction. *Orthopedics*. Oct 1 2017;40(5):271-280. doi:10.3928/01477447-20170920-02
47. Mihata T, Lee TQ, Hasegawa A, et al. Five-Year Follow-up of Arthroscopic Superior Capsule Reconstruction for Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Nov 6 2019;101(21):1921-1930. doi:10.2106/jbjs.19.00135
48. Smith TJ, Gowd AK, Kunkel J, Kaplin L, Waterman BR. Superior Capsular Reconstruction Provides Sufficient Biomechanical Outcomes for Massive, Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears: A Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2021;37(1):402-410. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.09.007
49. Tokish JM, Makovicka JL. The Superior Capsular Reconstruction: Lessons Learned and Future Directions. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Jul 1 2020;28(13):528-537. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-19-00057
50. Ohta S, Komai O, Onochi Y. Outcomes of superior capsule reconstruction for massive rotator cuff tears and risk factors for postoperative retear. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Oct 2020;140(10):1319-1325. doi:10.1007/s00402-019-03316-2
51. Strauss EJ, McCormack RA, Onyekwelu I, Rokito AS. Management of failed arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. May 2012;20(5):301-9. doi:10.5435/jaaos-20-05-301

52. Erickson J, Lavery K, Monica J, Gatt C, Dhawan A. Surgical treatment of symptomatic superior labrum anterior-posterior tears in patients older than 40 years: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med.* May 2015;43(5):1274-82. doi:10.1177/0363546514536874
53. McCormick F, Bhatia S, Chalmers P, Gupta A, Verma N, Romeo AA. The management of type II superior labral anterior to posterior injuries. *Orthop Clin North Am.* Jan 2014;45(1):121-8. doi:10.1016/j.ocl.2013.08.008
54. Belk JW, Kraeutler MJ, Houck DA, Chrisman AN, Scillia AJ, McCarty EC. Biceps tenodesis versus tenotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of level I randomized controlled trials. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* May 2021;30(5):951-960. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2020.11.012
55. Denard PJ, Lädermann A, Parsley BK, Burkhart SS. Arthroscopic biceps tenodesis compared with repair of isolated type II SLAP lesions in patients older than 35 years. *Orthopedics.* Mar 2014;37(3):e292-7. doi:10.3928/01477447-20140225-63
56. Dunne KF, Knesek M, Tjong VK, et al. Arthroscopic treatment of type II superior labral anterior to posterior (SLAP) lesions in a younger population: minimum 2-year outcomes are similar between SLAP repair and biceps tenodesis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Jan 2021;29(1):257-265. doi:10.1007/s00167-020-05971-0
57. Ek ET, Shi LL, Tompson JD, Freehill MT, Warner JJ. Surgical treatment of isolated type II superior labrum anterior-posterior (SLAP) lesions: repair versus biceps tenodesis. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jul 2014;23(7):1059-65. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2013.09.030
58. Harris JD, Romeo AA. Arthroscopic management of the contact athlete with instability. *Clin Sports Med.* Oct 2013;32(4):709-30. doi:10.1016/j.csm.2013.07.007
59. Harris JD, Gupta AK, Mall NA, et al. Long-term outcomes after Bankart shoulder stabilization. *Arthroscopy.* May 2013;29(5):920-33. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2012.11.010
60. Alkaduhimi H, Verweij LPE, Willigenburg NW, van Deurzen DFP, van den Bekerom MPJ. Remplissage With Bankart Repair in Anterior Shoulder Instability: A Systematic Review of the Clinical and Cadaveric Literature. *Arthroscopy.* Apr 2019;35(4):1257-1266. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.10.117
61. Bhatia S, Frank RM, Ghodadra NS, et al. The outcomes and surgical techniques of the Latarjet procedure. *Arthroscopy.* Feb 2014;30(2):227-35. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2013.10.013
62. Bishop JY, Hidden KA, Jones GL, Hettrich CM, Wolf BR. Factors Influencing Surgeon's Choice of Procedure for Anterior Shoulder Instability: A Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. *Arthroscopy.* Jul 2019;35(7):2014-2025. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.02.035
63. Di Giacomo G, Itoi E, Burkhart SS. Evolving concept of bipolar bone loss and the Hill-Sachs lesion: from "engaging/non-engaging" lesion to "on-track/off-track" lesion. *Arthroscopy.* Jan 2014;30(1):90-8. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2013.10.004
64. Dyrna FGE, Ludwig M, Imhoff AB, Martetschläger F. Off-track Hill-Sachs lesions predispose to recurrence after nonoperative management of first-time anterior shoulder dislocations. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Jul 2021;29(7):2289-2296. doi:10.1007/s00167-020-06176-1
65. Hurley ET, Jamal MS, Ali ZS, Montgomery C, Pauzenberger L, Mullett H. Long-term outcomes of the Latarjet procedure for anterior shoulder instability: a systematic review of

- studies at 10-year follow-up. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Feb 2019;28(2):e33-e39.  
doi:10.1016/j.jse.2018.08.028
66. Hurley ET, Lim Fat D, Farrington SK, Mullett H. Open Versus Arthroscopic Latarjet Procedure for Anterior Shoulder Instability: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* Apr 2019;47(5):1248-1253. doi:10.1177/0363546518759540
67. Maio M, Sarmiento M, Moura N, Cartucho A. How to measure a Hill-Sachs lesion: a systematic review. *EFORT Open Rev.* Apr 2019;4(4):151-157. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.4.180031
68. Milano G, Grasso A, Russo A, et al. Analysis of risk factors for glenoid bone defect in anterior shoulder instability. *Am J Sports Med.* Sep 2011;39(9):1870-6.  
doi:10.1177/0363546511411699
69. Moroder P, Schulz E, Wierer G, et al. Neer Award 2019: Latarjet procedure vs. iliac crest bone graft transfer for treatment of anterior shoulder instability with glenoid bone loss: a prospective randomized trial. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Jul 2019;28(7):1298-1307.  
doi:10.1016/j.jse.2019.03.035
70. Rashid MS, Crichton J, Butt U, Akimau PI, Charalambous CP. Arthroscopic "Remplissage" for shoulder instability: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Feb 2016;24(2):578-84. doi:10.1007/s00167-014-2881-0
71. Werthel JD, Sabatier V, Schoch B, et al. Outcomes of the Latarjet Procedure for the Treatment of Chronic Anterior Shoulder Instability: Patients With Prior Arthroscopic Bankart Repair Versus Primary Cases. *Am J Sports Med.* Jan 2020;48(1):27-32.  
doi:10.1177/0363546519888909
72. Yang JS, Mazzocca AD, Cote MP, Edgar CM, Arciero RA. Recurrent Anterior Shoulder Instability With Combined Bone Loss: Treatment and Results With the Modified Latarjet Procedure. *Am J Sports Med.* Apr 2016;44(4):922-32. doi:10.1177/0363546515623929
73. Calvo E, Delgado C. Management of off-track Hill-Sachs lesions in anterior glenohumeral instability. *J Exp Orthop.* Mar 21 2023;10(1):30. doi:10.1186/s40634-023-00588-x
74. Provencher MT, Midtgaard KS, Owens BD, Tokish JM. Diagnosis and Management of Traumatic Anterior Shoulder Instability. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Jan 15 2021;29(2):e51-e61.  
doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-20-00202
75. Calvo C, Calvo J, Rojas D, Valencia M, Calvo E. Clinical Relevance of Persistent Off-Track Hill-Sachs Lesion After Arthroscopic Latarjet Procedure. *Am J Sports Med.* Jul 2021;49(8):2006-2012.  
doi:10.1177/03635465211019979
76. Lee YJ, Kim C, Kim SJ, Yoon TH, Cho JY, Chun YM. Does an "Off-Track" Hill-Sachs Lesion That Is Misclassified as "Non-Engaging" Affect Outcomes From Bankart Repair Alone Compared With Bankart Repair Combined With Remplissage? *Arthroscopy.* Feb 2021;37(2):450-456.  
doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.09.037
77. Sheean AJ. Editorial Commentary: Remplissage Is Not Needed When Performing the Latarjet Procedure in the Setting of Off-Track Hill-Sachs Lesions: One of the Classics Continues to Get Better With Age (and Some Help From New Data). *Arthroscopy.* Aug 2021;37(8):2462-2464. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2021.05.049

78. Brandariz RN, Gorodischer TD, Pasqualini I, Rossi LA, Tanoira I, Ranalletta M. The Latarjet Procedure Without Remplissage Is Effective to Restore Stability in Athletes With Glenoid Bone Defects Greater Than 25% and Off-Track Hill-Sachs Lesions. *Arthroscopy*. Aug 2021;37(8):2455-2461. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2021.03.048
79. Verweij LPE, van Iersel TP, van Deurzen DFP, van den Bekerom MPJ, Floor S. "Nearly off-track lesions" or a short distance from the medial edge of the Hill-Sachs lesion to the medial edge of the glenoid track does not seem to be accurate in predicting recurrence after an arthroscopic Bankart repair in a military population: a case-control study. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Apr 2023;32(4):e145-e152. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2022.10.003
80. Arner JW, Peebles LA, Bradley JP, Provencher MT. Anterior Shoulder Instability Management: Indications, Techniques, and Outcomes. *Arthroscopy*. Nov 2020;36(11):2791-2793. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.09.024
81. Lubowitz JH, Brand JC, Rossi MJ. Proper Evaluation of Bone Loss Determines Shoulder Instability Indications and Outcomes. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2021;37(3):785-786. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2021.01.004
82. Pécora JOR, Neves Junior AT, Roesler CRM, et al. Glenoid track evaluation by a validated finite-element shoulder numerical model. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. Jun 2020;106(4):735-742. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2020.03.004
83. Trivedi S, Pomerantz ML, Gross D, Golijanan P, Provencher MT. Shoulder instability in the setting of bipolar (glenoid and humeral head) bone loss: the glenoid track concept. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Aug 2014;472(8):2352-62. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3589-7
84. Vopat ML, Hermanns CA, Midtgaard KS, et al. Imaging Modalities for the Glenoid Track in Recurrent Shoulder Instability: A Systematic Review. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Jun 2021;9(6):23259671211006750. doi:10.1177/23259671211006750
85. Barber FA, Howard MS. Editorial Commentary: Glenoid Track Instability Management Score or Instability Severity Index Score-Will This Decrease Latarjet Abuse? *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2020;36(1):68-70. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.09.002
86. Di Giacomo G, Peebles LA, Pugliese M, et al. Glenoid Track Instability Management Score: Radiographic Modification of the Instability Severity Index Score. *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2020;36(1):56-67. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.07.020
87. Younan Y, Wong PK, Karas S, et al. The glenoid track: a review of the clinical relevance, method of calculation and current evidence behind this method. *Skeletal Radiol*. Dec 2017;46(12):1625-1634. doi:10.1007/s00256-017-2687-5
88. Kibler WB, Sciascia A. Current Practice for the Diagnosis of a SLAP Lesion: Systematic Review and Physician Survey. *Arthroscopy*. Dec 2015;31(12):2456-69. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2015.06.033
89. Forsythe B, Frank RM, Ahmed M, et al. Identification and treatment of existing copathology in anterior shoulder instability repair. *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2015;31(1):154-66. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2014.06.014

90. Kraal T, Beimers L, The B, Sierevelt I, van den Bekerom M, Eygendaal D. Manipulation under anaesthesia for frozen shoulders: outdated technique or well-established quick fix? *EFORT Open Rev.* Mar 2019;4(3):98-109. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.4.180044
91. Rangan A, Hanchard N, McDaid C. What is the most effective treatment for frozen shoulder? *Bmj.* Aug 23 2016;354:i4162. doi:10.1136/bmj.i4162
92. Kim DH, Song KS, Min BW, Bae KC, Lim YJ, Cho CH. Early Clinical Outcomes of Manipulation under Anesthesia for Refractory Adhesive Capsulitis: Comparison with Arthroscopic Capsular Release. *Clin Orthop Surg.* Jun 2020;12(2):217-223. doi:10.4055/cios19027
93. Pandey V, Chidambaram R, Modi A, et al. Trends in Practice Among Shoulder Specialists in the Management of Frozen Shoulder: A Consensus Survey. *Orthop J Sports Med.* Oct 2022;10(10):23259671221118834. doi:10.1177/23259671221118834
94. Pandey V, Madi S. Clinical Guidelines in the Management of Frozen Shoulder: An Update! *Indian J Orthop.* Apr 2021;55(2):299-309. doi:10.1007/s43465-021-00351-3
95. Pensak M, Grumet RC, Slabaugh MA, Bach BR, Jr. Open versus arthroscopic distal clavicle resection. *Arthroscopy.* May 2010;26(5):697-704. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2009.12.007
96. Singh B, Gulihar A, Bilagi P, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging scans are not a reliable tool for predicting symptomatic acromioclavicular arthritis. *Shoulder Elbow.* Oct 2018;10(4):250-254. doi:10.1177/1758573217724080
97. Aflatooni JO, Meeks BD, Froehle AW, Bonner KF. Biceps tenotomy versus tenodesis: patient-reported outcomes and satisfaction. *J Orthop Surg Res.* Feb 18 2020;15(1):56. doi:10.1186/s13018-020-1581-3
98. MacDonald P, Verhulst F, McRae S, et al. Biceps Tenodesis Versus Tenotomy in the Treatment of Lesions of the Long Head of the Biceps Tendon in Patients Undergoing Arthroscopic Shoulder Surgery: A Prospective Double-Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am J Sports Med.* May 2020;48(6):1439-1449. doi:10.1177/0363546520912212
99. Chalmers PN, Verma NN. Proximal Biceps in Overhead Athletes. *Clin Sports Med.* Jan 2016;35(1):163-79. doi:10.1016/j.csm.2015.08.009
100. Creech MJ, Yeung M, Denkers M, Simunovic N, Athwal GS, Ayeni OR. Surgical indications for long head biceps tenodesis: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Jul 2016;24(7):2156-66. doi:10.1007/s00167-014-3383-9
101. Kanbe K, Chiba J, Inoue Y, Taguchi M, Iwamatsu A. Analysis of clinical factors related to the efficacy of shoulder arthroscopic synovectomy plus capsular release in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* Apr 2015;25(3):451-5. doi:10.1007/s00590-014-1570-5
102. Familiari F, Gonzalez-Zapata A, Iannò B, Galasso O, Gasparini G, McFarland EG. Is acromioplasty necessary in the setting of full-thickness rotator cuff tears? A systematic review. *J Orthop Traumatol.* Sep 2015;16(3):167-74. doi:10.1007/s10195-015-0353-z
103. Frank JM, Chahal J, Frank RM, Cole BJ, Verma NN, Romeo AA. The role of acromioplasty for rotator cuff problems. *Orthop Clin North Am.* Apr 2014;45(2):219-24. doi:10.1016/j.ocl.2013.12.003



104. Goutallier D, Postel JM, Bernageau J, Lavau L, Voisin MC. Fatty muscle degeneration in cuff ruptures. Pre- and postoperative evaluation by CT scan. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jul 1994;(304):78-83.
105. Goutallier D, Postel JM, Gleyze P, Leguilloux P, Van Driessche S. Influence of cuff muscle fatty degeneration on anatomic and functional outcomes after simple suture of full-thickness tears. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Nov-Dec 2003;12(6):550-4. doi:10.1016/s1058-2746(03)00211-8
106. Lippe J, Spang JT, Leger RR, Arciero RA, Mazzocca AD, Shea KP. Inter-rater agreement of the Goutallier, Patte, and Warner classification scores using preoperative magnetic resonance imaging in patients with rotator cuff tears. *Arthroscopy*. Feb 2012;28(2):154-9. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2011.07.016
107. Schiefer M, Mendonça R, Magnanini MM, et al. Intraobserver and interobserver agreement of Goutallier classification applied to magnetic resonance images. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Aug 2015;24(8):1314-21. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.02.011
108. Hamada K, Fukuda H, Mikasa M, Kobayashi Y. Roentgenographic findings in massive rotator cuff tears. A long-term observation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. May 1990;(254):92-6.
109. Chahal J, Mall N, MacDonald PB, et al. The role of subacromial decompression in patients undergoing arthroscopic repair of full-thickness tears of the rotator cuff: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthroscopy*. May 2012;28(5):720-7. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2011.11.022

#### **ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

1. Melis B, DeFranco MJ, Chuinard C, Walch G. Natural history of fatty infiltration and atrophy of the supraspinatus muscle in rotator cuff tears. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jun 2010;468(6):1498-505. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1207-x
2. Paavola M, Malmivaara A, Taimela S, et al. Subacromial decompression versus diagnostic arthroscopy for shoulder impingement: randomized, placebo surgery controlled clinical trial. *BMJ*. Jul 19 2018;362:k2860. doi:10.1136/bmj.k2860
3. Yang JS, Mehran N, Mazzocca AD, Pearl ML, Chen VW, Arciero RA. Remplissage Versus Modified Latarjet for Off-Track Hill-Sachs Lesions With Subcritical Glenoid Bone Loss. *Am J Sports Med*. Jul 2018;46(8):1885-1891. doi:10.1177/0363546518767850

## POLICY HISTORY

| Date     | Summary  |
|----------|--|
| May 2023 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Added the requirement of 6 weeks of physical therapy for partial rotator cuff repairs</li> <li>● Added the requirement for no significant muscle atrophy or fatty infiltration for medium or large rotator cuff repairs</li> <li>● Clarification of the indications for Latarjet or Remplissage procedures</li> <li>● Added requirement for 50% decreased ROM in 2 planes, as compared to the opposite shoulder, for frozen shoulder surgery</li> <li>● Added requirement for a chest X-ray in the past 12 months for frozen shoulder surgery</li> </ul>  |
| May 2022 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Updated background and references</li> <li>● Further defined the glenoid track verbiage for “off-track” and “on-track” Hill-Sachs lesions (bony defects of the humeral head)</li> <li>● Clarified individual is medically stable and <i>optimized for surgery</i></li> <li>● Revised Partial-Thickness Rotator Cuff Tear or Calcific Tendinitis to “include two of the following criteria”</li> <li>● Revised criteria for Latarjet or Remplissage to “Recurrent <i>anterior dislocations</i>”</li> <li>● Non-operative treatment for small RCT revised to <i>ONE</i> of the following (previously “at least one”)</li> <li>● Revised 3 months to 12 weeks throughout</li> <li>● Replace “patient” with “individual” where appropriate</li> </ul> <p><b>Added:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Evaluation of pain prior total shoulder arthroplasty as indication for a diagnostic arthroscopy</li> <li>● Cortisone injection within 12 weeks of a rotator cuff repair or revision as a contraindication</li> <li>● Added more specific indications for repair of a subscapularis rotator cuff tear</li> <li>● Physical examination findings requirement for SLAP tears</li> <li>● Criteria for loose body removal</li> <li>● “performed in conjunction with a subscapularis tendon repair” to criteria for Long Head Biceps Tenotomy/Tenodesis</li> </ul> <p><b>Deleted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Requirement for a cortisone injection for calcific tendinopathy</li> <li>● Deleted cortisone injections from lists of treatment modalities</li> <li>● IA joint corticosteroid injection from non-operative treatments for LHB Tenotomy/Tenodesis</li> </ul> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Rotator cuff repair surgical management statement</li></ul> |
|--|---|

## **Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee**

**Disclaimer:** *National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.*